

## ON LOCAL NORMALITY OF MAXIMAL FITTING CLASSES

N.V. Savelyeva

Brest State University named after A. S. Pushkin, Brest, Belarus

natallia.savelyeva@gmail.com

All groups considered are finite. We use standard definitions and notation taken from [1].

A normally hereditary class of groups  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called a Fitting class if it is closed under the products of normal  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subgroups. A Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called a maximal (by inclusion) subclass of a Fitting class  $\mathfrak{H}$  (this is denoted by  $\mathfrak{F} < \cdot \mathfrak{H}$ ), if  $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{H}$  and the condition  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ , where  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a Fitting class, always implies  $\mathfrak{M} \in \{\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{H}\}$ . A non-empty Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called locally normal (or normal in a Fitting class  $\mathfrak{H}$ , or  $\mathfrak{H}$ -normal, this is denoted by  $\mathfrak{F} \triangleleft \mathfrak{H}$ ) if  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  and for every  $\mathfrak{H}$ -group  $G$  its  $\mathfrak{F}$ -radical  $G_{\mathfrak{F}}$  is an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -maximal subgroup of  $G$ . Recall that a unique maximal normal  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subgroup of an arbitrary group  $G$  is called its  $\mathfrak{F}$ -radical and denoted by  $G_{\mathfrak{F}}$ .

Since 1970s maximal and normal Fitting classes have been deeply investigated in the class  $\mathfrak{S}$  of all soluble groups. In particular, it is proved [2] that every maximal Fitting subclass of  $\mathfrak{S}$  is  $\mathfrak{S}$ -normal. This result was extended [3] for the class  $\mathfrak{S}_{\pi}$  of all soluble  $\pi$ -groups ( $\pi$  is a non-empty set of prime numbers). In the class  $\mathfrak{E}$  of all groups it is also proved [4] that every maximal Fitting subclass of the class  $\mathfrak{E}$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

In this paper the property of local normality of maximal Fitting classes of  $\pi$ -groups is established.

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a set of all primes and  $\emptyset \neq \pi \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ . The symbol  $\mathfrak{E}_{\pi}$  denotes the Fitting class of all  $\pi$ -groups.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  be Fitting classes such as  $\mathfrak{F} < \cdot \mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_{\pi}$ , where  $\pi$  denotes a non-empty set of prime numbers. Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $\mathfrak{H}$ -normal.*

This theorem implies the following four corollaries:

**Corollary 1** ( $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{E}_{\pi}$ ,  $\emptyset \neq \pi \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ ). *If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Fitting class such as  $\mathfrak{F} < \cdot \mathfrak{E}_{\pi}$  then  $\mathfrak{F} \triangleleft \mathfrak{E}_{\pi}$ .*

**Corollary 2** [4] ( $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{E}_{\pi}$ ,  $\pi = \mathbb{P}$ ). *If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Fitting class such as  $\mathfrak{F} < \cdot \mathfrak{E}$  then  $\mathfrak{F} \triangleleft \mathfrak{E}$ .*

**Corollary 3** [3] ( $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{S}_{\pi}$ ,  $\emptyset \neq \pi \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ ). *If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Fitting class such as  $\mathfrak{F} < \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{\pi}$  then  $\mathfrak{F} \triangleleft \mathfrak{S}_{\pi}$ .*

**Corollary 4** [2] ( $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{S}_{\pi}$ ,  $\pi = \mathbb{P}$ ). *If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Fitting class such as  $\mathfrak{F} < \cdot \mathfrak{S}$  then  $\mathfrak{F} \triangleleft \mathfrak{S}$ .*

## References

1. Doerk K., Hawkes T. *Finite soluble groups*. Berlin — New York: Walter de Gruyter, 1992.
2. Cossey J. *Products of Fitting classes* // Math. Z. 1975. Vol. 141. P. 289–295.
3. Savelyeva N.V., Vorob'ev N.T. *Maximal subclasses of local Fitting classes* // Siberian Math. J. English Translation of Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal. 2008. Vol. 49. P. 1124–1130.
4. Laue H. *Über nichtauflösbare normale Fittingklassen* // J. Algebra. 1977. Vol. 45. P. 274–283.

## A METHOD OF TEACHING DETERMINANTS

F.M. Sokhatsky

University "Ukraine" Vinnytsia Institute of Economics and Social Sciences, Vinnytsia, Ukraine

fmsokha@ukr.net

We adopt the notion of diagonal of a matrix from combinatorics: maximal collection of matrix places being in its different rows and in different columns is called a *diagonal of this matrix*. This notion is the base of the notion of determinant.

A collection of cells of an  $n$  order square matrix such that both their sets of rows and their sets of columns are partitions of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  is said to be a *cell diagonal* of the matrix. A *cell*