

**3. Results.** On the basis of the aforesaid discourses using the methods of convex and non-smooth analysis we determined following facts.

**Теорема 1.** *Let  $x_0 \in Q$  is the solution of the problem 4. If the maps  $F : X \rightarrow (W, C)$ ,  $G : X \rightarrow (Y, K)$  are differentiable, and the map  $H : X \rightarrow Z$  is continuously differentiable by Freshet in the point  $x_0$ , then there exists the non-zero element  $(\eta^*, \mu^*, \nu^*) \in C^* \times K^* \times Z^*$ , satisfying the conditions:*

$$\eta^* \circ F'(x_0) + \mu^* \circ G'(x_0) + \nu^* \circ H'(x_0) = 0, \langle \mu^*, G(x_0) \rangle = 0. \quad (5)$$

**Теорема 2.** *Let  $x_0 \in Q$  is the solution of the problem 4.  $X$  is a Banach space, and  $Y, Z, W$  are Hilbert spaces. If the maps  $F : X \rightarrow (W, C)$ ,  $G : X \rightarrow (Y, K)$ ,  $H : X \rightarrow Z$  are locally Lipschitz on  $X$ , then there exists the non-zero element  $(\eta^*, \mu^*, \mu^*) \in C^* \times K^* \times Z^*$ , satisfying the conditions:*

$$0 \in (\eta^* \circ F + \mu^* \circ G + \nu^* \circ H)(x_0), (\mu^* \circ G)(x_0) = 0. \quad (6)$$

## References

1. *Salukvadze M.E.* Vector - Valued Optimization Problems in Control Theory // N.Y. etc.: Academic Press, 1979.
2. *Maisuradze V.G.* On some questions of non-scalar optimization // Proceedings of the A.I.Eliashvili Institute of Control Systems of the Georgian Academy of Sciences, N 10, Tbilisi, 2006. (Rus.)
3. *Ioffe A.D., Tikhomirov V.M.* Theory of extremum problems // Nauka, Moscow, 19784.(Rus.)
4. *Clarke F.H.* Optimization and nonsmooth analysis // Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1983.
5. *Aubin J.-P., Ekeland I.* Applied nonlinear analysis // Mir, Moscow, 1988. (Rus.)

## ON THE OBSERVABILITY OF SMALL SOLUTIONS OF LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL-ALGEBRAIC SYSTEMS WITH DELAYS

V.M. Marchenko<sup>1,2</sup>, Z. Zaczekiewicz<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Informatics, Bialystok Technical University, Wiejska 45A, 15-351 Bialystok, Poland  
pbzaccki@pb.edu.pl

<sup>2</sup> Belarusian State Technological University, Department of Mathematics  
13a, Sverdlova St., Minsk, 220050, Belarus, 220630  
vmar@bstu.unibel.by

**Introduction.** The behaviour of a number of real physics process consists of a combination of dynamic (differential) and algebraic (functional) dependencies. These processes are described by differential-algebraic systems. In that sense these systems are hybrid systems. It should be noted that the term "hybrid systems" has been widely used in the literature in various senses [1].

The paper deals with the weak observability of small solutions of DAD systems; it is an extension of the work [2]. The small solution is a solution that goes to zero faster than any exponential function. Existence of such solutions for linear retarded systems was proved by Henry [3] and later by Kappel [4] for linear neutral type systems. Lunel [5] gave explicit characterization of the smallest possible time for which small solutions vanish. Observability of small solutions for the retarded time delay system case was first studied by Manitius [6] and for general neutral system by Salamon [7].

**1. Preliminaries.** Let us consider DAD system in the form

$$\dot{x}_1(t) = A_{11}x_1(t) + A_{12}x_2(t), \quad t > 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$x_2(t) = A_{21}x_1(t) + A_{22}x_2(t-h), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1b)$$

$$z(t) = B_1x_1(t) + B_2x_2(t). \quad (1c)$$

Here  $x_1(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ ,  $x_2(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ ,  $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ;  $A_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$ ,  $A_{12} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ ,  $A_{21} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_1}$ ,  $A_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$ ,  $B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n_1}$ ,  $B_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n_2}$ , are constant matrices,  $h$  is a constant delay,  $h > 0$ . We regard an absolute continuous  $n_1$ -vector function  $x_1(\cdot)$ , and a piecewise continuous  $n_2$ -vector function  $x_2(\cdot)$  as the solutions of systems (1) if they satisfy the equations (1a)-(1b). System (1) should be completed with initial conditions in the form:

$$x_1(0+) = x_1(0) = x_{10}, \quad x_2(\tau) = \psi(\tau), \quad \tau \in [-h, 0),$$

where  $\psi \in PC([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $PC([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^m)$  is the set of piecewise continuous  $m$ -vector functions in  $[-h, 0]$ .

**Definition 1.** We say that a solution  $x_1(\cdot)$ ,  $x_2(\cdot)$  is small, if there exists  $T > 0$  such that  $x_1(t) = 0$ ,  $x_2(t) = 0$  for  $t \geq T$ . A small solution is trivial, if  $x_1(t) = 0$  and  $x_2(t) = 0$  for  $t \geq 0$ .

Similarly we define the notion of small solutions with respect to  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ .

## 2. Relative observability of small solutions.

**Definition 2.** Nontrivial small solutions of system (1) with respect to  $x_2$  are weakly observable if every nontrivial small solution with respect to  $x_2$  has nonzero output for  $t \geq 0$  and  $x_1$  is a zero solution, i.e.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \exists T > 0 \\ x_1(t) = 0 \forall t \geq 0 \\ x_2(t) = 0 \forall t \geq T \\ z(t) = 0 \forall t \geq 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow x_2(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

**Theorem 1.** Nontrivial small solutions of system (1) with respect to  $x_2$  are observable if and only if the following condition holds:

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} B_2 A_{22} \\ B_2 (A_{22})^2 \\ \vdots \\ B_2 (A_{22})^{n_2} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} B_2 A_{22} \\ B_2 (A_{22})^2 \\ \vdots \\ B_2 (A_{22})^{n_2} \\ A_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Definition 3.** We say that  $x_1(t)$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $x_2(t)$ ,  $t > 0$  is a strong solution of system (1) if equations (1) are satisfied for all  $t$ ,  $t > 0$  (in (1) we consider the right-hand derivative at  $t = 0$ ).

**Theorem 2.** Nontrivial strong small solutions of system (1) with respect to  $x_2$  are observable if and only if the following condition holds:

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A_{12} A_{22} \\ \vdots \\ A_{12} A_{22}^{n_2} \\ B_2 A_{22} \\ \vdots \\ B_2 A_{22}^{n_2} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A_{12} A_{22} \\ \vdots \\ A_{12} A_{22}^{n_2} \\ B_2 A_{22} \\ \vdots \\ B_2 A_{22}^{n_2} \\ A_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Corollary 1.** If nontrivial small solutions of system (1) with respect to  $x_2$  are observable then nontrivial strong small solutions of system (1) with respect to  $x_2$  of system (1) are also observable.

**Definition 4.** *Nontrivial small solutions of system (1) with respect to  $x_1$  are weakly observable if every nontrivial small solution with respect to  $x_1$  has nonzero output for  $t \geq 0$  and for  $x_2$  being zero solution, i.e.*

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_2(t) = 0 \forall t \geq 0 \\ \exists T > 0 \quad x_1(t) = 0 \forall t \geq T \\ z(t) = 0 \forall t \geq 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow x_1(t) = 0, \forall t \geq 0.$$

**Theorem 3.** *Nontrivial small solutions of system (1) with respect to  $x_1$  are always observable.*

**Conclusion.** In this paper we have investigated the problem of relative weak observability of nontrivial small solutions of the hybrid differential-difference systems. Weak observability of nontrivial small solution with respect to  $x_2$  and  $x_1$  are considered. Strong small solutions are defined and weak observability of nontrivial strong small solutions with respect to  $x_2$  is established. Other kinds of observability of small solution of system (1) and relations between these kinds of observability are also discussed.

This work was supported by Bialystok Technical University grant S/WI/1/08.

## References

1. *Marchenko V. M.* Some open problems in the theory of controllable DAD systems. // Proc. of the Belorussian State Technological University. Ser. VI. Minsk, 2006. Vyp. XIV. P. 3-6 (in Russian).
2. *Zaczekiewicz Z., Marchenko V. M.* Observability of small solutions of linear differential-algebraic systems with delays. // Control and Cybernetics, 2006. Vol. 35. No. 4 . P. 997-1013.
3. *Henry D.* Small Solutions of Linear Autonomous Functional Differential Equations // J. DifferentialEquations, 1970. Vol. 8. P. 494-501.
4. *Kappel F.* Laplace transform methods and linear autonomous functional differential equations // Math.Institut, Univ. Graz, Bericht , 1976. No. 64.
5. *Verduyn Lunel S. M.* A Sharp Version of Henry's Theorem on Small Solutions //J. Differential Equations, 1986. Vol. 62. No. 2. P. 266-274.
6. *Manitius A.* F-controlability and observability of linear retarded systems // Appl. Math. Op-tim., 1982. Vol. 9. P. 73-95.
7. *Salamon D.* On controllability and observability of time delay systems // IEEE Trans. Automat.Contr., 1984. Vol. 29. P. 432-439.

## PORT-CONTROLLED HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS WITH NONHOLONOMIC CONSTRAINS IMPOSED BY CONTROL DESIGN OBJECTIVES

**D.P. Pascault**

Université de Picardie Jules Verne - Amiens, GMP, avenue des Facultés, 80 025 Amiens, France  
dpas@ieee.org

This paper investigates the Port-Controlled Hamiltonian (PCH) model of nonholonomic dynamical systems [4]. Nonholonomic constraints on motion can be expressed in terms of nonintegrable linear velocity relationships  $B(q)\dot{q} = 0$ . Recently [2], this class of nonholonomic constraints has been broadened as to encompass affine velocity relationships  $A(q) + B(q)\dot{q} = 0$ . PCH model incorporates directly nonholonomic constraints and broadening the class of nonholonomic constraints allows us to propose in a PCH formulation a control algorithm for dynamical systems where nonholonomic constraints on velocities are imposed by control objectives [1] and not by the structure of the system itself [3]. As an application, the energy based robust control is studied of finite dimensional underactuated mechanical systems.