

## АННОТАЦИЯ

Проявление эффекта «Зловещей долины» у студентов с различным уровнем склонности к избеганию потенциальных угроз: аннотация к дипломной работе / Сорока Алексей Александрович; Факультет философии и социальных наук, Кафедра психологии; науч. рук. А.С. Солодухо.

**Объект** исследования – Эффект «Зловещей долины».

**Предмет** исследования – Проявление эффекта «Зловещей долины» у студентов с различным уровнем склонности к избеганию потенциальных угроз.

**Цель исследования** – Охарактеризовать особенности проявления эффекта «Зловещей долины» у студентов с различным уровнем склонности к избеганию потенциальных угроз.

**Основные результаты.**

Гипотеза, согласно которой, повышенная склонность к избеганию потенциальных угроз способствует проявлению эффекта «Зловещей долины», не подтвердилась. Этому можно найти несколько объяснений. В первую очередь существует довольно большое количество переменных, таких как эмоции, проявляемые роботами, которые влияют на то, как определённые роботы будут восприниматься определёнными людьми, и, возможно, склонность к избеганию потенциальных угроз, является в данном случае не доминирующей переменной. Также из проведенного исследования становится понятно, что такие характеристики робота как аттрактивность и грозность (threatening) не находятся в дихотомии. Т.е. если робот обладает низкой степенью аттрактивности, это еще не значит, что он будет воспринят как потенциальная угроза.

**Ключевые слова:** ЭФФЕКТ «ЗЛОВЕЩЕЙ ДОЛИНЫ», ИЗБЕГАНИЕ УГРОЗ, АТТРАКТИВНОСТЬ, РОБОТЫ, АНТРОПОМОРФНОСТЬ.

Manifestation of the «Uncanny valley» effect in students with different level of propension to avoid potential threats: resume / Soroka Aleksey; Faculty of Philosophy and Social Sciences, Department of Psychology; scientific adviser A.S. Soloduh.

**Object** of research of the thesis is Uncanny Valley Effect.

**Subject** of research thesis is Manifestation of the "Uncanny Valley" effect in students with varying levels of propensity to avoid potential threats.

**Purpose to research:** To describe the manifestation of the "Uncanny Valley" effect in students with different levels of propensity to avoid potential threats.

**The results.** The hypothesis according to which an increased tendency to avoid potential threats contributes to the manifestation of the "Uncanny Valley" effect has not been confirmed. There are several explanations for this. First of all, there are quite a number of variables, such as emotions displayed by robots, that influence how certain robots will be perceived by certain people, and perhaps the tendency to avoid potential threats is not the dominant variable in this case. It also becomes clear from the conducted research that such characteristics of the robot as attractiveness and threatening are not in dichotomy. Those. if a robot has a low degree of attractiveness, this does not mean that it will be perceived as a potential threat.

**Keywords:** EFFECT OF THE "UNCANNY VALLEY", THREAT AVOIDANCE, ATTRACTION, ROBOTS, ANTHROPOMORPHY.

## СПИСОК ИСПОЛЬЗОВАННЫХ ИСТОЧНИКОВ

1. А.И. Шадричева. Основные психологические детерминанты межличностной аттракции / А.И. Шадричева // *Wschodnioeuropejskie Czasopismo Naukowe, East European Scientific Journal*. – 2016.
2. В.А. Янчук. Межличностная аттракция и детерминирующие ее факторы / В.А. Янчук // *Адукацыя і выхаванне*. – 1998. – № 5. – с. 53-61.
3. И.А. Фурманов. Социальная психология агрессии и насилия / И.А. Фурманов. // Минск. – 2016. – с. 50.
4. И.И. Мечников. Этюды о природе человека / И. И. Мечников // М.: Изд-во АН СССР. – 1961.
5. К.Е. Изард. Психология эмоций / Изард К.Е. // СПб. – 1999. – с. 91.
6. Манаенков А.Е. Эффект «зловещей долины» / Манаенков А.Е. // факультет психологии МГУ. – 2016.
7. Ayse P. S., Thierry C., Hiroshi I. et al. The thing that should not be: predictive coding and the uncanny valley in perceiving human and humanoid robot actions / *SCAN*. – 2012. – P. 413-422.
8. Bilge M. Understanding the Uncanny: Both Atypical Features and Category Ambiguity Provoke Aversion toward Humanlike Robots / University of Wisconsin-Madison, United States *Front. Psychol.* – 2017.
9. Bull R., Rumsey N. The social psychology of facial appearance / Bull R., Rumsey N. // N.Y.: Springer-Verlag. – 1988. – P. 335.
10. Byrne D., Clore G.L. A reinforcement model of evaluative responses / Byrne D., Clore G.L. // *Personality: An International Journal*. – 1970. – P. 103-128.
11. Carver C.S., White T.L. Behavioral inhibition, behavioral activation, and affective responses to impending reward and punishment: The BIS/BAS scales. / *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 67. – 1994. – P. 319-333.
12. Clark L.A., Watson D. Temperament: A new paradigm for trait psychology / New York: Guilford Press. – 1999. – P. 399-423.
13. Cloninger R.C. A unified biosocial theory of personality and its role in the development of anxiety states / *Psychiatric developments*, 3. – 1986. – P. 167–226.
14. Depue R.A., Collins P.F. Neurobiology of the structure of personality: Dopamine, facilitation of incentive motivation, and extraversion / *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 22. – 1999. – P. 491–569.
15. Depue R.A., Iacono W.G. Neurobehavioral aspects of affective disorders / *Annual Review of Psychology*, 40. – 1989. – P. 457–492.
16. Diaz A., Pickering A.D. The relationship between Gray's and Eysenck's personality spaces / *Personality and Individual Differences*, 15. – 1993. – P. 297–305.
17. Edward S., Yifan W., Shanshan Y. Exploring the Uncanny Valley with Japanese Video Game Characters / *Proceedings of DiGRA conference*. – 2007.

18. Elliot A.J., Thrash T.M. Approach-avoidance motivation in personality: Approach and avoidance temperaments and goals / *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82. – 2002. – P. 804–818.
19. Ferrey A.E., Burleigh T.J., Fenske M. Stimulus-category competition, inhibition, and affective devaluation: a novel account of the uncanny valley / *Frontiers in Psychology*. – 2015.
20. Fiske D.W. Consistency of the factorial structures of personality ratings from different sources / *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 44. – 1949. – P. 329–344.
21. Fowles D.C. The three-arousal model: Implications of Gray's two-factor learning theory for heart rate, electrodermal activity, and psychopathy / *Psychophysiology*, 17. – 1980. – P. 87–104.
22. Glocker M.L., et al. Baby schema in infant faces induces cuteness perception and motivation for caretaking in adults / Glocker M.L. // *Ethology*. – 2009.
23. Gomez A., Gomez R. Personality traits of the behavioral approach and behavioral inhibition systems: Associations with processing of emotional stimuli / *Personality and Individual Differences*, 32. – 2002. – P. 1299–1316.
24. Gomez R., Cooper A., Gomez A. Susceptibility to positive and negative mood states: Test of Eysenck's, Gray's, and Newman's theories / *Personality and Individual Differences*, 29. – 2000. – P. 351–365.
25. Gray J.A. Brain systems that mediate both emotion and cognition / *Cognition and Emotion*, 4. – 1990. – P. 269–288.
26. Gray J.A. Framework for a taxonomy of psychiatric disorder / Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum associates. – 1994. – P. 29-59.
27. Gray J.A. The psychology of fear and stress / Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. – 1987.
28. Hagopian L.P., Ollendick T.H. Behavioral inhibition and test anxiety: And empirical investigation of Gray's theory / *Anger and the behavioral approach system. Personality and Individual*. – 1994. – 597-604.
29. Heider F., *The psychology of interpersonal relations* / John Wiley // — N. Y. – 1958. – P. 322.
30. Heubeck B.G., Wilkinson R.B., Cologon J. A second look at Carver and White's (1994) BIS/BAS scales / *Personality and Individual Differences*, 25. – 1998. – P. 785–800.
31. Hofstee W.K.B., Raad B., Goldberg L.R. Integration of the big five and circumplex approaches to trait structure / *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 63. – 1992. – P. 146–163.
32. Jackson L.A. Physical appearance and gender: sociobiological and sociocultural perspectives / Jackson L.A. // Albany: State University of New York Press. – 1992. – P. 326.
33. Johnson S.L., Turner R.J., Iwata N. BIS/BAS levels and Psychiatric disorder: An epidemiological study / *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 25. – 2003. – P. 25–36.

34. Jussi P., Anton K., Marianna D. et al. Evaluating the replicability of the uncanny valley effect / Elsevier Ltd. – 2018.
35. Kyoshiro S., Keiko I., Yuki Y. Avoidance of Novelty Contributes to the Uncanny Valley / Japan, *Frontiers in Psychology*, Volume 8. – 2017.
36. Lott A.J., Lott B.E. The role of reward in the formation of positive interpersonal attitudes / Huston T.L. // *Foundations of Interpersonal Attraction.*, N. Y.: Academic Press. – 1974. – P. 171-189.
37. MacDorman K.F., Green R.D., Ho C.C. et al. Too real for comfort? Uncanny responses to computer generated faces / *Computers in Human Behavior*, 25. – 2009. – P. 695-710.
38. Markon K.E., Krueger R.F., Watson D. Delineating the structure of normal and abnormal personality: An integrative hierarchical approach / *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 88. – 2005. – P. 139–157.
39. Masahiro M. The Uncanny Valley / *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*. – 2012.
40. Masahiro Mori. The Uncanny Valley / Karl F. MacDorman, Norri Kageki // *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*. – 2012. – P. 98-100.
41. Mathur M.B., Reichling D.B., Navigating a social world with robot partners: A quantitative cartography of the Uncanny Valley / *Cognition* 146. – 2016. – P. 22-32.
42. Matthews G., Gilliland K. The personality theories of H.J. Eysenck and J.A. Gray: A comparative review / *Personality and Individual Differences*. 26. – 1999. – P. 583-626.
43. McNaughton N., Corr P.J. A two-dimensional neuropsychology of defense: Fear/anxiety and defensive distance / *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 28. – 2004. – P. 285–305.
44. Minsoo K. The Ambivalent Power of the Robot / *Antennae*. – 2009.
45. Parsons C.E., et al. The Bonnie baby: experimentally manipulated temperament affects perceived cuteness and motivation to view infant faces. / Parsons C.E. // *Developmental Science*. – 2013. – P. 257-269.
46. Rosenfeld H.M. Approval-seeking and approval inducing functions of verbal and non-verbal responses in a dyad / Rosenfeld H.M. // *Journal of personality and Social Psychology*. – 1966. – P. 597-605.
47. Sarah W., Kerstin D. The Design Space of Robots: Investigating Children's Views / University of Hertfordshire, School of Computer Science, Adaptive Systems. – 2004.
48. Saygin A.P., Chaminade T., Hiroshi Ishiguro. et al. The thing that should not be: predictive coding and the uncanny valley in perceiving human and humanoid robot actions / *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*. Oxford University Press. – 2012.
49. Shawn A. S., Asif A. G. Monkey visual behavior falls into the uncanny valley / Neuroscience Institute, Departments of Psychology and Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, Princeton. – 2009.

50. Smits D.J.M., Boeck P.D. From BIS/BAS to the Big Five / K.U. Leuven, Belgium, *European Journal of Personality*. – 2006. – P. 255–270.
51. Sprengelmeyer R., et al. The cutest little baby face: A hormonal link to sensitivity to cuteness in infant faces / Sprengelmeyer R. // *Psychol Sci*. – 2009. – P. 149-154.
52. Sutton S.K., Davidson R.J. Prefrontal brain asymmetry: A biological substrate of the behavioral approach and inhibition systems / *Psychological Science*, 8. – 1997. – P. 204–210.
53. Tellegen A. Structures of mood and personality and their relevance to assessing anxiety with an emphasis on self-report / Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. – 1985. – P. 681-706.
54. Tinwell A. *The Uncanny Valley in Games and Animation* / CRC Press. – 2014.
55. Tinwell A., Grimshaw M., Abdel Nabi D., Williams A. Facial expression of emotion and perception of the Uncanny Valley in virtual characters // *Computers in human behaviour*. – 2011.