THE EFFECT OF BULK DENSITY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF SINGLE-WALLED CARBON NANOTUBE-THERMOSET NANOCOMPOSITES

^a <u>Butt H.A.</u>, ^{a,b} Novikov I.V., ^a Krasnikov D.V., ^aSulimov A.V., ^a Pal A.K., ^aEvlashin S.A., ^cVorobei A.M., ^c Zuev Y.I., ^a Ostrizhiniy D., ^a Dzhurinskiy D., ^a Popov Y.A., ^cParenago O.O., ^{a,b}Nasibulin A.G.

^a Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, Moscow, Russia ^b Aalto University School of Chemical Engineering, Espoo, Finland ^c Kurnakov Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry of RAS, Moscow, Russia

Due to the unique ensemble of structural properties [1], high chemical and thermal stability [2], yet tailorable surface composition and inherent high electrical and thermal conductivities [1-4], carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are the frontline material for the manufacturing of advanced electrically conductive polymer matrix composites (PMCs) [5-7]. Bulk density is one of the rare CNT parameters almost unexplored so far for its effect on PMCs, while the fine-tuning brings interesting features [5, 6].

Here we assess the role of the bulk density of single-walled carbon nanotube (SWCNT) powder on the final electrical and thermal properties of SWCNT/thermoset. Three density states of the same nanotubes were used to determine the effect of pre-manufacturing density on the final nanocomposite properties, while masterbatches were used as a comparative reference for performance. Two procedures were employed to alter the pristine bulk density of the pristine SWCNT powder (18 g/l); supercritical fluid-based dispersion (1.6 g/l) and pneumatic compression (450 g/l). The findings showed that the bulk density showed no significant effect on the electrical (~ 10-7 - 1 S/cm) and thermal (0.210 - 0.304 W/mK) conductivities of the nanocomposites. Unexpectedly, percolation thresholds of altered density powders were close to those of masterbatches (0.001-0.003 wt. %). The study shows that compressed nanotube powder provides electrical and thermal performance close to pristine powder and pre-dispersed masterbatches, while being free from common drawbacks such as the higher price of masterbatches and aerosolization during handling of the powder. This new route offers a cheap, matrix-free, and safe manufacturing solution with equitable final properties for SWCNT/thermoset nanocomposites.We thank the Council on grants of Russian Federation (grant number HIII-1330.2022.1.3) and the Russian Science Foundation (project No. 21-72-20050).

References

1. Dresselhaus M.S. et. al. / Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci. 2004. Vol. 362. P. 2065–2098.

- 2. Ibrahim K.S. / Carbon letters. 2013. Vol. 14. P.131–144.
- 3. Ma P.C. et. al. / Applied Science and Manufacturing. 2010. Vol. 41. P. 1345–1367.
- 4. Kumanek B., Janas D. / Journal of Materials Science. 2019. Vol. 54. P. 7397-7427.
- 5. Cruz H., Bui D.N., Son Y. / Journal of Applied Polymer Science. 2021. Vol. 139.
- 6. Lehman J.H. et. al. / Carbon. 2011. Vol. 49. P. 2581-2602.