



Dijet production in $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV pp collisions with large rapidity gaps at the ATLAS experiment



ATLAS Collaboration*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 November 2015

Received in revised form 10 January 2016

Accepted 15 January 2016

Available online 18 January 2016

Editor: W.-D. Schlatter

ABSTRACT

A 6.8 nb⁻¹ sample of pp collision data collected under low-luminosity conditions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV by the ATLAS detector at the Large Hadron Collider is used to study diffractive dijet production. Events containing at least two jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV are selected and analysed in terms of variables which discriminate between diffractive and non-diffractive processes. Cross sections are measured differentially in $\Delta\eta^F$, the size of the observable forward region of pseudorapidity which is devoid of hadronic activity, and in an estimator, $\tilde{\xi}$, of the fractional momentum loss of the proton assuming single diffractive dissociation ($pp \rightarrow pX$). Model comparisons indicate a dominant non-diffractive contribution up to moderately large $\Delta\eta^F$ and small $\tilde{\xi}$, with a diffractive contribution which is significant at the highest $\Delta\eta^F$ and the lowest $\tilde{\xi}$. The rapidity-gap survival probability is estimated from comparisons of the data in this latter region with predictions based on diffractive parton distribution functions.

© 2016 CERN for the benefit of the ATLAS Collaboration. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

Diffractive dissociation (e.g. $pp \rightarrow pX$) contributes a large fraction of the total inelastic cross section [1] at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). The inclusive process has been studied using the earliest LHC data in samples of events in which a large gap is identified in the rapidity distribution of final-state hadrons [2,3]. In the absence of hard scales, the understanding of these data is based on phenomenological methods rather than the established theory of the strong interaction, quantum chromodynamics (QCD).

A subset of diffractive dissociation events in which hadronic jets are produced as components of the dissociation system, X , was first observed at the SPS [4], a phenomenon which has since been studied extensively at HERA [5,6] and the Tevatron [7]. The jet transverse momentum provides a natural hard scale for perturbative QCD calculations, making the process sensitive to the underlying parton dynamics of diffraction and colour-singlet exchange. A model [8] in which the hard scattering is factorised from a colourless component of the proton with its own partonic content (diffractive parton distribution functions, DPDFs), corresponding to the older concept of a pomeron [9], has been successful in describing diffractive deep inelastic scattering ($ep \rightarrow eXp$) at HERA [10]. The DPDFs have been extracted from fits to HERA data in the framework of next-to-leading-order QCD, revealing a highly gluon-dominated structure [11,12].

The success of the factorisable approach breaks down when DPDFs from ep scattering are applied to hard diffractive cross sections in photoproduction [13,14] or at hadron colliders. Tevatron data [7] show a suppression of the measured cross section by a factor of typically 10 relative to predictions. A similar ‘rapidity-gap survival probability’ factor, usually denoted by S^2 , was suggested by the first results from the LHC [15]. This factorisation breaking is usually attributed to secondary scattering from beam remnants, also referred to as absorptive corrections, and closely related to the multiple-scattering effects which are a primary focus of underlying-event studies [16–18]. Understanding these effects more deeply is an important step towards a complete model of diffractive processes at hadronic colliders and may point the way towards a reconciliation of the currently very different theoretical treatments of soft and hard strong interactions.

In this paper, the ATLAS technique for finding large rapidity gaps, first introduced in Ref. [2], is developed further and applied to events in which a pair of high transverse momentum (p_T) jets is identified. The resulting cross sections are measured as a function of the size of the rapidity gap and of an estimator of the fractional energy loss of the intact proton. The results are interpreted through comparisons with Monte Carlo models which incorporate DPDF-based predictions with no modelling of multiple scattering. Comparisons between the measurements and the predictions thus provide estimates of the rapidity-gap survival probability applicable to single dissociation processes at LHC energies.

* E-mail address: atlas.publications@cern.ch.




Fig. 1. Illustration of hard single-diffractive scattering, in which partons from a pomeron (\mathbb{P}) and from a proton enter a hard sub-process. The rapidity gap appears between the system X and the intact proton.

2. Models and simulations

Monte Carlo (MC) simulations using leading-order (LO) calculations in perturbative QCD are used in unfolding the data to correct for experimental effects and in the comparison of the measurements with theoretical models. The PYTHIA 8.165 (hereafter referred to as PYTHIA8) general-purpose LO MC generator [19] is used to model dijet production in non-diffractive (ND) events, as well as in single diffractive dissociation (SD, $pp \rightarrow Xp$) and double diffractive dissociation (DD, $pp \rightarrow XY$). An alternative model of the SD process is provided by POMWIG (version 2.0 β) [20], whilst an alternative next-to-leading-order (NLO) model of the ND process is provided by POWHEG (version 1.0) [21,22].

In both PYTHIA8 and POMWIG, hard scattering in diffractive processes takes place through the factorisable pomeron mechanism [8] illustrated in Fig. 1. A pomeron couples to an incoming proton, acquiring a fraction ξ of the proton's longitudinal momentum. The proton either scatters elastically (SD) or dissociates to form a higher-mass system (DD). A parton from the pomeron (as described by DPDFs) then undergoes a hard scattering with a parton from the dissociating proton at a scale set by the transverse momenta of the resulting jets. The dissociation system X has an invariant mass M_X , such that $\xi = M_X^2/s$ at a proton-proton centre-of-mass energy \sqrt{s} .

POMWIG is based on a standard implementation of hard diffractive scattering with a factorisable pomeron, in which both the pomeron flux and the DPDFs are taken from the results of the H1 2006 DPDF fit B¹ [11] and the proton PDF set is CTEQ61 [23]. In contrast, PYTHIA8 provides a simultaneous model of hard and soft diffraction [24], in which a soft diffractive model inherited from PYTHIA6 [25] is smoothly interfaced to a hard diffractive model similar to that in POMWIG. The probability of using the hard model depends on M_X . The H1 2006 DPDF fit B is again used for the partonic content of the pomeron and the proton partonic structure is taken from the CT10 PDFs [26]. Several different pomeron flux parameterisations are available in PYTHIA8. In addition to the default Schuler and Sjöstrand (S-S) model [27], alternative parameterisations by Donnachie and Landshoff (D-L) [28] and Berger and Streng [29,30], as well as the Minimum Bias Rockefeller (MBR) model [31], are also considered in this analysis. These models differ primarily in their predictions for the ξ dependence of the cross section [24]. The DD process in PYTHIA8 is modelled similarly to the SD process. Neither of the diffractive models con-

sidered here take rapidity-gap destruction effects into account, i.e. they set the rapidity gap survival probability $S^2 \equiv 1$.

An alternative for ND processes is provided by the POWHEG NLO generator. As described in Ref. [22], the ‘hardest emission cross section’ approach used in POWHEG avoids the pathological behaviour observed in calculating cross sections with symmetric jet cuts in fixed-order NLO calculations. Here, NLO dijet production in the DGLAP formalism is interfaced with PYTHIA 8 to resum soft and collinear emissions using the parton shower approximation.

PYTHIA8 adopts the Lund String model [32] for hadronisation in each of the ND, SD and DD channels. It also contains an underlying-event model based on multiple parton interactions (MPI). POMWIG is derived from HERWIG [33] and thus inherits its fragmentation and cluster-based hadronisation models. For the purposes of this paper, the POWHEG ND simulation is interfaced to PYTHIA8 for fragmentation and hadronisation. All considered models based on the PYTHIA hadronisation model include p_T -ordered parton showering, while those based on HERWIG use angular-ordered parton showering.

The default MC combination used for the data unfolding for detector effects is a mixture of PYTHIA8 samples of ND, SD and DD dijets, with the “ATLAS AU2-CT10” set of tuned parameters (tune) [34] for the underlying event. In this tune, the fraction of the total cross section attributed to the SD process is reduced relative to the default by 10% and that to DD by 12%, to better match early LHC data. The Berger–Streng parameterisation, which has a very similar ξ dependence to D-L, is chosen for the pomeron flux factor. Finally, the interaction of the particles with the ATLAS detector is simulated using a GEANT4-based program [35,36].

3. The ATLAS detector

The ATLAS detector is described in detail elsewhere [37]. The beam-line is surrounded by a tracking system, which covers the pseudorapidity² range $|\eta| < 2.5$, consists of silicon pixel, silicon strip and straw tube detectors and is immersed in the 2 T axial magnetic field of a superconducting solenoid. The calorimeters lie outside the tracking system. A highly segmented electromagnetic (EM) liquid-argon sampling calorimeter covers the range $|\eta| < 3.2$. The EM calorimeter also includes a presampler covering $|\eta| < 1.8$. The hadronic end-cap (HEC, $1.5 < |\eta| < 3.2$) and forward (FCAL, $3.1 < |\eta| < 4.9$) calorimeters also use liquid argon for their sensitive layers, but with reduced granularity. Hadronic energy in the central region is reconstructed in a steel/scintillator-tile calorimeter. The shapes of the cell noise distributions in the calorimeters are well described by Gaussian distributions, with the exception of the tile calorimeter, where the noise has extended tails, and which is thus excluded from the rapidity gap finding aspects of the analysis. Minimum-bias trigger scintillator (MBTS) detectors are mounted in front of the end-cap calorimeters on both sides of the interaction point and cover the pseudorapidity range $2.1 < |\eta| < 3.8$. The MBTS is divided into inner and outer rings, both of which have eight-fold segmentation. In the analysis, two trigger systems are used at Level-1 (L1), namely the MBTS which efficiently collects low- p_T jets, and the calorimeter-based trigger (L1Calo) which concentrates on higher- p_T jets. In 2010, the luminosity was measured by monitoring the activity in forward detector components, with calibration determined through van der Meer beam scans [38,39].

¹ The H1 Fit B DPDFs correspond to the sum of the SD process and the component of the DD process where the lower of the two proton dissociation masses is smaller than 1.6 GeV (see Section 6).

² In the ATLAS coordinate system, the z -axis points in the direction of the anti-clockwise beam viewed from above. Polar angles θ and transverse momenta p_T are measured with respect to this axis. The pseudorapidity $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$ is a good approximation to the rapidity of a particle whose mass is negligible compared with its energy and is used here, relative to the nominal $z = 0$ point at the centre of the apparatus, to describe regions of the detector.

4. Experimental method

To study rapidity-gap production, the experiment needs to operate at very low luminosities such that there is on average much less than one collision per bunch crossing (i.e. negligible ‘pile-up’). This requirement has to be balanced against the need to collect adequate numbers of events with large rapidity gaps. The analysis therefore uses data from an early 2010 LHC run, with a total integrated luminosity of 6.8 nb^{-1} . The average number of collisions per bunch crossing is 0.12.

The jet selection follows that used in the ATLAS 2010 dijet analysis [40]. Jets with $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 4.4$ are reconstructed by applying the anti- k_t algorithm [41] to topological clusters at the standard ATLAS jet energy scale. For comparisons, in particle-level MC models, jets are formed with the anti- k_t algorithm from stable ($c\tau > 10 \text{ mm}$) final-state particles. The analysis is performed with jets of two different radius parameters $R = 0.4$ and $R = 0.6$. Approximately twice as many jets are reconstructed with the $R = 0.6$ than with the $R = 0.4$ requirement in the kinematic range covered here.

The calorimeter-based jet trigger (‘L1Calo’) is used with the lowest available p_T threshold in phase-space regions where its efficiency is determined to be greater than 60%. This criterion is satisfied for central jets at all pseudorapidities in the range $|\eta| < 2.9$ with $p_T > 29$ (34) GeV for jets with $R = 0.4$ (0.6). At lower transverse momenta, or where the jets are beyond the L1Calo η range, the MBTS trigger is used, with the requirement of a signal in at least one segment. The MBTS trigger is fully efficient for dijet events, but has a substantial time-dependent prescale (which is taken into account in the off-line analysis), reducing the effective luminosity for forward and low- p_T jets to 0.303 nb^{-1} .

At least two jets are required, with jet barycentres satisfying $|\eta| < 4.4$ and with $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$. These requirements correspond to the region in which the jet energy scale and resolution are well known and in which the jets are fully contained within the detector.

Several sources of background were investigated. To reject contributions from beam interactions with residual gas in the beampipe, muons from upstream proton interactions travelling as a halo around the proton beam, and cosmic-ray muons, events are required to have a primary vertex constructed from at least two tracks and consistent with the beam spot position. In-time pile-up, caused by multiple interactions in one bunch crossing, is suppressed by requiring that there be no further vertices with two or more associated tracks. Out-of-time pile-up, caused by overlapping signals in the detector from neighbouring bunch crossings, was investigated and found to be negligible at the large bunch spacings ($>5 \mu\text{s}$) of the chosen runs. Once an event is triggered and the dijet selection criteria are met, the requirement on the primary vertex removes 0.3% and 0.2% of events in the L1Calo- and MBTS-triggered data, respectively, while the in-time pile-up suppression cuts remove 9.4% and 6.5%, respectively. The latter values are used to scale the cross sections to account for the corresponding losses. Residual background occurs due to the limited position resolution of the vertex reconstruction, which typically merges pairs of vertices with $\Delta z \lesssim 1 \text{ cm}$ into a single vertex. The size of this effect is estimated by extrapolation to lower values of the Δz distribution for pairs of vertices which are resolved and its influence is evaluated by randomly overlaying minimum-bias events on the selected sample. The effect is smaller than 0.5% in all bins of the measured distributions. The residual beam-induced background is studied using ‘unpaired’ bunch crossings in which only one bunch of protons passes through the ATLAS detector and is found to be negligible.

Each event is characterised in terms of pseudorapidity regions which are devoid of hadronic activity (‘rapidity gaps’) using a method very similar to that first introduced in Ref. [2]. Rapidity gaps are defined using the tracking ($|\eta| < 2.5$ and $p_T > 200 \text{ MeV}$) and calorimetric ($|\eta| < 4.8$) information within the ATLAS detector acceptance. Full details of the track selection can be found in Ref. [42]. Following Ref. [2], the clustering algorithm accepts calorimeter cells as cluster seeds if their measured response is approximately five standard deviations above the root-mean-square noise level, with a small dependence of the threshold on pseudorapidity. Cells neighbouring the seed cell are included in the cluster if their measured energies exceed smaller threshold requirements defined by the standard ATLAS topological clustering method. The particle-level gap definition is determined by the region of pseudorapidity with an absence of neutral particles with $p > 200 \text{ MeV}$ and charged particles with either $p > 500 \text{ MeV}$ or $p_T > 200 \text{ MeV}$. These momentum and transverse momentum requirements match the ranges over which the simulation indicates that particles are likely to be recorded in the detectors, accounting for the axial magnetic field in the inner detector. The treatment of calorimeter information in the rapidity-gap determination follows the procedure introduced in Ref. [43], such that the requirement $p_T > 200 \text{ MeV}$ for calorimeter clusters from the previous rapidity-gap analysis [2] is removed. Since this transverse momentum requirement corresponds to a very high momentum at large pseudorapidities, the modified approach more completely exploits the capabilities of ATLAS to detect low-momentum particles in the calorimeters. The total numbers of selected events in the L1Calo and MBTS samples with $R = 0.6$ are 285 191 and 44 372, respectively.

The variable characterising forward rapidity gaps, $\Delta\eta^F$, is defined by the larger of the two empty pseudorapidity regions extending between the edges of the detector acceptance at $\eta = 4.8$ or $\eta = -4.8$ and the nearest track or calorimeter cluster passing the selection requirements at smaller $|\eta|$. No requirements are placed on particle production at $|\eta| > 4.8$ and no attempt is made to identify gaps in the central region of the detector. In this analysis, the size of the rapidity gap relative to $\eta = \pm 4.8$ lies in the range $0 < \Delta\eta^F < 6.5$. For example $\Delta\eta^F = 6.5$ implies that there is no reconstructed particle with (transverse) momentum above threshold in one of the regions $-4.8 < \eta < 1.7$ or $-1.7 < \eta < 4.8$.

For events which are of diffractive origin, the Monte Carlo studies indicate that the rapidity-gap definition selects processes in which one of the incoming protons either remains intact (SD) or is excited to produce a system with mass $M < 7 \text{ GeV}$ (DD). In the second case, the system is typically restricted to a pseudorapidity region beyond the acceptance of the ATLAS detector. In both cases, the other incoming proton dissociates to produce a hadronic system of larger invariant mass M_X . The gap size, $\Delta\eta^F$, grows approximately logarithmically with $1/M_X$, the degree of correlation being limited by event-to-event hadronisation fluctuations.

In this analysis, measurements of the energy deposits in each event are used to construct a variable, $\tilde{\xi}$ which is closely correlated with ξ and is similar to that used in Ref. [15]. Neglecting any overall transverse momentum of the system X , the relation

$$M_X^2 = \sqrt{s} \sum p_T e^{\pm\eta}, \quad (1)$$

holds for cases where the intact proton travels in the $\pm z$ direction. In other words, if the forward rapidity gap starts at $\eta = +4.8$ (-4.8), the exponential function takes the positive (negative) sign. Here, the sum runs over all particles constituting the system X . This relation has the attractive feature that the sum is relatively insensitive to particles in the X system travelling in the very forward direction, i.e. those which are produced at large




Fig. 2. (a) Particle-level correlation between the ξ variable extracted from the diffractively scattered proton and $\tilde{\xi}$ calculated from particles selected as defined in the text, using the PYTHIA8 SD MC model. (b) Correlation between the particle-level ξ and detector-level $\tilde{\xi}$ calculated from clusters selected as defined in the text, using the sum of PYTHIA8 ND, SD and DD contributions. In both plots, the distributions are normalised to unity in each column.

pseudorapidities beyond the detector acceptance. Correspondingly, the variable $\tilde{\xi}$ is defined as

$$\tilde{\xi} \simeq M_X^2/s = \sum p_T e^{\pm\eta} / \sqrt{s}. \quad (2)$$

At the detector level, the sum in Eq. (2) runs over calorimeter clusters in the region $|\eta| < 4.8$. To best match this requirement, the corrected cross section is defined in terms of neutral particles with $p > 200$ MeV and charged particles with $p > 500$ MeV in the same pseudorapidity range. The correlation at the particle level between $\tilde{\xi}$ and the true ξ (the latter obtained from elastically scattered protons) in the PYTHIA8 MC model of SD events with two jets, is shown in Fig. 2(a). For $\log_{10} \xi \lesssim -2$, there is a clear correlation between the fiducial $\tilde{\xi}$ variable and ξ , which continues to larger ξ , but with a progressively worse correspondence as some components of the dissociation system which are included in the $\tilde{\xi}$ calculation fail the fiducial requirement $|\eta| < 4.8$ applied in the $\tilde{\xi}$ calculation. At low values, $\tilde{\xi}$ is systematically slightly smaller than ξ , due to the exclusion of low-momentum particles from the $\tilde{\xi}$ definition. Fig. 2(b) shows the correlation between the reconstructed and particle-level determinations of $\tilde{\xi}$. According to the MC models, the resolution in the absolute value of $\log_{10} \tilde{\xi}$ varies from around 0.07 at large $\tilde{\xi}$ values to around 0.14 at small $\tilde{\xi}$.

The quality of the description of the uncorrected data by the PYTHIA8 Monte Carlo model is shown for several variables in Fig. 3. Here, the default ND component of PYTHIA8 is fixed to match the data in the first bin of the $\Delta\eta^F$ distribution, requiring a normalisation factor of 0.71. The SD and DD contributions are shown without any adjustment of their normalisation. Satisfactory descriptions are obtained of the $\Delta\eta^F$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ variables, and also of the pseudorapidity and transverse momentum distributions of the leading jet, indicating that a combination of the diffractive and the non-diffractive PYTHIA8 components is appropriate for use in the unfolding of experimental effects.

The data distributions in $\Delta\eta^F$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ are corrected for detector acceptance and migrations between measurement bins due to finite experimental resolution using Iterative Dynamically Stabilised (IDS) unfolding [44]. This procedure corrects for migrations between the particle and detector levels based on an ‘unfolding’ matrix, constructed from a combination of PYTHIA8 ND, SD and DD samples, as shown in Fig. 2(b). The MC combination is optimised in a simple fitting procedure in which scaling factors are applied to the ND and (SD+DD) components to best match the data. The IDS unfolding is performed in two dimensions, corresponding to the p_T of the leading jet and the target distribution (either $\Delta\eta^F$ or $\tilde{\xi}$). The results of the IDS procedure depend in

general on the number of iterations used. A fast convergence is achieved for both measured distributions and the fourth iteration is chosen as nominal since it optimises the balance between the systematic and statistical uncertainty arising from the unfolding procedure. The unfolding procedure is stable against variations in binning, number of iterations and the scaling factors applied to the diffractive and non-diffractive contributions in the PYTHIA8 model, as discussed further in Section 5.

5. Systematic uncertainties

The procedures for handling many of the sources of systematic uncertainty follow from previous ATLAS measurements. The full list of uncertainties considered is given below. Further details of the uncertainties affecting jets (sources 1–5 below) can be found in Ref. [40], while those affecting diffractive variables (sources 7–9) are elaborated in Ref. [2,43].

1. **Jet energy scale:** the largest source of uncertainty arises from the determination of the jet energy scale. This is obtained following the procedure in Ref. [40], where relative shifts are applied between the particle-level and detector-level response as a function of η and p_T . This accounts for all effects playing a role in evaluating jet transverse momenta, including dead material, electronic noise, the different responses of the LAr and Tile calorimeters, the simulation of particle showers in the calorimeters, pile-up effects and the models of fragmentation used by different MC generators [45]. Studies in the context of the current analysis show that the inclusive treatment is also appropriate for diffractive processes. As in Ref. [40], the dominant component of this uncertainty comes from the intercalibration of jets in η . The total resulting uncertainty in the differential cross sections measured here varies from 20% for small gaps to $\sim 40\%$ for very large gaps, a region which is dominated by diffractive events with relatively small transverse momentum or large pseudorapidity of jets.
2. **Jet energy resolution:** this is determined from data using in situ techniques and MC simulation [46]. The resulting uncertainty on the cross-section measurements is evaluated by smearing the p_T of the reconstructed jets in MC simulation using a Gaussian distribution to match the resolution uncertainty found in data. The resulting effect is below 6% in all kinematic regions.
3. **Jet angular resolution:** this was determined using the same techniques as for the jet energy resolution. Following the procedure in Ref. [40] leads to an uncertainty on the differential




Fig. 3. Comparisons of dijet cross sections from uncorrected data with a combination of PYTHIA8 diffractive and non-diffractive contributions at detector level based on jets found by the anti- k_t algorithm with $R = 0.6$. The MC distributions are normalised to the integrated luminosity of the data after first applying a factor of 0.71 to the ND contribution. The error bars correspond to the statistical uncertainties. In addition to the measured (a) $\Delta\eta^F$ and (b) ξ variables, the distributions in (c) the leading-jet pseudorapidity and (d) transverse momentum are also shown. The lower panels show ratios of the MC models to the data where the error bars indicate the sum in quadrature of the statistical uncertainties arising from the data and the MC simulation.

cross sections which is typically around 1–2% and largest for jets at the largest $|\eta|$.

4. **Jet reconstruction efficiency:** the efficiency for reconstructing jets from the calorimeter information is determined by reference to a sample of ‘track jets’ reconstructed from inner-detector tracks. Following Ref. [40], the uncertainty is taken from the difference between the results of this procedure using data and MC simulation, with extrapolation to the η range not covered by the tracker. This results in systematic uncertainties in the measured cross sections which are smaller than 2% in all kinematic regions.
5. **Jet cleaning efficiency:** the fraction of jets that match the standard quality criteria, designed to remove jets associated with spurious calorimeter response, was studied using a tag-and-probe technique [40]. The corresponding systematic uncertainties are obtained by applying looser and tighter selections to the tag jet and propagate to at most 8% in the cross sections measured here.
6. **Trigger efficiency:** the trigger efficiency is evaluated as a function of leading-jet transverse momentum in various pseudo-rapidity ranges using either an independently triggered data sample or the MC mixture used in Fig. 3. The rise near the threshold of the efficiency in each p_T interval is parameterised based on a fit with free parameters. The efficiency is taken from the data, while the uncertainty is taken as the difference between two MC distributions: one assuming 100% trigger efficiency and the other rescaled by trigger efficiencies found in this MC sample in the same η and p_T ranges as in the data. The resulting uncertainties are smaller than 3.5% for all mea-

sured bins. A further parameterisation uncertainty, evaluated by varying the fit parameters within their uncertainties, is less than 0.7% for all measurements. An additional uncertainty, below 0.5% in all bins, is obtained from the differences in the simulated efficiencies from the ND, SD and DD processes.

7. **Cluster energy scale:** the uncertainty on the energy scale of the individual calorimeter clusters used to determine ξ is evaluated in an η -dependent manner as described in Ref. [43]. The resulting uncertainty in the cross sections differential in ξ is typically 10%.
8. **Cell significance threshold:** the significance thresholds applied to suppress calorimeter clusters which are consistent with noise fluctuations, are shifted up and down by 10% to determine the corresponding systematic uncertainties. The weakened requirements on particle (transverse) momenta applied here compared with Ref. [2] increase the sensitivity to the threshold shifts, particularly in the forward regions, resulting in uncertainties on the differential cross sections of typically 10–20%.
9. **Track reconstruction efficiency:** the uncertainty on the track reconstruction efficiency is taken from Ref. [42], resulting in a negligible effect on the differential cross sections.
10. **Luminosity:** the uncertainty on the luminosity is taken from the luminosity determination for the year 2010 [39], resulting in a $\pm 3.5\%$ normalisation uncertainty on all measurements.
11. **Reconstructed vertex requirement:** the uncertainty on the efficiency of the vertex multiplicity requirement is evaluated by loosening it in data to include events with no vertices. This




Fig. 4. The differential dijet cross sections in (a) $\Delta\eta^F$ and (b) $\tilde{\xi}$, compared with the particle-level PYTHIA8 model of the SD, sum of diffractive components SD and DD, and sum of all three ND, SD and DD components. The Donnachie-Landshoff pomeron flux model is used for the diffractive components. The error bars on the data and the MC models indicate their respective statistical uncertainties, while the yellow bands show the total uncertainties on the data. The ND contribution is normalised to match the data in the first $\Delta\eta^F$ bin. The lower panels show ratios of the MC models to the data where the error bars indicate the sum in quadrature of the statistical uncertainties arising from the data and the MC simulation. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

changes the differential cross sections by less than 1% in all bins.

12. **Dead material:** the effect of possible inaccuracies in the detector dead material simulation was studied in Ref. [2] using dedicated MC samples with modified material budgets ($\pm 10\%$ around the central value) in the inner detector, services and calorimeters. The largest effect on any bin in that analysis was 3%, which is applied as a symmetric shift in each bin of the current measurement.
13. **Unfolding procedure:** the uncertainty associated with modelling bias introduced by the unfolding procedure is estimated using a data-driven procedure whereby the particle-level distributions of the MC sample are reweighted such that the corresponding detector-level distributions match the uncorrected data in the two-dimensional ($\Delta\eta^F$, $\tilde{\xi}$)-space. The reweighted detector-level MC distribution is then unfolded using the same procedure as is applied to the data. The systematic uncertainty in each bin is taken to be the difference between the unfolded reweighted MC distribution and the reweighted particle-level MC distribution. The resulting unfolding uncertainty is typically around 15% for the $\Delta\eta^F$ distribution (rising to 25% in the bin for the largest gaps) and is smaller than 10% in the case of the $\tilde{\xi}$ distribution. Since the factors used to scale the ND and (SD+DD) processes to best describe the data before unfolding are different for the $\Delta\eta^F$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ distributions, a further uncertainty of up to around 5% is ascribed by swapping these factors between the two distributions.

The total systematic uncertainty is defined as the sum in quadrature of the uncertainties described above. The dominant contribution arises from the jet energy scale uncertainty, followed by the unfolding uncertainty, the cell significance threshold uncertainty (for the $\Delta\eta^F$ distribution) and the cluster energy scale uncertainty (for $\tilde{\xi}$). The overall uncertainty varies between bins in the range 20% to 45%. There are strong correlations between the systematic uncertainties in neighbouring measurement intervals of both the $\Delta\eta^F$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ distributions.

6. Results

In this section, particle-level dijet cross sections are presented differentially in the variables $\Delta\eta^F$ and $\tilde{\xi}$, both of which have discriminatory power to separate diffractive and non-diffractive contributions. The cross sections correspond to events with at least

two jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV in the region $|\eta| < 4.4$. The particle-level gap is defined by the region of pseudorapidity with an absence of neutral particles with $p > 200$ MeV and charged particles with either $p > 500$ MeV or $p_T > 200$ MeV. The conclusions are not strongly dependent on the choice of R parameter in the anti- k_t jet algorithm, although the cross-section normalisations are about two times larger for $R = 0.6$ than for $R = 0.4$. The data shown here correspond to $R = 0.6$. The results with both cone sizes can be found in tabular form in Ref. [47].

Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) show the dijet cross section differentially in $\Delta\eta^F$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ for $R = 0.6$ jets. In contrast to related distributions in inclusive rapidity-gap measurements [2], the data in these figures do not show any significant diffractive plateau at large gap sizes. This difference is of kinematic origin, resulting from the reduced phase space at large gap sizes or small $\tilde{\xi}$ when high- p_T jets are required. Both distributions are compared with predictions from the PYTHIA8 MC model, decomposed into ND, SD and DD components, with the D-L flux choice. The normalisation of the ND contribution in both distributions is fixed to match the data in the first bin of $\Delta\eta^F$, where this component is expected to be heavily dominant, requiring a multiplicative factor of 1/1.4. The SD and DD normalisations are left unchanged from their defaults in PYTHIA8. This MC combination results in a satisfactory description of both distributions. The ND component is at least an order of magnitude larger than the SD and DD contributions for relatively small $\Delta\eta^F \lesssim 1$ and large $\tilde{\xi} \gtrsim 0.1$. As $\Delta\eta^F$ grows or $\tilde{\xi}$ falls, the diffractive components of the models become increasingly important, such that the ND and (SD+DD) components are approximately equal at $\Delta\eta^F \sim 3$ or $\log_{10}\tilde{\xi} \sim -2$. At the largest gaps ($\Delta\eta^F \gtrsim 5$) and smallest $\tilde{\xi}$ ($\tilde{\xi} \lesssim 0.003$), the model suggests that the diffractive components are approximately twice as large as the ND contribution.

A dijet cross section differential in $\tilde{\xi}$ has also been measured by CMS [15]. The ATLAS and CMS hadron level cross-section definitions are slightly different in terms of the η , p and p_T ranges of the particles considered and the jet R parameter. Nonetheless, the measured cross sections are similar in magnitude and both analyses lead to the conclusion that a non-negligible ND contribution extends to relatively large $\Delta\eta^F$ and small $\tilde{\xi}$.

The predicted ND contribution at large gap sizes is sensitive to the modelling of rapidity and transverse momentum fluctuations in the hadronisation process, which are not yet well constrained. To establish the presence of a diffractive contribution, it is therefore necessary to investigate the likely range of ND predictions. In Fig. 5, the dijet cross sections differential in $\Delta\eta^F$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ are




Fig. 5. The dijet cross sections differential in (a) $\Delta\eta^F$ and (b) ξ , compared with the PYTHIA8 ND MC model as well as an ND model using the NLO POWHEG generator with hadronisation based on PYTHIA8. Each of the models is separately normalised to match the data in the first $\Delta\eta^F$ bin. The error bars on the data and the MC models indicate their respective statistical uncertainties, while the yellow bands show the total uncertainties on the data. The lower panels show ratios of the MC models to the data where the error bars indicate the sum in quadrature of the statistical uncertainties arising from the data and the MC simulation. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)




Fig. 6. The differential cross section as a function of ξ for events satisfying $\Delta\eta^F > 2$. The same data are shown in (a) and (b), and are compared with models as described in the text. The error bars on the data and the MC models indicate their respective statistical uncertainties, while the yellow bands show the total uncertainties on the data. The ‘POMWIG S^2 ’ model represents the sum of PYTHIA ND and POMWIG, with POMWIG multiplied by 0.16 and scaled by 1/1.23 and by the (SD+DD)/SD ratio from PYTHIA8. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

compared with the PYTHIA8 ND contribution and also with an NLO calculation of non-diffractive dijet production in the POWHEG framework, with hadronisation modelled using PYTHIA8, as described in Section 2. Each of the ND predictions is separately normalised in the first bin of the $\Delta\eta^F$ distribution. The range spanned by the ND predictions suggests a substantial uncertainty in the probability of producing gaps through hadronisation fluctuations, such that for $\Delta\eta^F \lesssim 4$, it is not possible to draw conclusions on the presence or absence of an additional diffractive contribution. However, in both of the models, the ND prediction falls significantly short of the data for $\Delta\eta^F \gtrsim 4$. A similar conclusion is reached at the lowest ξ . This region is therefore investigated in more detail in the following.

Since the diffractive contribution is characterised by both large $\Delta\eta^F$ and small ξ , it can be separated most cleanly by placing requirements on both variables simultaneously. In Fig. 6, the ξ distribution is shown after applying the requirement $\Delta\eta^F > 2$. This restricts the accessible kinematic range to $\xi \lesssim 0.01$, and suppresses the ND contributions considerably. As shown in Fig. 6(a), the ND contribution in the lowest ξ bin ($-3.2 < \log_{10}\xi < -2.5$) is smaller than 25% according to all models considered, allowing for a quantitative investigation of the diffractive contribution.

The data are compared with various models of diffractive dijet production with no rapidity-gap survival probability factors ap-

plied. The PYTHIA8 ND+SD+DD model is shown in Fig. 6(b) for three different choices of pomeron flux, Schuler–Sjöstrand (S–S), Donnachie–Landshoff (D–L) and Minimum Bias Rockefeller (MBR), as described in Section 2. The SD contribution dominates in this kinematic region, as can be inferred by comparing the PYTHIA8 predictions in Fig. 6(b) with the PYTHIA8 ND and PYTHIA8 DD contributions in Fig. 6(a). There is some dependence of the predicted cross section on the choice of flux, but all three PYTHIA8 predictions are compatible with the data without the need for a rapidity-gap survival probability factor, the D–L flux giving the best description. In contrast, the POMWIG model of the SD contribution alone lies above the data by around a factor of three in the low ξ , large $\Delta\eta^F$ region (Fig. 6(a)).

Both PYTHIA8 and POMWIG are based on implementations of DPDFs as measured at HERA. POMWIG is a straightforward implementation of a standard factorisable Pomeron model with standard matrix elements, specifically intended for use in comparison with diffractive hard scattering processes such as that measured in this paper. PYTHIA8 is intended to describe diffraction inclusively. It contains a complex transition between the hard (DPDF-based) and soft models, and the corresponding mechanisms for generating final-state particles. The large difference here between the predictions of PYTHIA8 and POMWIG may be a consequence of this difference in basic approach. The quality of the description of

the data by PYTHIA8 is not altered significantly if the modelling of multi-particle interactions, colour reconnections, or initial- or final-state radiation are varied.

Attributing the POMWIG model's excess over the data in the most sensitive region to absorptive effects, the data are compared quantitatively with POMWIG to determine the rapidity-gap survival probability S^2 appropriate to this model. The value of S^2 is determined from the region where the poorly known ND contribution is smallest, i.e. integrated over the range $-3.2 < \log_{10} \xi < -2.5$ after imposing the rapidity-gap requirement $\Delta\eta^F > 2$ as in Fig. 6. The estimate of S^2 is obtained from the ratio of data to the SD contribution in the POMWIG model after subtracting from the data the ND contribution as modelled by PYTHIA8 and the DD contribution assuming the SD/(SD+DD) ratio from PYTHIA8. No gap survival factors are applied to the subtracted ND and DD contributions. The size of these corrections can be inferred from the PYTHIA8 ND and DD contributions as indicated in Fig. 6(a). A correction factor 1.23 ± 0.16 [48] is applied to S^2 to account for the fact that the H1 2006 Fit B PDFs used in POMWIG include proton dissociation contributions $ep \rightarrow eXY$ where the proton excitation has a mass $M_Y < 1.6$ GeV, in addition to the SD process.

The resulting extracted value of the rapidity-gap survival probability appropriate to the mixed POMWIG/PYTHIA8 model is

$$S^2 = 0.16 \pm 0.04 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.08 \text{ (exp. syst.)},$$

where the statistical (stat.) and experimental systematic (exp. syst.) uncertainties are propagated from the data. This model is shown as 'POMWIG S^2 Model' in Fig. 6(b). No attempt has been made to fully assess the model-dependence uncertainty, although changing the ND contribution in the extraction from PYTHIA8 to POWHEG + PYTHIA8 results in an S^2 of 0.15 and indications from elsewhere [14,15] suggest that S^2 might be smaller if NLO models were used. The result is compatible with the values of 0.12 ± 0.05 and 0.08 ± 0.04 , obtained by CMS in LO and NLO analyses, respectively, using the region $0.0003 < \xi < 0.002$ and a jet R parameter of 0.5 [15]. The result is also compatible with that obtained at lower centre-of-mass energy at the Tevatron [7], which was re-evaluated in a subsequent NLO analysis [49] to be between 0.05 and 0.3, depending on the fraction of the pomeron momentum carried by the parton entering the hard scattering. Theoretical predictions for S^2 at the LHC [50,51] are also compatible with the result here, although the predicted decrease with increasing centre-of-mass energy is not yet established.

7. Conclusions

An ATLAS measurement of the cross section for dijet production in association with forward rapidity gaps is reported, based on 6.8 nb^{-1} low pile-up 7 TeV pp collision data taken at the LHC in 2010. The data are characterised according to the size of the forward rapidity gap, quantified by $\Delta\eta^F$ and ξ , which for the single-diffractive case approximates the fractional longitudinal momentum loss of the scattered proton using the information available within the detector acceptance. Non-diffractive Monte Carlo models are capable of describing the data over a wide kinematic range. However, a diffractive component is also required for a more complete description of the data, particularly when both large $\Delta\eta^F$ and small ξ are required. The PYTHIA8 model gives the best description of the shape and normalisation of this contribution.

The rapidity-gap survival probability is estimated by comparing the measured cross section for events with both large $\Delta\eta^F$ and small ξ with the leading-order POMWIG Monte Carlo model of the diffractive contribution, derived from diffractive parton distribution functions extracted in deep inelastic ep scattering. This determi-

nation is limited by the uncertainties associated with the non-diffractive and double-dissociation contributions, the result being $S^2 = 0.16 \pm 0.04 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.08 \text{ (exp. syst.)}$.

Acknowledgements

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRF, DNSRC and Lundbeck Foundation, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DSM/IRFU, France; GNSF, Georgia; BMBF, HGF, and MPG, Germany; GSRT, Greece; RGC, Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF, I-CORE and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; FOM and NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and NRC KI, Russian Federation; JINR; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, the Canada Council, CANARIE, CRC, Compute Canada, FQRNT, and the Ontario Innovation Trust, Canada; EPLANET, ERC, FP7, Horizon 2020 and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d'Avenir Labex and Idex, ANR, Region Auvergne and Fondation Partager le Savoir, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; BSF, GIF and Minerva, Israel; BRF, Norway; the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN and the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA) and in the Tier-2 facilities worldwide.

References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the inelastic proton-proton cross-section at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Nat. Commun. 2 (2011) 463, arXiv: 1104.0326 [hep-ex].
- [2] ATLAS Collaboration, Rapidity gap cross sections measured with the ATLAS detector in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1926, arXiv: 1201.2808 [hep-ex].
- [3] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of diffraction dissociation cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 012003, arXiv:1503.08689 [hep-ex].
- [4] R. Bonino, et al., UA8 Collaboration, Evidence for transverse jets in high mass diffraction, Phys. Lett. B 211 (1988) 239.
- [5] C. Adloff, et al., H1 Collaboration, Diffractive jet production in deep inelastic e^+p collisions at HERA, Eur. Phys. J. C 20 (2001) 29–49, arXiv:hep-ex/0012051 [hep-ex].
- [6] F.D. Aaron, et al., H1 Collaboration, Diffractive dijet photoproduction in ep collisions at HERA, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 15–37, arXiv:1006.0946 [hep-ex].
- [7] T. Affolder, et al., CDF Collaboration, Diffractive dijets with a leading antiproton in $\bar{p}p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1800$ GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 5043–5048.
- [8] G. Ingelman, P. Schlein, Jet structure in high mass diffractive scattering, Phys. Lett. B 152 (1985) 256.
- [9] E. Feinberg, I. Pomeranchuk, High-energy inelastic diffraction phenomena, Suppl. Nuovo Cim. 3 (1956) 652.
- [10] P. Newman, M. Wing, The hadronic final state at HERA, Rev. Mod. Phys. 86 (2014) 1037, arXiv:1308.3368 [hep-ex].

- [11] A. Aktas, et al., H1 Collaboration, Measurement and QCD analysis of the diffractive deep-inelastic scattering cross-section at HERA, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 48 (2006) 715–748, arXiv:hep-ex/0606004 [hep-ex].
- [12] S. Chekanov, et al., ZEUS Collaboration, A QCD analysis of ZEUS diffractive data, *Nucl. Phys. B* 831 (2010) 1–25, arXiv:0911.4119 [hep-ex].
- [13] A. Aktas, et al., H1 Collaboration, Tests of QCD factorisation in the diffractive production of dijets in deep-inelastic scattering and photoproduction at HERA, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 51 (2007) 549–568, arXiv:hep-ex/0703022 [hep-ex].
- [14] V. Andreev, et al., Diffractive dijet production with a leading proton in ep collisions at HERA, *J. High Energy Phys.* 05 (2015) 056, arXiv:1502.01683 [hep-ex].
- [15] CMS Collaboration, Observation of a diffractive contribution to dijet production in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (2013) 012006, arXiv:1209.1805 [hep-ex].
- [16] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of underlying event characteristics using charged particles in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 900$ GeV and 7 TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* 83 (2011) 112001, arXiv:1012.0791 [hep-ex].
- [17] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the underlying event in jet events from 7 TeV proton–proton collisions with the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 74 (2014) 2965, arXiv:1406.0392 [hep-ex].
- [18] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of distributions sensitive to the underlying event in inclusive Z -boson production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 74 (2014) 3195, arXiv:1409.3433 [hep-ex].
- [19] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P.Z. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 178 (2008) 852–867, arXiv:0710.3820 [hep-ph].
- [20] B.E. Cox, J.R. Forshaw, POMWIG: HERWIG for diffractive interactions, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 144 (2002) 104–110, arXiv:hep-ph/0010303 [hep-ph].
- [21] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, *J. High Energy Phys.* 0411 (2004) 040, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146 [hep-ph].
- [22] S. Alioli, et al., Jet pair production in POWHEG, *J. High Energy Phys.* 1104 (2011) 081, arXiv:1012.3380 [hep-ph].
- [23] D. Stump, et al., Inclusive jet production, parton distributions, and the search for new physics, *J. High Energy Phys.* 0310 (2003) 046, arXiv:hep-ph/0303013.
- [24] S. Navin, Diffraction in Pythia, arXiv:1005.3894 [hep-ph], 2010.
- [25] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P.Z. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual, *J. High Energy Phys.* 0605 (2006) 026, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175 [hep-ph].
- [26] H.-L. Lai, et al., New parton distributions for collider physics, *Phys. Rev. D* 82 (2010) 074024, arXiv:1007.2241 [hep-ph].
- [27] G.A. Schuler, T. Sjöstrand, Hadronic diffractive cross-sections and the rise of the total cross-section, *Phys. Rev. D* 49 (1994) 2257–2267.
- [28] A. Donnachie, P. Landshoff, Elastic scattering and diffraction dissociation, *Nucl. Phys. B* 244 (1984) 322.
- [29] E.L. Berger, et al., Diffractive hard scattering, *Nucl. Phys. B* 286 (1987) 704.
- [30] K. Streng, Hard QCD scatterings in diffractive reactions at HERA, CERN-TH-4949, 1988.
- [31] R. Ciesielski, K. Coulianos, MBR Monte Carlo simulation in PYTHIA8, PoS ICHEP2012 (2013) 301, arXiv:1205.1446 [hep-ph].
- [32] B. Andersson, et al., Parton fragmentation and string dynamics, *Phys. Rep.* 97 (1983) 31–145.
- [33] G. Marchesini, et al., HERWIG: a Monte Carlo event generator for simulating hadron emission reactions with interfering gluons. Version 5.1 – April 1991, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 67 (1992) 465–508.
- [34] ATLAS Collaboration, Summary of ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2012-003, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1474107>, 2012.
- [35] S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT4: a simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* 506 (2003) 250.
- [36] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS simulation infrastructure, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 70 (2010) 823, arXiv:1005.4568 [hep-ex].
- [37] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS experiment at the CERN large hadron collider, *J. Instrum.* 3 (2008) S08003.
- [38] ATLAS Collaboration, Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 71 (2011) 1630, arXiv:1101.2185 [hep-ex].
- [39] ATLAS Collaboration, Improved luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 73 (2013) 2518, arXiv:1302.4393 [hep-ex].
- [40] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of inclusive jet and dijet production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* 86 (2012) 014022, arXiv:1112.6297 [hep-ex].
- [41] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- $k(t)$ jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* 0804 (2008) 063, arXiv:0802.1189 [hep-ph].
- [42] ATLAS Collaboration, Charged-particle multiplicities in pp interactions measured with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, *New J. Phys.* 13 (2011) 053033, arXiv:1012.5104 [hep-ex].
- [43] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of the pseudorapidity dependence of the total transverse energy in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with ATLAS, *J. High Energy Phys.* 1211 (2012) 033, arXiv:1208.6256 [hep-ex].
- [44] B. Malaeju, An iterative, dynamically stabilized (IDS) method of data unfolding, arXiv:1106.3107 [physics.data-an], 2011.
- [45] ATLAS Collaboration, Jet energy measurement and its systematic uncertainty in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 75 (2015) 17, arXiv:1406.0076 [hep-ex].
- [46] ATLAS Collaboration, Jet energy resolution in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV recorded in 2010 with the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 73 (2013) 2306, arXiv:1210.6210 [hep-ex].
- [47] HepData, 2015, hepdata.cedar.ac.uk.
- [48] A. Aktas, et al., H1 Collaboration, Diffractive deep-inelastic scattering with a leading proton at HERA, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 48 (2006) 749–766, arXiv:hep-ex/0606003 [hep-ex].
- [49] M. Klasen, G. Kramer, Survival probability for diffractive dijet production in p anti- p collisions from next-to-leading order calculations, *Phys. Rev. D* 80 (2009) 074006, arXiv:0908.2531 [hep-ph].
- [50] A. Kaidalov, et al., Factorization breaking in diffractive dijet production, *Phys. Lett. B* 559 (2003) 235–238, arXiv:hep-ph/0302091 [hep-ph].
- [51] V.A. Khoze, A.D. Martin, M. Ryskin, Soft diffraction and the elastic slope at Tevatron and LHC energies: a MultiPomeron approach, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 18 (2000) 167–179, arXiv:hep-ph/0007359 [hep-ph].

ATLAS Collaboration

- G. Aad ⁸⁵, B. Abbott ¹¹³, J. Abdallah ¹⁵¹, O. Abdinov ¹¹, R. Aben ¹⁰⁷, M. Abolins ⁹⁰, O.S. AbouZeid ¹⁵⁸, H. Abramowicz ¹⁵³, H. Abreu ¹⁵², R. Abreu ¹¹⁶, Y. Abulaiti ^{146a,146b}, B.S. Acharya ^{164a,164b,a}, L. Adamczyk ^{38a}, D.L. Adams ²⁵, J. Adelman ¹⁰⁸, S. Adomeit ¹⁰⁰, T. Adye ¹³¹, A.A. Affolder ⁷⁴, T. Agatonovic-Jovin ¹³, J. Agricola ⁵⁴, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra ^{126a,126f}, S.P. Ahlen ²², F. Ahmadov ^{65,b}, G. Aielli ^{133a,133b}, H. Akerstedt ^{146a,146b}, T.P.A. Åkesson ⁸¹, A.V. Akimov ⁹⁶, G.L. Alberghi ^{20a,20b}, J. Albert ¹⁶⁹, S. Albrand ⁵⁵, M.J. Alconada Verzini ⁷¹, M. Aleksa ³⁰, I.N. Aleksandrov ⁶⁵, C. Alexa ^{26b}, G. Alexander ¹⁵³, T. Alexopoulos ¹⁰, M. Alhroob ¹¹³, G. Alimonti ^{91a}, L. Alio ⁸⁵, J. Alison ³¹, S.P. Alkire ³⁵, B.M.M. Allbrooke ¹⁴⁹, P.P. Allport ¹⁸, A. Aloisio ^{104a,104b}, A. Alonso ³⁶, F. Alonso ⁷¹, C. Alpigiani ¹³⁸, A. Altheimer ³⁵, B. Alvarez Gonzalez ³⁰, D. Álvarez Piqueras ¹⁶⁷, M.G. Alviggi ^{104a,104b}, B.T. Amadio ¹⁵, K. Amako ⁶⁶, Y. Amaral Coutinho ^{24a}, C. Amelung ²³, D. Amidei ⁸⁹, S.P. Amor Dos Santos ^{126a,126c}, A. Amorim ^{126a,126b}, S. Amoroso ⁴⁸, N. Amram ¹⁵³, G. Amundsen ²³, C. Anastopoulos ¹³⁹, L.S. Ancu ⁴⁹, N. Andari ¹⁰⁸, T. Andeen ³⁵, C.F. Anders ^{58b}, G. Anders ³⁰, J.K. Anders ⁷⁴, K.J. Anderson ³¹, A. Andreazza ^{91a,91b}, V. Andrei ^{58a}, S. Angelidakis ⁹, I. Angelozzi ¹⁰⁷, P. Anger ⁴⁴, A. Angerami ³⁵, F. Anghinolfi ³⁰, A.V. Anisenkov ^{109,c}, N. Anjos ¹², A. Annovi ^{124a,124b}, M. Antonelli ⁴⁷, A. Antonov ⁹⁸, J. Antos ^{144b}, F. Anulli ^{132a}, M. Aoki ⁶⁶, L. Aperio Bella ¹⁸, G. Arabidze ⁹⁰, Y. Arai ⁶⁶, J.P. Araque ^{126a}, A.T.H. Arce ⁴⁵, F.A. Ardhu ⁷¹, J-F. Arguin ⁹⁵, S. Argyropoulos ⁶³, M. Arik ^{19a}, A.J. Armbruster ³⁰, O. Arnaez ³⁰, H. Arnold ⁴⁸, M. Arratia ²⁸, O. Arslan ²¹, A. Artamonov ⁹⁷, G. Artoni ²³, S. Asai ¹⁵⁵, N. Asbah ⁴², A. Ashkenazi ¹⁵³, B. Åsman ^{146a,146b}, L. Asquith ¹⁴⁹, K. Assamagan ²⁵, R. Astalos ^{144a}, M. Atkinson ¹⁶⁵,

- N.B. Atlay ¹⁴¹, K. Augsten ¹²⁸, M. Aurousseau ^{145b}, G. Avolio ³⁰, B. Axen ¹⁵, M.K. Ayoub ¹¹⁷, G. Azuelos ^{95,d}, M.A. Baak ³⁰, A.E. Baas ^{58a}, M.J. Baca ¹⁸, C. Bacci ^{134a,134b}, H. Bachacou ¹³⁶, K. Bachas ¹⁵⁴, M. Backes ³⁰, M. Backhaus ³⁰, P. Bagiacchi ^{132a,132b}, P. Bagnaia ^{132a,132b}, Y. Bai ^{33a}, T. Bain ³⁵, J.T. Baines ¹³¹, O.K. Baker ¹⁷⁶, E.M. Baldin ^{109,c}, P. Balek ¹²⁹, T. Balestri ¹⁴⁸, F. Balli ⁸⁴, W.K. Balunas ¹²², E. Banas ³⁹, Sw. Banerjee ¹⁷³, A.A.E. Bannoura ¹⁷⁵, L. Barak ³⁰, E.L. Barberio ⁸⁸, D. Barberis ^{50a,50b}, M. Barbero ⁸⁵, T. Barillari ¹⁰¹, M. Barisonzi ^{164a,164b}, T. Barklow ¹⁴³, N. Barlow ²⁸, S.L. Barnes ⁸⁴, B.M. Barnett ¹³¹, R.M. Barnett ¹⁵, Z. Barnovska ⁵, A. Baroncelli ^{134a}, G. Barone ²³, A.J. Barr ¹²⁰, F. Barreiro ⁸², J. Barreiro Guimaraes da Costa ⁵⁷, R. Bartoldus ¹⁴³, A.E. Barton ⁷², P. Bartos ^{144a}, A. Basalaev ¹²³, A. Bassalat ¹¹⁷, A. Basye ¹⁶⁵, R.L. Bates ⁵³, S.J. Batista ¹⁵⁸, J.R. Batley ²⁸, M. Battaglia ¹³⁷, M. Bauche ^{132a,132b}, F. Bauer ¹³⁶, H.S. Bawa ^{143,e}, J.B. Beacham ¹¹¹, M.D. Beattie ⁷², T. Beau ⁸⁰, P.H. Beauchemin ¹⁶¹, R. Beccherle ^{124a,124b}, P. Bechtle ²¹, H.P. Beck ^{17,f}, K. Becker ¹²⁰, M. Becker ⁸³, M. Beckingham ¹⁷⁰, C. Becot ¹¹⁷, A.J. Beddall ^{19b}, A. Beddall ^{19b}, V.A. Bednyakov ⁶⁵, C.P. Bee ¹⁴⁸, L.J. Beemster ¹⁰⁷, T.A. Beermann ³⁰, M. Begel ²⁵, J.K. Behr ¹²⁰, C. Belanger-Champagne ⁸⁷, W.H. Bell ⁴⁹, G. Bella ¹⁵³, L. Bellagamba ^{20a}, A. Bellerive ²⁹, M. Bellomo ⁸⁶, K. Belotskiy ⁹⁸, O. Beltramello ³⁰, O. Benary ¹⁵³, D. Benchekroun ^{135a}, M. Bender ¹⁰⁰, K. Bendtz ^{146a,146b}, N. Benekos ¹⁰, Y. Benhammou ¹⁵³, E. Benhar Noccioli ⁴⁹, J.A. Benitez Garcia ^{159b}, D.P. Benjamin ⁴⁵, J.R. Bensinger ²³, S. Bentvelsen ¹⁰⁷, L. Beresford ¹²⁰, M. Beretta ⁴⁷, D. Berge ¹⁰⁷, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann ¹⁶⁶, N. Berger ⁵, F. Berghaus ¹⁶⁹, J. Beringer ¹⁵, C. Bernard ²², N.R. Bernard ⁸⁶, C. Bernius ¹¹⁰, F.U. Bernlochner ²¹, T. Berry ⁷⁷, P. Berta ¹²⁹, C. Bertella ⁸³, G. Bertoli ^{146a,146b}, F. Bertolucci ^{124a,124b}, C. Bertsche ¹¹³, D. Bertsche ¹¹³, M.I. Besana ^{91a}, G.J. Besjes ³⁶, O. Bessidskaia Bylund ^{146a,146b}, M. Bessner ⁴², N. Besson ¹³⁶, C. Betancourt ⁴⁸, S. Bethke ¹⁰¹, A.J. Bevan ⁷⁶, W. Bhimji ¹⁵, R.M. Bianchi ¹²⁵, L. Bianchini ²³, M. Bianco ³⁰, O. Biebel ¹⁰⁰, D. Biedermann ¹⁶, S.P. Bieniek ⁷⁸, N.V. Biesuz ^{124a,124b}, M. Biglietti ^{134a}, J. Bilbao De Mendizabal ⁴⁹, H. Bilokon ⁴⁷, M. Bindi ⁵⁴, S. Binet ¹¹⁷, A. Bingul ^{19b}, C. Bini ^{132a,132b}, S. Biondi ^{20a,20b}, D.M. Bjergaard ⁴⁵, C.W. Black ¹⁵⁰, J.E. Black ¹⁴³, K.M. Black ²², D. Blackburn ¹³⁸, R.E. Blair ⁶, J.-B. Blanchard ¹³⁶, J.E. Blanco ⁷⁷, T. Blazek ^{144a}, I. Bloch ⁴², C. Blocker ²³, W. Blum ^{83,*}, U. Blumenschein ⁵⁴, S. Blunier ^{32a}, G.J. Bobbink ¹⁰⁷, V.S. Bobrovnikov ^{109,c}, S.S. Bocchetta ⁸¹, A. Bocci ⁴⁵, C. Bock ¹⁰⁰, M. Boehler ⁴⁸, J.A. Bogaerts ³⁰, D. Bogavac ¹³, A.G. Bogdanchikov ¹⁰⁹, C. Bohm ^{146a}, V. Boisvert ⁷⁷, T. Bold ^{38a}, V. Boldea ^{26b}, A.S. Boldyrev ⁹⁹, M. Bomben ⁸⁰, M. Bona ⁷⁶, M. Boonekamp ¹³⁶, A. Borisov ¹³⁰, G. Borissov ⁷², S. Borroni ⁴², J. Bortfeldt ¹⁰⁰, V. Bortolotto ^{60a,60b,60c}, K. Bos ¹⁰⁷, D. Boscherini ^{20a}, M. Bosman ¹², J. Boudreau ¹²⁵, J. Bouffard ², E.V. Bouhova-Thacker ⁷², D. Boumediene ³⁴, C. Bourdarios ¹¹⁷, N. Bousson ¹¹⁴, S.K. Boutle ⁵³, A. Boveia ³⁰, J. Boyd ³⁰, I.R. Boyko ⁶⁵, I. Bozic ¹³, J. Bracinik ¹⁸, A. Brandt ⁸, G. Brandt ⁵⁴, O. Brandt ^{58a}, U. Bratzler ¹⁵⁶, B. Brau ⁸⁶, J.E. Brau ¹¹⁶, H.M. Braun ^{175,*}, W.D. Breaden Madden ⁵³, K. Brendlinger ¹²², A.J. Brennan ⁸⁸, L. Brenner ¹⁰⁷, R. Brenner ¹⁶⁶, S. Bressler ¹⁷², T.M. Bristow ⁴⁶, D. Britton ⁵³, D. Britzger ⁴², F.M. Brochu ²⁸, I. Brock ²¹, R. Brock ⁹⁰, J. Bronner ¹⁰¹, G. Brooijmans ³⁵, T. Brooks ⁷⁷, W.K. Brooks ^{32b}, J. Brosamer ¹⁵, E. Brost ¹¹⁶, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom ³⁹, D. Bruncko ^{144b}, R. Bruneliere ⁴⁸, A. Bruni ^{20a}, G. Bruni ^{20a}, M. Bruschi ^{20a}, N. Bruscino ²¹, L. Bryngemark ⁸¹, T. Buanes ¹⁴, Q. Buat ¹⁴², P. Buchholz ¹⁴¹, A.G. Buckley ⁵³, S.I. Buda ^{26b}, I.A. Budagov ⁶⁵, F. Buehrer ⁴⁸, L. Bugge ¹¹⁹, M.K. Bugge ¹¹⁹, O. Bulekov ⁹⁸, D. Bullock ⁸, H. Burckhart ³⁰, S. Burdin ⁷⁴, C.D. Burgard ⁴⁸, B. Burgrave ¹⁰⁸, S. Burke ¹³¹, I. Burmeister ⁴³, E. Busato ³⁴, D. Büscher ⁴⁸, V. Büscher ⁸³, P. Bussey ⁵³, J.M. Butler ²², A.I. Butt ³, C.M. Buttar ⁵³, J.M. Butterworth ⁷⁸, P. Butti ¹⁰⁷, W. Buttinger ²⁵, A. Buzatu ⁵³, A.R. Buzykaev ^{109,c}, S. Cabrera Urbán ¹⁶⁷, D. Caforio ¹²⁸, V.M. Cairo ^{37a,37b}, O. Cakir ^{4a}, N. Calace ⁴⁹, P. Calafiura ¹⁵, A. Calandri ¹³⁶, G. Calderini ⁸⁰, P. Calfayan ¹⁰⁰, L.P. Caloba ^{24a}, D. Calvet ³⁴, S. Calvet ³⁴, R. Camacho Toro ³¹, S. Camarda ⁴², P. Camarri ^{133a,133b}, D. Cameron ¹¹⁹, R. Caminal Armadans ¹⁶⁵, S. Campana ³⁰, M. Campanelli ⁷⁸, A. Campoverde ¹⁴⁸, V. Canale ^{104a,104b}, A. Canepa ^{159a}, M. Cano Bret ^{33e}, J. Cantero ⁸², R. Cantrill ^{126a}, T. Cao ⁴⁰, M.D.M. Capeans Garrido ³⁰, I. Caprini ^{26b}, M. Caprini ^{26b}, M. Capua ^{37a,37b}, R. Caputo ⁸³, R.M. Carbone ³⁵, R. Cardarelli ^{133a}, F. Cardillo ⁴⁸, T. Carli ³⁰, G. Carlino ^{104a}, L. Carminati ^{91a,91b}, S. Caron ¹⁰⁶, E. Carquin ^{32a}, G.D. Carrillo-Montoya ³⁰, J.R. Carter ²⁸, J. Carvalho ^{126a,126c}, D. Casadei ⁷⁸, M.P. Casado ¹², M. Casolino ¹², E. Castaneda-Miranda ^{145a}, A. Castelli ¹⁰⁷, V. Castillo Gimenez ¹⁶⁷, N.F. Castro ^{126a,g}, P. Catastini ⁵⁷, A. Catinaccio ³⁰, J.R. Catmore ¹¹⁹, A. Cattai ³⁰, J. Caudron ⁸³, V. Cavalieri ¹⁶⁵, D. Cavalli ^{91a}, M. Cavalli-Sforza ¹², V. Cavassini ^{124a,124b}, F. Ceradini ^{134a,134b}, B.C. Cerio ⁴⁵, K. Cerny ¹²⁹, A.S. Cerqueira ^{24b}, A. Cerri ¹⁴⁹, L. Cerrito ⁷⁶, F. Cerutti ¹⁵, M. Cerv ³⁰, A. Cervelli ¹⁷, S.A. Cetin ^{19c}, A. Chafaq ^{135a}, D. Chakraborty ¹⁰⁸, I. Chalupkova ¹²⁹, Y.L. Chan ^{60a}, P. Chang ¹⁶⁵, J.D. Chapman ²⁸,

- D.G. Charlton ¹⁸, C.C. Chau ¹⁵⁸, C.A. Chavez Barajas ¹⁴⁹, S. Cheatham ¹⁵², A. Chegwidden ⁹⁰, S. Chekanov ⁶,
 S.V. Chekulaev ^{159a}, G.A. Chelkov ^{65,h}, M.A. Chelstowska ⁸⁹, C. Chen ⁶⁴, H. Chen ²⁵, K. Chen ¹⁴⁸,
 L. Chen ^{33d,i}, S. Chen ^{33c}, S. Chen ¹⁵⁵, X. Chen ^{33f}, Y. Chen ⁶⁷, H.C. Cheng ⁸⁹, Y. Cheng ³¹, A. Cheplakov ⁶⁵,
 E. Cheremushkina ¹³⁰, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli ^{135e}, V. Chernyatin ^{25,*}, E. Cheu ⁷, L. Chevalier ¹³⁶,
 V. Chiarella ⁴⁷, G. Chiarelli ^{124a,124b}, G. Chiodini ^{73a}, A.S. Chisholm ¹⁸, R.T. Chislett ⁷⁸, A. Chitan ^{26b},
 M.V. Chizhov ⁶⁵, K. Choi ⁶¹, S. Chouridou ⁹, B.K.B. Chow ¹⁰⁰, V. Christodoulou ⁷⁸, D. Chromek-Burckhart ³⁰,
 J. Chudoba ¹²⁷, A.J. Chuinard ⁸⁷, J.J. Chwastowski ³⁹, L. Chytka ¹¹⁵, G. Ciapetti ^{132a,132b}, A.K. Ciftci ^{4a},
 D. Cinca ⁵³, V. Cindro ⁷⁵, I.A. Cioara ²¹, A. Ciocio ¹⁵, F. Cirotto ^{104a,104b}, Z.H. Citron ¹⁷², M. Ciubancan ^{26b},
 A. Clark ⁴⁹, B.L. Clark ⁵⁷, P.J. Clark ⁴⁶, R.N. Clarke ¹⁵, C. Clement ^{146a,146b}, Y. Coadou ⁸⁵, M. Cobal ^{164a,164c},
 A. Coccaro ⁴⁹, J. Cochran ⁶⁴, L. Coffey ²³, J.G. Cogan ¹⁴³, L. Colasurdo ¹⁰⁶, B. Cole ³⁵, S. Cole ¹⁰⁸,
 A.P. Colijn ¹⁰⁷, J. Collot ⁵⁵, T. Colombo ^{58c}, G. Compostella ¹⁰¹, P. Conde Muiño ^{126a,126b}, E. Coniavitis ⁴⁸,
 S.H. Connell ^{145b}, I.A. Connelly ⁷⁷, V. Consorti ⁴⁸, S. Constantinescu ^{26b}, C. Conta ^{121a,121b}, G. Conti ³⁰,
 F. Conventi ^{104a,j}, M. Cooke ¹⁵, B.D. Cooper ⁷⁸, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar ¹²⁰, T. Cornelissen ¹⁷⁵, M. Corradi ^{20a},
 F. Corriveau ^{87,k}, A. Corso-Radu ¹⁶³, A. Cortes-Gonzalez ¹², G. Cortiana ¹⁰¹, G. Costa ^{91a}, M.J. Costa ¹⁶⁷,
 D. Costanzo ¹³⁹, D. Côté ⁸, G. Cottin ²⁸, G. Cowan ⁷⁷, B.E. Cox ⁸⁴, K. Cranmer ¹¹⁰, G. Cree ²⁹,
 S. Crépé-Renaudin ⁵⁵, F. Crescioli ⁸⁰, W.A. Cribbs ^{146a,146b}, M. Crispin Ortuzar ¹²⁰, M. Cristinziani ²¹,
 V. Croft ¹⁰⁶, G. Crosetti ^{37a,37b}, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann ¹³⁹, J. Cummings ¹⁷⁶, M. Curatolo ⁴⁷, J. Cúth ⁸³,
 C. Cuthbert ¹⁵⁰, H. Czirr ¹⁴¹, P. Czodrowski ³, S. D'Auria ⁵³, M. D'Onofrio ⁷⁴,
 M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa ^{126a,126b}, C. Da Via ⁸⁴, W. Dabrowski ^{38a}, A. Dafinca ¹²⁰, T. Dai ⁸⁹,
 O. Dale ¹⁴, F. Dallaire ⁹⁵, C. Dallapiccola ⁸⁶, M. Dam ³⁶, J.R. Dandoy ³¹, N.P. Dang ⁴⁸, A.C. Daniells ¹⁸,
 M. Danninger ¹⁶⁸, M. Dano Hoffmann ¹³⁶, V. Dao ⁴⁸, G. Darbo ^{50a}, S. Darmora ⁸, J. Dassoulas ³,
 A. Dattagupta ⁶¹, W. Davey ²¹, C. David ¹⁶⁹, T. Davidek ¹²⁹, E. Davies ^{120,l}, M. Davies ¹⁵³, P. Davison ⁷⁸,
 Y. Davygora ^{58a}, E. Dawe ⁸⁸, I. Dawson ¹³⁹, R.K. Daya-Ishmukhametova ⁸⁶, K. De ⁸, R. de Asmundis ^{104a},
 A. De Benedetti ¹¹³, S. De Castro ^{20a,20b}, S. De Cecco ⁸⁰, N. De Groot ¹⁰⁶, P. de Jong ¹⁰⁷, H. De la Torre ⁸²,
 F. De Lorenzi ⁶⁴, D. De Pedis ^{132a}, A. De Salvo ^{132a}, U. De Sanctis ¹⁴⁹, A. De Santo ¹⁴⁹,
 J.B. De Vivie De Regie ¹¹⁷, W.J. Dearnaley ⁷², R. Debbe ²⁵, C. Debenedetti ¹³⁷, D.V. Dedovich ⁶⁵,
 I. Deigaard ¹⁰⁷, J. Del Peso ⁸², T. Del Prete ^{124a,124b}, D. Delgove ¹¹⁷, F. Deliot ¹³⁶, C.M. Delitzsch ⁴⁹,
 M. Deliyergiyev ⁷⁵, A. Dell'Acqua ³⁰, L. Dell'Asta ²², M. Dell'Orso ^{124a,124b}, M. Della Pietra ^{104a,j},
 D. della Volpe ⁴⁹, M. Delmastro ⁵, P.A. Delsart ⁵⁵, C. Deluca ¹⁰⁷, D.A. DeMarco ¹⁵⁸, S. Demers ¹⁷⁶,
 M. Demichev ⁶⁵, A. Demilly ⁸⁰, S.P. Denisov ¹³⁰, D. Derendarz ³⁹, J.E. Derkaoui ^{135d}, F. Derue ⁸⁰,
 P. Dervan ⁷⁴, K. Desch ²¹, C. Deterre ⁴², K. Dette ⁴³, P.O. Deviveiros ³⁰, A. Dewhurst ¹³¹, S. Dhaliwal ²³,
 A. Di Ciaccio ^{133a,133b}, L. Di Ciaccio ⁵, A. Di Domenico ^{132a,132b}, C. Di Donato ^{104a,104b}, A. Di Girolamo ³⁰,
 B. Di Girolamo ³⁰, A. Di Mattia ¹⁵², B. Di Micco ^{134a,134b}, R. Di Nardo ⁴⁷, A. Di Simone ⁴⁸, R. Di Sipio ¹⁵⁸,
 D. Di Valentino ²⁹, C. Diaconu ⁸⁵, M. Diamond ¹⁵⁸, F.A. Dias ⁴⁶, M.A. Diaz ^{32a}, E.B. Diehl ⁸⁹, J. Dietrich ¹⁶,
 S. Diglio ⁸⁵, A. Dimitrievska ¹³, J. Dingfelder ²¹, P. Dita ^{26b}, S. Dita ^{26b}, F. Dittus ³⁰, F. Djama ⁸⁵,
 T. Djobava ^{51b}, J.I. Djuvsland ^{58a}, M.A.B. do Vale ^{24c}, D. Dobos ³⁰, M. Dobre ^{26b}, C. Doglioni ⁸¹,
 T. Dohmae ¹⁵⁵, J. Dolejsi ¹²⁹, Z. Dolezal ¹²⁹, B.A. Dolgoshein ^{98,*}, M. Donadelli ^{24d}, S. Donati ^{124a,124b},
 P. Dondero ^{121a,121b}, J. Donini ³⁴, J. Dopke ¹³¹, A. Doria ^{104a}, M.T. Dova ⁷¹, A.T. Doyle ⁵³, E. Drechsler ⁵⁴,
 M. Dris ¹⁰, E. Dubreuil ³⁴, E. Duchovni ¹⁷², G. Duckeck ¹⁰⁰, O.A. Ducu ^{26b,85}, D. Duda ¹⁰⁷, A. Dudarev ³⁰,
 L. Duflot ¹¹⁷, L. Duguid ⁷⁷, M. Dührssen ³⁰, M. Dunford ^{58a}, H. Duran Yildiz ^{4a}, M. Düren ⁵²,
 A. Durglishvili ^{51b}, D. Duschinger ⁴⁴, B. Dutta ⁴², M. Dyndal ^{38a}, C. Eckardt ⁴², K.M. Ecker ¹⁰¹, R.C. Edgar ⁸⁹,
 W. Edson ², N.C. Edwards ⁴⁶, W. Ehrenfeld ²¹, T. Eifert ³⁰, G. Eigen ¹⁴, K. Einsweiler ¹⁵, T. Ekelof ¹⁶⁶,
 M. El Kacimi ^{135c}, M. Ellert ¹⁶⁶, S. Elles ⁵, F. Ellinghaus ¹⁷⁵, A.A. Elliot ¹⁶⁹, N. Ellis ³⁰, J. Elmsheuser ¹⁰⁰,
 M. Elsing ³⁰, D. Emeliyanov ¹³¹, Y. Enari ¹⁵⁵, O.C. Endner ⁸³, M. Endo ¹¹⁸, J. Erdmann ⁴³, A. Ereditato ¹⁷,
 G. Ernis ¹⁷⁵, J. Ernst ², M. Ernst ²⁵, S. Errede ¹⁶⁵, E. Ertel ⁸³, M. Escalier ¹¹⁷, H. Esch ⁴³, C. Escobar ¹²⁵,
 B. Esposito ⁴⁷, A.I. Etienne ¹³⁶, E. Etzion ¹⁵³, H. Evans ⁶¹, A. Ezhilov ¹²³, L. Fabbri ^{20a,20b}, G. Facini ³¹,
 R.M. Fakhrutdinov ¹³⁰, S. Falciano ^{132a}, R.J. Falla ⁷⁸, J. Faltova ¹²⁹, Y. Fang ^{33a}, M. Fanti ^{91a,91b}, A. Farbin ⁸,
 A. Farilla ^{134a}, T. Farooque ¹², S. Farrell ¹⁵, S.M. Farrington ¹⁷⁰, P. Farthouat ³⁰, F. Fassi ^{135e}, P. Fassnacht ³⁰,
 D. Fassouliotis ⁹, M. Faucci Giannelli ⁷⁷, A. Favareto ^{50a,50b}, L. Fayard ¹¹⁷, O.L. Fedin ^{123,m}, W. Fedorko ¹⁶⁸,
 S. Feigl ³⁰, L. Feligioni ⁸⁵, C. Feng ^{33d}, E.J. Feng ³⁰, H. Feng ⁸⁹, A.B. Fenyuk ¹³⁰, L. Feremenga ⁸,
 P. Fernandez Martinez ¹⁶⁷, S. Fernandez Perez ³⁰, J. Ferrando ⁵³, A. Ferrari ¹⁶⁶, P. Ferrari ¹⁰⁷, R. Ferrari ^{121a},
 D.E. Ferreira de Lima ⁵³, A. Ferrer ¹⁶⁷, D. Ferrere ⁴⁹, C. Ferretti ⁸⁹, A. Ferretto Parodi ^{50a,50b}, M. Fiascaris ³¹,

- F. Fiedler 83, A. Filipčič 75, M. Filipuzzi 42, F. Filthaut 106, M. Fincke-Keeler 169, K.D. Finelli 150, M.C.N. Fiolhais 126a,126c, L. Fiorini 167, A. Firan 40, A. Fischer 2, C. Fischer 12, J. Fischer 175, W.C. Fisher 90, N. Flaschel 42, I. Fleck 141, P. Fleischmann 89, G.T. Fletcher 139, G. Fletcher 76, R.R.M. Fletcher 122, T. Flick 175, A. Floderus 81, L.R. Flores Castillo 60a, M.J. Flowerdew 101, A. Formica 136, A. Forti 84, D. Fournier 117, H. Fox 72, S. Fracchia 12, P. Francavilla 80, M. Franchini 20a,20b, D. Francis 30, L. Franconi 119, M. Franklin 57, M. Frate 163, M. Frernali 121a,121b, D. Freeborn 78, S.T. French 28, F. Friedrich 44, D. Froidevaux 30, J.A. Frost 120, C. Fukunaga 156, E. Fullana Torregrosa 83, B.G. Fulsom 143, T. Fusayasu 102, J. Fuster 167, C. Gabaldon 55, O. Gabizon 175, A. Gabrielli 20a,20b, A. Gabrielli 15, G.P. Gach 18, S. Gadatsch 30, S. Gadomski 49, G. Gagliardi 50a,50b, P. Gagnon 61, C. Galea 106, B. Galhardo 126a,126c, E.J. Gallas 120, B.J. Gallop 131, P. Gallus 128, G. Galster 36, K.K. Gan 111, J. Gao 33b,85, Y. Gao 46, Y.S. Gao 143,e, F.M. Garay Walls 46, F. Garberson 176, C. García 167, J.E. García Navarro 167, M. Garcia-Sciveres 15, R.W. Gardner 31, N. Garelli 143, V. Garonne 119, C. Gatti 47, A. Gaudiello 50a,50b, G. Gaudio 121a, B. Gaur 141, L. Gauthier 95, P. Gauzzi 132a,132b, I.L. Gavrilenko 96, C. Gay 168, G. Gaycken 21, E.N. Gazis 10, P. Ge 33d, Z. Gecse 168, C.N.P. Gee 131, Ch. Geich-Gimbel 21, M.P. Geisler 58a, C. Gemme 50a, M.H. Genest 55, S. Gentile 132a,132b, M. George 54, S. George 77, D. Gerbaudo 163, A. Gershon 153, S. Ghasemi 141, H. Ghazlane 135b, B. Giacobbe 20a, S. Giagu 132a,132b, V. Giangiobbe 12, P. Giannetti 124a,124b, B. Gibbard 25, S.M. Gibson 77, M. Gignac 168, M. Gilchries 15, T.P.S. Gillam 28, D. Gillberg 30, G. Gilles 34, D.M. Gingrich 3,d, N. Giokaris 9, M.P. Giordani 164a,164c, F.M. Giorgi 20a, F.M. Giorgi 16, P.F. Giraud 136, P. Giromini 47, D. Giugni 91a, C. Giuliani 101, M. Giulini 58b, B.K. Gjelsten 119, S. Gkaitatzis 154, I. Gkialas 154, E.L. Gkougkousis 117, L.K. Gladilin 99, C. Glasman 82, J. Glatzer 30, P.C.F. Glaysher 46, A. Glazov 42, M. Goblirsch-Kolb 101, J.R. Goddard 76, J. Godlewski 39, S. Goldfarb 89, T. Golling 49, D. Golubkov 130, A. Gomes 126a,126b,126d, R. Gonçalo 126a, J. Goncalves Pinto Firmino Da Costa 136, L. Gonella 21, S. González de la Hoz 167, G. Gonzalez Parra 12, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla 49, L. Goossens 30, P.A. Gorbounov 97, H.A. Gordon 25, I. Gorelov 105, B. Gorini 30, E. Gorini 73a,73b, A. Gorišek 75, E. Gornicki 39, A.T. Goshaw 45, C. Gössling 43, M.I. Gostkin 65, D. Goujdami 135c, A.G. Goussiou 138, N. Govender 145b, E. Gozani 152, H.M.X. Grabas 137, L. Gruber 54, I. Grabowska-Bold 38a, P.O.J. Gradin 166, P. Grafström 20a,20b, K.-J. Grahn 42, J. Gramling 49, E. Gramstad 119, S. Grancagnolo 16, V. Gratchev 123, H.M. Gray 30, E. Graziani 134a, Z.D. Greenwood 79,n, C. Grefe 21, K. Gregersen 78, I.M. Gregor 42, P. Grenier 143, J. Griffiths 8, A.A. Grillo 137, K. Grimm 72, S. Grinstein 12,o, Ph. Gris 34, J.-F. Grivaz 117, J.P. Grohs 44, A. Grohsjean 42, E. Gross 172, J. Grosse-Knetter 54, G.C. Grossi 79, Z.J. Grout 149, L. Guan 89, J. Guenther 128, F. Guescini 49, D. Guest 163, O. Gueta 153, E. Guido 50a,50b, T. Guillemin 117, S. Guindon 2, U. Gul 53, C. Gumpert 44, J. Guo 33e, Y. Guo 33b,p, S. Gupta 120, G. Gustavino 132a,132b, P. Gutierrez 113, N.G. Gutierrez Ortiz 78, C. Gutschow 44, C. Guyot 136, C. Gwenlan 120, C.B. Gwilliam 74, A. Haas 110, C. Haber 15, H.K. Hadavand 8, N. Haddad 135e, P. Haefner 21, S. Hageböck 21, Z. Hajduk 39, H. Hakobyan 177, M. Haleem 42, J. Haley 114, D. Hall 120, G. Halladjian 90, G.D. Hallewell 85, K. Hamacher 175, P. Hamal 115, K. Hamano 169, A. Hamilton 145a, G.N. Hamity 139, P.G. Hamnett 42, L. Han 33b, K. Hanagaki 66,q, K. Hanawa 155, M. Hance 137, B. Haney 122, P. Hanke 58a, R. Hanna 136, J.B. Hansen 36, J.D. Hansen 36, M.C. Hansen 21, P.H. Hansen 36, K. Hara 160, A.S. Hard 173, T. Harenberg 175, F. Hariri 117, S. Harkusha 92, R.D. Harrington 46, P.F. Harrison 170, F. Hartjes 107, M. Hasegawa 67, Y. Hasegawa 140, A. Hasib 113, S. Hassani 136, S. Haug 17, R. Hauser 90, L. Hauswald 44, M. Havranek 127, C.M. Hawkes 18, R.J. Hawkings 30, A.D. Hawkins 81, T. Hayashi 160, D. Hayden 90, C.P. Hays 120, J.M. Hays 76, H.S. Hayward 74, S.J. Haywood 131, S.J. Head 18, T. Heck 83, V. Hedberg 81, L. Heelan 8, S. Heim 122, T. Heim 175, B. Heinemann 15, L. Heinrich 110, J. Hejbal 127, L. Helary 22, S. Hellman 146a,146b, D. Hellmich 21, C. Helsens 12, J. Henderson 120, R.C.W. Henderson 72, Y. Heng 173, C. Hengler 42, S. Henkelmann 168, A. Henrichs 176, A.M. Henriques Correia 30, S. Henrot-Versille 117, G.H. Herbert 16, Y. Hernández Jiménez 167, G. Herten 48, R. Hertenberger 100, L. Hervas 30, G.G. Hesketh 78, N.P. Hessey 107, J.W. Hetherly 40, R. Hickling 76, E. Higón-Rodriguez 167, E. Hill 169, J.C. Hill 28, K.H. Hiller 42, S.J. Hillier 18, I. Hinchliffe 15, E. Hines 122, R.R. Hinman 15, M. Hirose 157, D. Hirschbuehl 175, J. Hobbs 148, N. Hod 107, M.C. Hodgkinson 139, P. Hodgson 139, A. Hoecker 30, M.R. Hoeferkamp 105, F. Hoenig 100, M. Hohlfeld 83, D. Hohn 21, T.R. Holmes 15, M. Homann 43, T.M. Hong 125, W.H. Hopkins 116, Y. Horii 103, A.J. Horton 142, J-Y. Hostachy 55, S. Hou 151, A. Hoummada 135a, J. Howard 120, J. Howarth 42, M. Hrabovsky 115, I. Hristova 16, J. Hrivnac 117, T. Hrynevich 93, C. Hsu 145c, P.J. Hsu 151,r, S.-C. Hsu 138, D. Hu 35, Q. Hu 33b, X. Hu 89,

- Y. Huang ⁴², Z. Hubacek ¹²⁸, F. Hubaut ⁸⁵, F. Huegging ²¹, T.B. Huffman ¹²⁰, E.W. Hughes ³⁵, G. Hughes ⁷²,
 M. Huhtinen ³⁰, T.A. Hülsing ⁸³, N. Huseynov ^{65,b}, J. Huston ⁹⁰, J. Huth ⁵⁷, G. Iacobucci ⁴⁹, G. Iakovidis ²⁵,
 I. Ibragimov ¹⁴¹, L. Iconomidou-Fayard ¹¹⁷, E. Ideal ¹⁷⁶, Z. Idrissi ^{135e}, P. Iengo ³⁰, O. Igonkina ¹⁰⁷,
 T. Iizawa ¹⁷¹, Y. Ikegami ⁶⁶, K. Ikematsu ¹⁴¹, M. Ikeno ⁶⁶, Y. Ilchenko ^{31,s}, D. Iliadis ¹⁵⁴, N. Ilic ¹⁴³,
 T. Ince ¹⁰¹, G. Introzzi ^{121a,121b}, P. Ioannou ⁹, M. Iodice ^{134a}, K. Iordanidou ³⁵, V. Ippolito ⁵⁷,
 A. Irles Quiles ¹⁶⁷, C. Isaksson ¹⁶⁶, M. Ishino ⁶⁸, M. Ishitsuka ¹⁵⁷, R. Ishmukhametov ¹¹¹, C. Issever ¹²⁰,
 S. Istin ^{19a}, J.M. Iturbe Ponce ⁸⁴, R. Iuppa ^{133a,133b}, J. Ivarsson ⁸¹, W. Iwanski ³⁹, H. Iwasaki ⁶⁶, J.M. Izen ⁴¹,
 V. Izzo ^{104a}, S. Jabbar ³, B. Jackson ¹²², M. Jackson ⁷⁴, P. Jackson ¹, M.R. Jaekel ³⁰, V. Jain ², K. Jakobs ⁴⁸,
 S. Jakobsen ³⁰, T. Jakoubek ¹²⁷, J. Jakubek ¹²⁸, D.O. Jamin ¹¹⁴, D.K. Jana ⁷⁹, E. Jansen ⁷⁸, R. Jansky ⁶²,
 J. Janssen ²¹, M. Janus ⁵⁴, G. Jarlskog ⁸¹, N. Javadov ^{65,b}, T. Javurek ⁴⁸, L. Jeanty ¹⁵, J. Jejelava ^{51a,t},
 G.-Y. Jeng ¹⁵⁰, D. Jennens ⁸⁸, P. Jenni ^{48,u}, J. Jentzsch ⁴³, C. Jeske ¹⁷⁰, S. Jézéquel ⁵, H. Ji ¹⁷³, J. Jia ¹⁴⁸,
 Y. Jiang ^{33b}, S. Jiggins ⁷⁸, J. Jimenez Pena ¹⁶⁷, S. Jin ^{33a}, A. Jinaru ^{26b}, O. Jinnouchi ¹⁵⁷, M.D. Joergensen ³⁶,
 P. Johansson ¹³⁹, K.A. Johns ⁷, W.J. Johnson ¹³⁸, K. Jon-And ^{146a,146b}, G. Jones ¹⁷⁰, R.W.L. Jones ⁷²,
 T.J. Jones ⁷⁴, J. Jongmanns ^{58a}, P.M. Jorge ^{126a,126b}, K.D. Joshi ⁸⁴, J. Jovicevic ^{159a}, X. Ju ¹⁷³, P. Jussel ⁶²,
 A. Juste Rozas ^{12,o}, M. Kaci ¹⁶⁷, A. Kaczmarska ³⁹, M. Kado ¹¹⁷, H. Kagan ¹¹¹, M. Kagan ¹⁴³, S.J. Kahn ⁸⁵,
 E. Kajomovitz ⁴⁵, C.W. Kalderon ¹²⁰, S. Kama ⁴⁰, A. Kamenshchikov ¹³⁰, N. Kanaya ¹⁵⁵, S. Kaneti ²⁸,
 V.A. Kantserov ⁹⁸, J. Kanzaki ⁶⁶, B. Kaplan ¹¹⁰, L.S. Kaplan ¹⁷³, A. Kapliy ³¹, D. Kar ^{145c}, K. Karakostas ¹⁰,
 A. Karamaoun ³, N. Karastathis ^{10,107}, M.J. Kareem ⁵⁴, E. Karentzos ¹⁰, M. Karnevskiy ⁸³, S.N. Karpov ⁶⁵,
 Z.M. Karpova ⁶⁵, K. Karthik ¹¹⁰, V. Kartvelishvili ⁷², A.N. Karyukhin ¹³⁰, K. Kasahara ¹⁶⁰, L. Kashif ¹⁷³,
 R.D. Kass ¹¹¹, A. Kastanas ¹⁴, Y. Kataoka ¹⁵⁵, C. Kato ¹⁵⁵, A. Katre ⁴⁹, J. Katzy ⁴², K. Kawade ¹⁰³,
 K. Kawagoe ⁷⁰, T. Kawamoto ¹⁵⁵, G. Kawamura ⁵⁴, S. Kazama ¹⁵⁵, V.F. Kazanin ^{109,c}, R. Keeler ¹⁶⁹,
 R. Kehoe ⁴⁰, J.S. Keller ⁴², J.J. Kempster ⁷⁷, H. Keoshkerian ⁸⁴, O. Kepka ¹²⁷, B.P. Kerševan ⁷⁵, S. Kersten ¹⁷⁵,
 R.A. Keyes ⁸⁷, F. Khalil-zada ¹¹, H. Khandanyan ^{146a,146b}, A. Khanov ¹¹⁴, A.G. Kharlamov ^{109,c}, T.J. Khoo ²⁸,
 V. Khovanskiy ⁹⁷, E. Khramov ⁶⁵, J. Khubua ^{51b,v}, S. Kido ⁶⁷, H.Y. Kim ⁸, S.H. Kim ¹⁶⁰, Y.K. Kim ³¹,
 N. Kimura ¹⁵⁴, O.M. Kind ¹⁶, B.T. King ⁷⁴, M. King ¹⁶⁷, S.B. King ¹⁶⁸, J. Kirk ¹³¹, A.E. Kiryunin ¹⁰¹,
 T. Kishimoto ⁶⁷, D. Kisielewska ^{38a}, F. Kiss ⁴⁸, K. Kiuchi ¹⁶⁰, O. Kivernyk ¹³⁶, E. Kladiva ^{144b}, M.H. Klein ³⁵,
 M. Klein ⁷⁴, U. Klein ⁷⁴, K. Kleinknecht ⁸³, P. Klimek ^{146a,146b}, A. Klimentov ²⁵, R. Klingenberg ⁴³,
 J.A. Klinger ¹³⁹, T. Klioutchnikova ³⁰, E.-E. Kluge ^{58a}, P. Kluit ¹⁰⁷, S. Kluth ¹⁰¹, J. Knapik ³⁹, E. Kneringer ⁶²,
 E.B.F.G. Knoops ⁸⁵, A. Knue ⁵³, A. Kobayashi ¹⁵⁵, D. Kobayashi ¹⁵⁷, T. Kobayashi ¹⁵⁵, M. Kobel ⁴⁴,
 M. Kocian ¹⁴³, P. Kodys ¹²⁹, T. Koffas ²⁹, E. Koffeman ¹⁰⁷, L.A. Kogan ¹²⁰, S. Kohlmann ¹⁷⁵, Z. Kohout ¹²⁸,
 T. Kohriki ⁶⁶, T. Koi ¹⁴³, H. Kolanoski ¹⁶, M. Kolb ^{58b}, I. Koletsou ⁵, A.A. Komar ^{96,*}, Y. Komori ¹⁵⁵,
 T. Kondo ⁶⁶, N. Kondrashova ⁴², K. Köneke ⁴⁸, A.C. König ¹⁰⁶, T. Kono ⁶⁶, R. Konoplich ^{110,w},
 N. Konstantinidis ⁷⁸, R. Kopeliansky ¹⁵², S. Koperny ^{38a}, L. Köpke ⁸³, A.K. Kopp ⁴⁸, K. Korcyl ³⁹,
 K. Kordas ¹⁵⁴, A. Korn ⁷⁸, A.A. Korol ^{109,c}, I. Korolkov ¹², E.V. Korolkova ¹³⁹, O. Kortner ¹⁰¹, S. Kortner ¹⁰¹,
 T. Kosek ¹²⁹, V.V. Kostyukhin ²¹, V.M. Kotov ⁶⁵, A. Kotwal ⁴⁵, A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi ¹⁵⁴,
 C. Kourkoumelis ⁹, V. Kouskoura ²⁵, A. Koutsman ^{159a}, R. Kowalewski ¹⁶⁹, T.Z. Kowalski ^{38a},
 W. Kozanecki ¹³⁶, A.S. Kozhin ¹³⁰, V.A. Kramarenko ⁹⁹, G. Kramberger ⁷⁵, D. Krasnopevtsev ⁹⁸,
 M.W. Krasny ⁸⁰, A. Krasznahorkay ³⁰, J.K. Kraus ²¹, A. Kravchenko ²⁵, S. Kreiss ¹¹⁰, M. Kretz ^{58c},
 J. Kretzschmar ⁷⁴, K. Kreutzfeldt ⁵², P. Krieger ¹⁵⁸, K. Krizka ³¹, K. Kroeninger ⁴³, H. Kroha ¹⁰¹, J. Kroll ¹²²,
 J. Kroseberg ²¹, J. Krstic ¹³, U. Kruchonak ⁶⁵, H. Krüger ²¹, N. Krumnack ⁶⁴, A. Kruse ¹⁷³, M.C. Kruse ⁴⁵,
 M. Kruskal ²², T. Kubota ⁸⁸, H. Kucuk ⁷⁸, S. Kuday ^{4b}, S. Kuehn ⁴⁸, A. Kugel ^{58c}, F. Kuger ¹⁷⁴, A. Kuhl ¹³⁷,
 T. Kuhl ⁴², V. Kukhtin ⁶⁵, R. Kukla ¹³⁶, Y. Kulchitsky ⁹², S. Kuleshov ^{32b}, M. Kuna ^{132a,132b}, T. Kunigo ⁶⁸,
 A. Kupco ¹²⁷, H. Kurashige ⁶⁷, Y.A. Kurochkin ⁹², V. Kus ¹²⁷, E.S. Kuwertz ¹⁶⁹, M. Kuze ¹⁵⁷, J. Kvita ¹¹⁵,
 T. Kwan ¹⁶⁹, D. Kyriazopoulos ¹³⁹, A. La Rosa ¹³⁷, J.L. La Rosa Navarro ^{24d}, L. La Rotonda ^{37a,37b},
 C. Lacasta ¹⁶⁷, F. Lacava ^{132a,132b}, J. Lacey ²⁹, H. Lacker ¹⁶, D. Lacour ⁸⁰, V.R. Lacuesta ¹⁶⁷, E. Ladygin ⁶⁵,
 R. Lafaye ⁵, B. Laforge ⁸⁰, T. Lagouri ¹⁷⁶, S. Lai ⁵⁴, L. Lambourne ⁷⁸, S. Lammers ⁶¹, C.L. Lampen ⁷,
 W. Lampl ⁷, E. Lançon ¹³⁶, U. Landgraf ⁴⁸, M.P.J. Landon ⁷⁶, V.S. Lang ^{58a}, J.C. Lange ¹², A.J. Lankford ¹⁶³,
 F. Lanni ²⁵, K. Lantzsch ²¹, A. Lanza ^{121a}, S. Laplace ⁸⁰, C. Lapoire ³⁰, J.F. Laporte ¹³⁶, T. Lari ^{91a},
 F. Lasagni Manghi ^{20a,20b}, M. Lassnig ³⁰, P. Laurelli ⁴⁷, W. Lavrijsen ¹⁵, A.T. Law ¹³⁷, P. Laycock ⁷⁴,
 T. Lazovich ⁵⁷, O. Le Dortz ⁸⁰, E. Le Guirriec ⁸⁵, E. Le Menedeu ¹², M. LeBlanc ¹⁶⁹, T. LeCompte ⁶,
 F. Ledroit-Guillon ⁵⁵, C.A. Lee ^{145a}, S.C. Lee ¹⁵¹, L. Lee ¹, G. Lefebvre ⁸⁰, M. Lefebvre ¹⁶⁹, F. Legger ¹⁰⁰,
 C. Leggett ¹⁵, A. Lehan ⁷⁴, G. Lehmann Miotto ³⁰, X. Lei ⁷, W.A. Leight ²⁹, A. Leisos ^{154,x}, A.G. Leister ¹⁷⁶,

- M.A.L. Leite ^{24d}, R. Leitner ¹²⁹, D. Lellouch ¹⁷², B. Lemmer ⁵⁴, K.J.C. Leney ⁷⁸, T. Lenz ²¹, B. Lenzi ³⁰, R. Leone ⁷, S. Leone ^{124a,124b}, C. Leonidopoulos ⁴⁶, S. Leontsinis ¹⁰, C. Leroy ⁹⁵, C.G. Lester ²⁸, M. Levchenko ¹²³, J. Levêque ⁵, D. Levin ⁸⁹, L.J. Levinson ¹⁷², M. Levy ¹⁸, A. Lewis ¹²⁰, A.M. Leyko ²¹, M. Leyton ⁴¹, B. Li ^{33b,y}, H. Li ¹⁴⁸, H.L. Li ³¹, L. Li ⁴⁵, L. Li ^{33e}, S. Li ⁴⁵, X. Li ⁸⁴, Y. Li ^{33c,z}, Z. Liang ¹³⁷, H. Liao ³⁴, B. Liberti ^{133a}, A. Liblong ¹⁵⁸, P. Lichard ³⁰, K. Lie ¹⁶⁵, J. Liebal ²¹, W. Liebig ¹⁴, C. Limbach ²¹, A. Limosani ¹⁵⁰, S.C. Lin ^{151,aa}, T.H. Lin ⁸³, F. Linde ¹⁰⁷, B.E. Lindquist ¹⁴⁸, J.T. Linnemann ⁹⁰, E. Lipeles ¹²², A. Lipniacka ¹⁴, M. Lisovyi ^{58b}, T.M. Liss ¹⁶⁵, D. Lissauer ²⁵, A. Lister ¹⁶⁸, A.M. Litke ¹³⁷, B. Liu ^{151,ab}, D. Liu ¹⁵¹, H. Liu ⁸⁹, J. Liu ⁸⁵, J.B. Liu ^{33b}, K. Liu ⁸⁵, L. Liu ¹⁶⁵, M. Liu ⁴⁵, M. Liu ^{33b}, Y. Liu ^{33b}, M. Livan ^{121a,121b}, A. Lleres ⁵⁵, J. Llorente Merino ⁸², S.L. Lloyd ⁷⁶, F. Lo Sterzo ¹⁵¹, E. Lobodzinska ⁴², P. Loch ⁷, W.S. Lockman ¹³⁷, F.K. Loebinger ⁸⁴, A.E. Loevschall-Jensen ³⁶, K.M. Loew ²³, A. Loginov ¹⁷⁶, T. Lohse ¹⁶, K. Lohwasser ⁴², M. Lokajicek ¹²⁷, B.A. Long ²², J.D. Long ¹⁶⁵, R.E. Long ⁷², K.A.Looper ¹¹¹, L. Lopes ^{126a}, D. Lopez Mateos ⁵⁷, B. Lopez Paredes ¹³⁹, I. Lopez Paz ¹², J. Lorenz ¹⁰⁰, N. Lorenzo Martinez ⁶¹, M. Losada ¹⁶², P.J. Lösel ¹⁰⁰, X. Lou ^{33a}, A. Lounis ¹¹⁷, J. Love ⁶, P.A. Love ⁷², H. Lu ^{60a}, N. Lu ⁸⁹, H.J. Lubatti ¹³⁸, C. Luci ^{132a,132b}, A. Lucotte ⁵⁵, C. Luedtke ⁴⁸, F. Luehring ⁶¹, W. Lukas ⁶², L. Luminari ^{132a}, O. Lundberg ^{146a,146b}, B. Lund-Jensen ¹⁴⁷, D. Lynn ²⁵, R. Lysak ¹²⁷, E. Lytken ⁸¹, H. Ma ²⁵, L.L. Ma ^{33d}, G. Maccarrone ⁴⁷, A. Macchiolo ¹⁰¹, C.M. Macdonald ¹³⁹, B. Maček ⁷⁵, J. Machado Miguens ^{122,126b}, D. Macina ³⁰, D. Madaffari ⁸⁵, R. Madar ³⁴, H.J. Maddocks ⁷², W.F. Mader ⁴⁴, A. Madsen ¹⁶⁶, J. Maeda ⁶⁷, S. Maeland ¹⁴, T. Maeno ²⁵, A. Maevskiy ⁹⁹, E. Magradze ⁵⁴, K. Mahboubi ⁴⁸, J. Mahlstedt ¹⁰⁷, C. Maiani ¹³⁶, C. Maidantchik ^{24a}, A.A. Maier ¹⁰¹, T. Maier ¹⁰⁰, A. Maio ^{126a,126b,126d}, S. Majewski ¹¹⁶, Y. Makida ⁶⁶, N. Makovec ¹¹⁷, B. Malaescu ⁸⁰, Pa. Malecki ³⁹, V.P. Maleev ¹²³, F. Malek ⁵⁵, U. Mallik ⁶³, D. Malon ⁶, C. Malone ¹⁴³, S. Maltezos ¹⁰, V.M. Malyshev ¹⁰⁹, S. Malyukov ³⁰, J. Mamuzic ⁴², G. Mancini ⁴⁷, B. Mandelli ³⁰, L. Mandelli ^{91a}, I. Mandić ⁷⁵, R. Mandrysch ⁶³, J. Maneira ^{126a,126b}, A. Manfredini ¹⁰¹, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho ^{24b}, J. Manjarres Ramos ^{159b}, A. Mann ¹⁰⁰, A. Manousakis-Katsikakis ⁹, B. Mansoulie ¹³⁶, R. Mantifel ⁸⁷, M. Mantoani ⁵⁴, L. Mapelli ³⁰, L. March ^{145c}, G. Marchiori ⁸⁰, M. Marcisovsky ¹²⁷, C.P. Marino ¹⁶⁹, M. Marjanovic ¹³, D.E. Marley ⁸⁹, F. Marroquim ^{24a}, S.P. Marsden ⁸⁴, Z. Marshall ¹⁵, L.F. Marti ¹⁷, S. Marti-Garcia ¹⁶⁷, B. Martin ⁹⁰, T.A. Martin ¹⁷⁰, V.J. Martin ⁴⁶, B. Martin dit Latour ¹⁴, M. Martinez ^{12,o}, S. Martin-Haugh ¹³¹, V.S. Martoiu ^{26b}, A.C. Martyniuk ⁷⁸, M. Marx ¹³⁸, F. Marzano ^{132a}, A. Marzin ³⁰, L. Masetti ⁸³, T. Mashimo ¹⁵⁵, R. Mashinistov ⁹⁶, J. Masik ⁸⁴, A.L. Maslennikov ^{109,c}, I. Massa ^{20a,20b}, L. Massa ^{20a,20b}, P. Mastrandrea ⁵, A. Mastroberardino ^{37a,37b}, T. Masubuchi ¹⁵⁵, P. Mättig ¹⁷⁵, J. Mattmann ⁸³, J. Maurer ^{26b}, S.J. Maxfield ⁷⁴, D.A. Maximov ^{109,c}, R. Mazini ¹⁵¹, S.M. Mazza ^{91a,91b}, G. Mc Goldrick ¹⁵⁸, S.P. Mc Kee ⁸⁹, A. McCarn ⁸⁹, R.L. McCarthy ¹⁴⁸, T.G. McCarthy ²⁹, N.A. McCubbin ¹³¹, K.W. McFarlane ^{56,*}, J.A. McFayden ⁷⁸, G. McHedlidze ⁵⁴, S.J. McMahon ¹³¹, R.A. McPherson ^{169,k}, M. Medinnis ⁴², S. Meehan ^{145a}, S. Mehlhase ¹⁰⁰, A. Mehta ⁷⁴, K. Meier ^{58a}, C. Meineck ¹⁰⁰, B. Meirose ⁴¹, B.R. Mellado Garcia ^{145c}, F. Meloni ¹⁷, A. Mengarelli ^{20a,20b}, S. Menke ¹⁰¹, E. Meoni ¹⁶¹, K.M. Mercurio ⁵⁷, S. Mergelmeyer ²¹, P. Mermod ⁴⁹, L. Merola ^{104a,104b}, C. Meroni ^{91a}, F.S. Merritt ³¹, A. Messina ^{132a,132b}, J. Metcalfe ²⁵, A.S. Mete ¹⁶³, C. Meyer ⁸³, C. Meyer ¹²², J-P. Meyer ¹³⁶, J. Meyer ¹⁰⁷, H. Meyer Zu Theenhausen ^{58a}, R.P. Middleton ¹³¹, S. Miglioranzi ^{164a,164c}, L. Mijović ²¹, G. Mikenberg ¹⁷², M. Mikestikova ¹²⁷, M. Mikuž ⁷⁵, M. Milesi ⁸⁸, A. Milic ³⁰, D.W. Miller ³¹, C. Mills ⁴⁶, A. Milov ¹⁷², D.A. Milstead ^{146a,146b}, A.A. Minaenko ¹³⁰, Y. Minami ¹⁵⁵, I.A. Minashvili ⁶⁵, A.I. Mincer ¹¹⁰, B. Mindur ^{38a}, M. Mineev ⁶⁵, Y. Ming ¹⁷³, L.M. Mir ¹², K.P. Mistry ¹²², T. Mitani ¹⁷¹, J. Mitrevski ¹⁰⁰, V.A. Mitsou ¹⁶⁷, A. Miucci ⁴⁹, P.S. Miyagawa ¹³⁹, J.U. Mjörnmark ⁸¹, T. Moa ^{146a,146b}, K. Mochizuki ⁸⁵, S. Mohapatra ³⁵, W. Mohr ⁴⁸, S. Molander ^{146a,146b}, R. Moles-Valls ²¹, R. Monden ⁶⁸, K. Mönig ⁴², C. Monini ⁵⁵, J. Monk ³⁶, E. Monnier ⁸⁵, A. Montalbano ¹⁴⁸, J. Montejo Berlinguen ¹², F. Monticelli ⁷¹, S. Monzani ^{132a,132b}, R.W. Moore ³, N. Morange ¹¹⁷, D. Moreno ¹⁶², M. Moreno Llácer ⁵⁴, P. Morettini ^{50a}, D. Mori ¹⁴², T. Mori ¹⁵⁵, M. Morii ⁵⁷, M. Morinaga ¹⁵⁵, V. Morisbak ¹¹⁹, S. Moritz ⁸³, A.K. Morley ¹⁵⁰, G. Mornacchi ³⁰, J.D. Morris ⁷⁶, S.S. Mortensen ³⁶, A. Morton ⁵³, L. Morvaj ¹⁰³, M. Mosidze ^{51b}, J. Moss ¹⁴³, K. Motohashi ¹⁵⁷, R. Mount ¹⁴³, E. Mountricha ²⁵, S.V. Mouraviev ^{96,*}, E.J.W. Moyse ⁸⁶, S. Muanza ⁸⁵, R.D. Mudd ¹⁸, F. Mueller ¹⁰¹, J. Mueller ¹²⁵, R.S.P. Mueller ¹⁰⁰, T. Mueller ²⁸, D. Muenstermann ⁴⁹, P. Mullen ⁵³, G.A. Mullier ¹⁷, J.A. Murillo Quijada ¹⁸, W.J. Murray ^{170,131}, H. Musheghyan ⁵⁴, E. Musto ¹⁵², A.G. Myagkov ^{130,ac}, M. Myska ¹²⁸, B.P. Nachman ¹⁴³, O. Nackenhorst ⁵⁴, J. Nadal ⁵⁴, K. Nagai ¹²⁰, R. Nagai ¹⁵⁷, Y. Nagai ⁸⁵, K. Nagano ⁶⁶, A. Nagarkar ¹¹¹, Y. Nagasaka ⁵⁹, K. Nagata ¹⁶⁰, M. Nagel ¹⁰¹, E. Nagy ⁸⁵, A.M. Nairz ³⁰, Y. Nakahama ³⁰, K. Nakamura ⁶⁶, T. Nakamura ¹⁵⁵,

- I. Nakano 112, H. Namasivayam 41, R.F. Naranjo Garcia 42, R. Narayan 31, D.I. Narrias Villar 58a,
 T. Naumann 42, G. Navarro 162, R. Nayyar 7, H.A. Neal 89, P.Yu. Nechaeva 96, T.J. Neep 84, P.D. Nef 143,
 A. Negri 121a,121b, M. Negrini 20a, S. Nektarijevic 106, C. Nellist 117, A. Nelson 163, S. Nemecek 127,
 P. Nemethy 110, A.A. Nepomuceno 24a, M. Nessi 30,ad, M.S. Neubauer 165, M. Neumann 175, R.M. Neves 110,
 P. Nevski 25, P.R. Newman 18, D.H. Nguyen 6, R.B. Nickerson 120, R. Nicolaïdou 136, B. Nicquevert 30,
 J. Nielsen 137, N. Nikiforou 35, A. Nikiforov 16, V. Nikolaenko 130,ac, I. Nikolic-Audit 80, K. Nikolopoulos 18,
 J.K. Nilsen 119, P. Nilsson 25, Y. Ninomiya 155, A. Nisati 132a, R. Nisius 101, T. Nobe 155, M. Nomachi 118,
 I. Nomidis 29, T. Nooney 76, S. Norberg 113, M. Nordberg 30, O. Novgorodova 44, S. Nowak 101, M. Nozaki 66,
 L. Nozka 115, K. Ntekas 10, G. Nunes Hanninger 88, T. Nunnemann 100, E. Nurse 78, F. Nuti 88, B.J. O'Brien 46,
 F. O'grady 7, D.C. O'Neil 142, V. O'Shea 53, F.G. Oakham 29,d, H. Oberlack 101, T. Obermann 21, J. Ocariz 80,
 A. Ochi 67, I. Ochoa 35, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux 32a, S. Oda 70, S. Odaka 66, H. Ogren 61, A. Oh 84, S.H. Oh 45,
 C.C. Ohm 15, H. Ohman 166, H. Oide 30, W. Okamura 118, H. Okawa 160, Y. Okumura 31, T. Okuyama 66,
 A. Olariu 26b, S.A. Olivares Pino 46, D. Oliveira Damazio 25, A. Olszewski 39, J. Olszowska 39,
 A. Onofre 126a,126e, K. Onogi 103, P.U.E. Onyisi 31,s, C.J. Oram 159a, M.J. Oreglia 31, Y. Oren 153,
 D. Orestano 134a,134b, N. Orlando 154, C. Oropeza Barrera 53, R.S. Orr 158, B. Osculati 50a,50b, R. Ospanov 84,
 G. Otero y Garzon 27, H. Ottono 70, M. Ouchrif 135d, F. Ould-Saada 119, A. Ouraou 136, K.P. Oussoren 107,
 Q. Ouyang 33a, A. Ovcharova 15, M. Owen 53, R.E. Owen 18, V.E. Ozcan 19a, N. Ozturk 8, K. Pachal 142,
 A. Pacheco Pages 12, C. Padilla Aranda 12, M. Pagáčová 48, S. Pagan Griso 15, E. Paganis 139, F. Paige 25,
 P. Pais 86, K. Pajchel 119, G. Palacino 159b, S. Palestini 30, M. Palka 38b, D. Pallin 34, A. Palma 126a,126b,
 Y.B. Pan 173, E. St. Panagiotopoulou 10, C.E. Pandini 80, J.G. Panduro Vazquez 77, P. Pani 146a,146b,
 S. Panitkin 25, D. Pantea 26b, L. Paolozzi 49, Th.D. Papadopoulou 10, K. Papageorgiou 154, A. Paramonov 6,
 D. Paredes Hernandez 154, M.A. Parker 28, K.A. Parker 139, F. Parodi 50a,50b, J.A. Parsons 35, U. Parzefall 48,
 E. Pasqualucci 132a, S. Passaggio 50a, F. Pastore 134a,134b,* Fr. Pastore 77, G. Pásztor 29, S. Pataraia 175,
 N.D. Patel 150, J.R. Pater 84, T. Pauly 30, J. Pearce 169, B. Pearson 113, L.E. Pedersen 36, M. Pedersen 119,
 S. Pedraza Lopez 167, R. Pedro 126a,126b, S.V. Peleganchuk 109,c, D. Pelikan 166, O. Penc 127, C. Peng 33a,
 H. Peng 33b, B. Penning 31, J. Penwell 61, D.V. Perepelitsa 25, E. Perez Codina 159a,
 M.T. Pérez García-Estañ 167, L. Perini 91a,91b, H. Pernegger 30, S. Perrella 104a,104b, R. Peschke 42,
 V.D. Peshekhonov 65, K. Peters 30, R.F.Y. Peters 84, B.A. Petersen 30, T.C. Petersen 36, E. Petit 42, A. Petridis 1,
 C. Petridou 154, P. Petroff 117, E. Petrolo 132a, F. Petrucci 134a,134b, N.E. Pettersson 157, R. Pezoa 32b,
 P.W. Phillips 131, G. Piacquadio 143, E. Pianori 170, A. Picazio 49, E. Piccaro 76, M. Piccinini 20a,20b,
 M.A. Pickering 120, R. Piegaia 27, D.T. Pignotti 111, J.E. Pilcher 31, A.D. Pilkington 84, A.W.J. Pin 84,
 J. Pina 126a,126b,126d, M. Pinamonti 164a,164c,ae, J.L. Pinfold 3, A. Pingel 36, S. Pires 80, H. Pirumov 42,
 M. Pitt 172, C. Pizio 91a,91b, L. Plazak 144a, M.-A. Pleier 25, V. Pleskot 129, E. Plotnikova 65,
 P. Plucinski 146a,146b, D. Pluth 64, R. Poettgen 146a,146b, L. Poggiali 117, D. Pohl 21, G. Polesello 121a,
 A. Poley 42, A. Policicchio 37a,37b, R. Polifka 158, A. Polini 20a, C.S. Pollard 53, V. Polychronakos 25,
 K. Pommès 30, L. Pontecorvo 132a, B.G. Pope 90, G.A. Popeneciu 26c, D.S. Popovic 13, A. Poppleton 30,
 S. Pospisil 128, K. Potamianos 15, I.N. Potrap 65, C.J. Potter 149, C.T. Potter 116, G. Pouillard 30, J. Poveda 30,
 V. Pozdnyakov 65, P. Pralavorio 85, A. Pranko 15, S. Prasad 30, S. Prell 64, D. Price 84, L.E. Price 6,
 M. Primavera 73a, S. Prince 87, M. Proissl 46, K. Prokofiev 60c, F. Prokoshin 32b, E. Protopapadaki 136,
 S. Protopopescu 25, J. Proudfoot 6, M. Przybycien 38a, E. Ptacek 116, D. Puddu 134a,134b, E. Pueschel 86,
 D. Puldon 148, M. Purohit 25,af, P. Puzo 117, J. Qian 89, G. Qin 53, Y. Qin 84, A. Quadt 54, D.R. Quarrie 15,
 W.B. Quayle 164a,164b, M. Queitsch-Maitland 84, D. Quilty 53, S. Raddum 119, V. Radeka 25, V. Radescu 42,
 S.K. Radhakrishnan 148, P. Radloff 116, P. Rados 88, F. Ragusa 91a,91b, G. Rahal 178, S. Rajagopalan 25,
 M. Rammensee 30, C. Rangel-Smith 166, F. Rauscher 100, S. Rave 83, T. Ravenscroft 53, M. Raymond 30,
 A.L. Read 119, N.P. Readioff 74, D.M. Rebuzzi 121a,121b, A. Redelbach 174, G. Redlinger 25, R. Reece 137,
 K. Reeves 41, L. Rehnisch 16, J. Reichert 122, H. Reisin 27, C. Rembser 30, H. Ren 33a, A. Renaud 117,
 M. Rescigno 132a, S. Resconi 91a, O.L. Rezanova 109,c, P. Reznicek 129, R. Rezvani 95, R. Richter 101,
 S. Richter 78, E. Richter-Was 38b, O. Ricken 21, M. Ridel 80, P. Rieck 16, C.J. Riegel 175, J. Rieger 54, O. Rifki 113,
 M. Rijssenbeek 148, A. Rimoldi 121a,121b, L. Rinaldi 20a, B. Ristić 49, E. Ritsch 30, I. Riu 12, F. Rizatdinova 114,
 E. Rizvi 76, S.H. Robertson 87,k, A. Robichaud-Veronneau 87, D. Robinson 28, J.E.M. Robinson 42,
 A. Robson 53, C. Roda 124a,124b, S. Roe 30, O. Røhne 119, S. Rolli 161, A. Romanouk 98, M. Romano 20a,20b,
 S.M. Romano Saez 34, E. Romero Adam 167, N. Rompotis 138, M. Ronzani 48, L. Roos 80, E. Ros 167,

- S. Rosati 132a, K. Rosbach 48, P. Rose 137, P.L. Rosendahl 14, O. Rosenthal 141, V. Rossetti 146a, 146b,
 E. Rossi 104a, 104b, L.P. Rossi 50a, J.H.N. Rosten 28, R. Rosten 138, M. Rotaru 26b, I. Roth 172, J. Rothberg 138,
 D. Rousseau 117, C.R. Royon 136, A. Rozanov 85, Y. Rozen 152, X. Ruan 145c, F. Rubbo 143, I. Rubinskiy 42,
 V.I. Rud 99, C. Rudolph 44, M.S. Rudolph 158, F. Rühr 48, A. Ruiz-Martinez 30, Z. Rurikova 48,
 N.A. Rusakovich 65, A. Ruschke 100, H.L. Russell 138, J.P. Rutherford 7, N. Ruthmann 30, Y.F. Ryabov 123,
 M. Rybar 165, G. Rybkin 117, N.C. Ryder 120, A.F. Saavedra 150, G. Sabato 107, S. Sacerdoti 27, A. Saddique 3,
 H.F-W. Sadrozinski 137, R. Sadykov 65, F. Safai Tehrani 132a, P. Saha 108, M. Sahinsoy 58a, M. Saimpert 136,
 T. Saito 155, H. Sakamoto 155, Y. Sakurai 171, G. Salamanna 134a, 134b, A. Salamon 133a, J.E. Salazar Loyola 32b,
 M. Saleem 113, D. Salek 107, P.H. Sales De Bruin 138, D. Salihagic 101, A. Salnikov 143, J. Salt 167,
 D. Salvatore 37a, 37b, F. Salvatore 149, A. Salvucci 60a, A. Salzburger 30, D. Sammel 48, D. Sampsonidis 154,
 A. Sanchez 104a, 104b, J. Sánchez 167, V. Sanchez Martinez 167, H. Sandaker 119, R.L. Sandbach 76,
 H.G. Sander 83, M.P. Sanders 100, M. Sandhoff 175, C. Sandoval 162, R. Sandstroem 101, D.P.C. Sankey 131,
 M. Sannino 50a, 50b, A. Sansoni 47, C. Santoni 34, R. Santonic 133a, 133b, H. Santos 126a, I. Santoyo Castillo 149,
 K. Sapp 125, A. Sapronov 65, J.G. Saraiva 126a, 126d, B. Sarrazin 21, O. Sasaki 66, Y. Sasaki 155, K. Sato 160,
 G. Sauvage 5,* , E. Sauvan 5, G. Savage 77, P. Savard 158,d, C. Sawyer 131, L. Sawyer 79,n, J. Saxon 31,
 C. Sbarra 20a, A. Sbrizzi 20a, 20b, T. Scanlon 78, D.A. Scannicchio 163, M. Scarcella 150, V. Scarfone 37a, 37b,
 J. Schaarschmidt 172, P. Schacht 101, D. Schaefer 30, R. Schaefer 42, J. Schaeffer 83, S. Schaepe 21,
 S. Schaetzl 58b, U. Schäfer 83, A.C. Schaffer 117, D. Schaile 100, R.D. Schamberger 148, V. Scharf 58a,
 V.A. Schegelsky 123, D. Scheirich 129, M. Schernau 163, C. Schiavi 50a, 50b, C. Schillo 48, M. Schioppa 37a, 37b,
 S. Schlenker 30, K. Schmieden 30, C. Schmitt 83, S. Schmitt 58b, S. Schmitt 42, B. Schneider 159a,
 Y.J. Schnellbach 74, U. Schnoor 44, L. Schoeffel 136, A. Schoening 58b, B.D. Schoenrock 90, E. Schopf 21,
 A.L.S. Schorlemmer 54, M. Schott 83, D. Schouten 159a, J. Schovancova 8, S. Schramm 49, M. Schreyer 174,
 N. Schuh 83, M.J. Schultens 21, H.-C. Schultz-Coulon 58a, H. Schulz 16, M. Schumacher 48, B.A. Schumm 137,
 Ph. Schune 136, C. Schwanenberger 84, A. Schwartzman 143, T.A. Schwarz 89, Ph. Schwegler 101,
 H. Schweiger 84, Ph. Schwemling 136, R. Schwienhorst 90, J. Schwindling 136, T. Schwindt 21, F.G. Sciaccia 17,
 E. Scifo 117, G. Sciolla 23, F. Scuri 124a, 124b, F. Scutti 21, J. Searcy 89, G. Sedov 42, E. Sedykh 123, P. Seema 21,
 S.C. Seidel 105, A. Seiden 137, F. Seifert 128, J.M. Seixas 24a, G. Sekhniadze 104a, K. Sekhon 89, S.J. Sekula 40,
 D.M. Seliverstov 123,* , N. Semprini-Cesari 20a, 20b, C. Serfon 30, L. Serin 117, L. Serkin 164a, 164b, T. Serre 85,
 M. Sessa 134a, 134b, R. Seuster 159a, H. Severini 113, T. Sfiligoj 75, F. Sforza 30, A. Sfyrla 30, E. Shabalina 54,
 M. Shamim 116, L.Y. Shan 33a, R. Shang 165, J.T. Shank 22, M. Shapiro 15, P.B. Shatalov 97, K. Shaw 164a, 164b,
 S.M. Shaw 84, A. Shcherbakova 146a, 146b, C.Y. Shehu 149, P. Sherwood 78, L. Shi 151, ag, S. Shimizu 67,
 C.O. Shimmin 163, M. Shimojima 102, M. Shiyakova 65, A. Shmeleva 96, D. Shoaleh Saadi 95, M.J. Shochet 31,
 S. Shojaii 91a, 91b, S. Shrestha 111, E. Shulga 98, M.A. Shupe 7, S. Shushkevich 42, P. Sicho 127, P.E. Sidebo 147,
 O. Sidiropoulou 174, D. Sidorov 114, A. Sidoti 20a, 20b, F. Siegert 44, Dj. Sijacki 13, J. Silva 126a, 126d, Y. Silver 153,
 S.B. Silverstein 146a, V. Simak 128, O. Simard 5, Lj. Simic 13, S. Simion 117, E. Simioni 83, B. Simmons 78,
 D. Simon 34, P. Sinervo 158, N.B. Sinev 116, M. Sioli 20a, 20b, G. Siragusa 174, A.N. Sisakyan 65,* ,
 S.Yu. Sivoklokov 99, J. Sjölin 146a, 146b, T.B. Sjursen 14, M.B. Skinner 72, H.P. Skottowe 57, P. Skubic 113,
 M. Slater 18, T. Slavicek 128, M. Slawinska 107, K. Sliwa 161, V. Smakhtin 172, B.H. Smart 46, L. Smestad 14,
 S.Yu. Smirnov 98, Y. Smirnov 98, L.N. Smirnova 99, ah, O. Smirnova 81, M.N.K. Smith 35, R.W. Smith 35,
 M. Smizanska 72, K. Smolek 128, A.A. Snesarev 96, G. Snidero 76, S. Snyder 25, R. Sobie 169, k, F. Socher 44,
 A. Soffer 153, D.A. Soh 151, ag, G. Sokhrannyi 75, C.A. Solans 30, M. Solar 128, J. Solc 128, E.Yu. Soldatov 98,
 U. Soldevila 167, A.A. Solodkov 130, A. Soloshenko 65, O.V. Solovyanov 130, V. Solovyev 123, P. Sommer 48,
 H.Y. Song 33b, y, N. Soni 1, A. Sood 15, A. Sopczak 128, B. Sopko 128, V. Sopko 128, V. Sorin 12, D. Sosa 58b,
 M. Sosebee 8, C.L. Sotiropoulou 124a, 124b, R. Soualah 164a, 164c, A.M. Soukharev 109, c, D. South 42,
 B.C. Sowden 77, S. Spagnolo 73a, 73b, M. Spalla 124a, 124b, M. Spangenberg 170, F. Spanò 77, W.R. Spearman 57,
 D. Sperlich 16, F. Spettel 101, R. Spighi 20a, G. Spigo 30, L.A. Spiller 88, M. Spousta 129, R.D. St. Denis 53,* ,
 A. Stabile 91a, S. Staerz 44, J. Stahlman 122, R. Stamen 58a, S. Stamm 16, E. Stancka 39, C. Stanescu 134a,
 M. Stanescu-Bellu 42, M.M. Stanitzki 42, S. Stapnes 119, E.A. Starchenko 130, J. Stark 55, P. Staroba 127,
 P. Starovoitov 58a, R. Staszewski 39, P. Steinberg 25, B. Stelzer 142, H.J. Stelzer 30, O. Stelzer-Chilton 159a,
 H. Stenzel 52, G.A. Stewart 53, J.A. Stillings 21, M.C. Stockton 87, M. Stoebe 87, G. Stoica 26b, P. Stolte 54,
 S. Stonjek 101, A.R. Stradling 8, A. Straessner 44, M.E. Stramaglia 17, J. Strandberg 147,
 S. Strandberg 146a, 146b, A. Strandlie 119, E. Strauss 143, M. Strauss 113, P. Strizenec 144b, R. Ströhmer 174,

- D.M. Strom ¹¹⁶, R. Stroynowski ⁴⁰, A. Strubig ¹⁰⁶, S.A. Stucci ¹⁷, B. Stugu ¹⁴, N.A. Styles ⁴², D. Su ¹⁴³, J. Su ¹²⁵, R. Subramaniam ⁷⁹, A. Succurro ¹², S. Suchek ^{58a}, Y. Sugaya ¹¹⁸, M. Suk ¹²⁸, V.V. Sulin ⁹⁶, S. Sultansoy ^{4c}, T. Sumida ⁶⁸, S. Sun ⁵⁷, X. Sun ^{33a}, J.E. Sundermann ⁴⁸, K. Suruliz ¹⁴⁹, G. Susinno ^{37a,37b}, M.R. Sutton ¹⁴⁹, S. Suzuki ⁶⁶, M. Svatos ¹²⁷, M. Swiatlowski ¹⁴³, I. Sykora ^{144a}, T. Sykora ¹²⁹, D. Ta ⁴⁸, C. Taccini ^{134a,134b}, K. Tackmann ⁴², J. Taenzer ¹⁵⁸, A. Taffard ¹⁶³, R. Tafirout ^{159a}, N. Taiblum ¹⁵³, H. Takai ²⁵, R. Takashima ⁶⁹, H. Takeda ⁶⁷, T. Takeshita ¹⁴⁰, Y. Takubo ⁶⁶, M. Talby ⁸⁵, A.A. Talyshov ^{109,c}, J.Y.C. Tam ¹⁷⁴, K.G. Tan ⁸⁸, J. Tanaka ¹⁵⁵, R. Tanaka ¹¹⁷, S. Tanaka ⁶⁶, B.B. Tannenwald ¹¹¹, N. Tannoury ²¹, S. Tapia Araya ^{32b}, S. Tapprogge ⁸³, S. Tarem ¹⁵², F. Tarrade ²⁹, G.F. Tartarelli ^{91a}, P. Tas ¹²⁹, M. Tasevsky ¹²⁷, T. Tashiro ⁶⁸, E. Tassi ^{37a,37b}, A. Tavares Delgado ^{126a,126b}, Y. Tayalati ^{135d}, F.E. Taylor ⁹⁴, G.N. Taylor ⁸⁸, P.T.E. Taylor ⁸⁸, W. Taylor ^{159b}, F.A. Teischinger ³⁰, M. Teixeira Dias Castanheira ⁷⁶, P. Teixeira-Dias ⁷⁷, K.K. Temming ⁴⁸, D. Temple ¹⁴², H. Ten Kate ³⁰, P.K. Teng ¹⁵¹, J.J. Teoh ¹¹⁸, F. Tepel ¹⁷⁵, S. Terada ⁶⁶, K. Terashi ¹⁵⁵, J. Terron ⁸², S. Terzo ¹⁰¹, M. Testa ⁴⁷, R.J. Teuscher ^{158,k}, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer ³⁴, J.P. Thomas ¹⁸, J. Thomas-Wilsker ⁷⁷, E.N. Thompson ³⁵, P.D. Thompson ¹⁸, R.J. Thompson ⁸⁴, A.S. Thompson ⁵³, L.A. Thomsen ¹⁷⁶, E. Thomson ¹²², M. Thomson ²⁸, R.P. Thun ^{89,*}, M.J. Tibbets ¹⁵, R.E. Ticse Torres ⁸⁵, V.O. Tikhomirov ^{96,ai}, Yu.A. Tikhonov ^{109,c}, S. Timoshenko ⁹⁸, E. Tiouchichine ⁸⁵, P. Tipton ¹⁷⁶, S. Tisserant ⁸⁵, K. Todome ¹⁵⁷, T. Todorov ^{5,*}, S. Todorova-Nova ¹²⁹, J. Tojo ⁷⁰, S. Tokár ^{144a}, K. Tokushuku ⁶⁶, K. Tollefson ⁹⁰, E. Tolley ⁵⁷, L. Tomlinson ⁸⁴, M. Tomoto ¹⁰³, L. Tompkins ^{143,aj}, K. Toms ¹⁰⁵, E. Torrence ¹¹⁶, H. Torres ¹⁴², E. Torró Pastor ¹³⁸, J. Toth ^{85,ak}, F. Touchard ⁸⁵, D.R. Tovey ¹³⁹, T. Trefzger ¹⁷⁴, L. Tremblet ³⁰, A. Tricoli ³⁰, I.M. Trigger ^{159a}, S. Trincaz-Duvold ⁸⁰, M.F. Tripiana ¹², W. Trischuk ¹⁵⁸, B. Trocmé ⁵⁵, C. Troncon ^{91a}, M. Trottier-McDonald ¹⁵, M. Trovatelli ¹⁶⁹, L. Truong ^{164a,164c}, M. Trzebinski ³⁹, A. Trzupek ³⁹, C. Tsarouchas ³⁰, J.C.-L. Tseng ¹²⁰, P.V. Tsiareshka ⁹², D. Tsionou ¹⁵⁴, G. Tsipolitis ¹⁰, N. Tsirintanis ⁹, S. Tsiskaridze ¹², V. Tsiskaridze ⁴⁸, E.G. Tskhadadze ^{51a}, K.M. Tsui ^{60a}, I.I. Tsukerman ⁹⁷, V. Tsulaia ¹⁵, S. Tsuno ⁶⁶, D. Tsybychev ¹⁴⁸, A. Tudorache ^{26b}, V. Tudorache ^{26b}, A.N. Tuna ⁵⁷, S.A. Tupputi ^{20a,20b}, S. Turchikhin ^{99,ah}, D. Turecek ¹²⁸, R. Turra ^{91a,91b}, A.J. Turvey ⁴⁰, P.M. Tuts ³⁵, A. Tykhonov ⁴⁹, M. Tylmad ^{146a,146b}, M. Tyndel ¹³¹, I. Ueda ¹⁵⁵, R. Ueno ²⁹, M. Ughetto ^{146a,146b}, M. Ugland ¹⁴, F. Ukegawa ¹⁶⁰, G. Unal ³⁰, A. Undrus ²⁵, G. Unel ¹⁶³, F.C. Ungaro ⁴⁸, Y. Unno ⁶⁶, C. Unverdorben ¹⁰⁰, J. Urban ^{144b}, P. Urquijo ⁸⁸, P. Urrejola ⁸³, G. Usai ⁸, A. Usanova ⁶², L. Vacavant ⁸⁵, V. Vacek ¹²⁸, B. Vachon ⁸⁷, C. Valderanis ⁸³, N. Valencic ¹⁰⁷, S. Valentini ^{20a,20b}, A. Valero ¹⁶⁷, L. Valery ¹², S. Valkar ¹²⁹, S. Vallecorsa ⁴⁹, J.A. Valls Ferrer ¹⁶⁷, W. Van Den Wollenberg ¹⁰⁷, P.C. Van Der Deijl ¹⁰⁷, R. van der Geer ¹⁰⁷, H. van der Graaf ¹⁰⁷, N. van Eldik ¹⁵², P. van Gemmeren ⁶, J. Van Nieuwkoop ¹⁴², I. van Vulpen ¹⁰⁷, M.C. van Woerden ³⁰, M. Vanadia ^{132a,132b}, W. Vandelli ³⁰, R. Vanguri ¹²², A. Vaniachine ⁶, F. Vannucci ⁸⁰, G. Vardanyan ¹⁷⁷, R. Vari ^{132a}, E.W. Varnes ⁷, T. Varol ⁴⁰, D. Varouchas ⁸⁰, A. Vartapetian ⁸, K.E. Varvell ¹⁵⁰, F. Vazeille ³⁴, T. Vazquez Schroeder ⁸⁷, J. Veatch ⁷, L.M. Veloce ¹⁵⁸, F. Veloso ^{126a,126c}, T. Velz ²¹, S. Veneziano ^{132a}, A. Ventura ^{73a,73b}, D. Ventura ⁸⁶, M. Venturi ¹⁶⁹, N. Venturi ¹⁵⁸, A. Venturini ²³, V. Vercesi ^{121a}, M. Verducci ^{132a,132b}, W. Verkerke ¹⁰⁷, J.C. Vermeulen ¹⁰⁷, A. Vest ⁴⁴, M.C. Vetterli ^{142,d}, O. Viazlo ⁸¹, I. Vichou ¹⁶⁵, T. Vickey ¹³⁹, O.E. Vickey Boeriu ¹³⁹, G.H.A. Viehhauser ¹²⁰, S. Viel ¹⁵, R. Vigne ⁶², M. Villa ^{20a,20b}, M. Villaplana Perez ^{91a,91b}, E. Vilucchi ⁴⁷, M.G. Vincter ²⁹, V.B. Vinogradov ⁶⁵, I. Vivarelli ¹⁴⁹, F. Vives Vaque ³, S. Vlachos ¹⁰, D. Vladoiu ¹⁰⁰, M. Vlasak ¹²⁸, M. Vogel ^{32a}, P. Vokac ¹²⁸, G. Volpi ^{124a,124b}, M. Volpi ⁸⁸, H. von der Schmitt ¹⁰¹, H. von Radziewski ⁴⁸, E. von Toerne ²¹, V. Vorobel ¹²⁹, K. Vorobev ⁹⁸, M. Vos ¹⁶⁷, R. Voss ³⁰, J.H. Vossebeld ⁷⁴, N. Vranjes ¹³, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic ¹³, V. Vrba ¹²⁷, M. Vreeswijk ¹⁰⁷, R. Vuillermet ³⁰, I. Vukotic ³¹, Z. Vykydal ¹²⁸, P. Wagner ²¹, W. Wagner ¹⁷⁵, H. Wahlberg ⁷¹, S. Wahrmund ⁴⁴, J. Wakabayashi ¹⁰³, J. Walder ⁷², R. Walker ¹⁰⁰, W. Walkowiak ¹⁴¹, C. Wang ¹⁵¹, F. Wang ¹⁷³, H. Wang ¹⁵, H. Wang ⁴⁰, J. Wang ⁴², J. Wang ¹⁵⁰, K. Wang ⁸⁷, R. Wang ⁶, S.M. Wang ¹⁵¹, T. Wang ²¹, T. Wang ³⁵, X. Wang ¹⁷⁶, C. Wanotayaroj ¹¹⁶, A. Warburton ⁸⁷, C.P. Ward ²⁸, D.R. Wardrop ⁷⁸, A. Washbrook ⁴⁶, C. Wasicki ⁴², P.M. Watkins ¹⁸, A.T. Watson ¹⁸, I.J. Watson ¹⁵⁰, M.F. Watson ¹⁸, G. Watts ¹³⁸, S. Watts ⁸⁴, B.M. Waugh ⁷⁸, S. Webb ⁸⁴, M.S. Weber ¹⁷, S.W. Weber ¹⁷⁴, J.S. Webster ³¹, A.R. Weidberg ¹²⁰, B. Weinert ⁶¹, J. Weingarten ⁵⁴, C. Weiser ⁴⁸, H. Weits ¹⁰⁷, P.S. Wells ³⁰, T. Wenaus ²⁵, T. Wengler ³⁰, S. Wenig ³⁰, N. Wermes ²¹, M. Werner ⁴⁸, P. Werner ³⁰, M. Wessels ^{58a}, J. Wetter ¹⁶¹, K. Whalen ¹¹⁶, A.M. Wharton ⁷², A. White ⁸, M.J. White ¹, R. White ^{32b}, S. White ^{124a,124b}, D. Whiteson ¹⁶³, F.J. Wickens ¹³¹, W. Wiedenmann ¹⁷³, M. Wielers ¹³¹, P. Wienemann ²¹, C. Wiglesworth ³⁶, L.A.M. Wiik-Fuchs ²¹, A. Wildauer ¹⁰¹, H.G. Wilkens ³⁰, H.H. Williams ¹²²,

S. Williams¹⁰⁷, C. Willis⁹⁰, S. Willocq⁸⁶, A. Wilson⁸⁹, J.A. Wilson¹⁸, I. Wingerter-Seez⁵, F. Winklmeier¹¹⁶, B.T. Winter²¹, M. Wittgen¹⁴³, J. Wittkowski¹⁰⁰, S.J. Wollstadt⁸³, M.W. Wolter³⁹, H. Wolters^{126a,126c}, B.K. Wosiek³⁹, J. Wotschack³⁰, M.J. Woudstra⁸⁴, K.W. Wozniak³⁹, M. Wu⁵⁵, M. Wu³¹, S.L. Wu¹⁷³, X. Wu⁴⁹, Y. Wu⁸⁹, T.R. Wyatt⁸⁴, B.M. Wynne⁴⁶, S. Xella³⁶, D. Xu^{33a}, L. Xu²⁵, B. Yabsley¹⁵⁰, S. Yacoob^{145a}, R. Yakabe⁶⁷, M. Yamada⁶⁶, D. Yamaguchi¹⁵⁷, Y. Yamaguchi¹¹⁸, A. Yamamoto⁶⁶, S. Yamamoto¹⁵⁵, T. Yamanaka¹⁵⁵, K. Yamauchi¹⁰³, Y. Yamazaki⁶⁷, Z. Yan²², H. Yang^{33e}, H. Yang¹⁷³, Y. Yang¹⁵¹, W-M. Yao¹⁵, Y.C. Yap⁸⁰, Y. Yasu⁶⁶, E. Yatsenko⁵, K.H. Yau Wong²¹, J. Ye⁴⁰, S. Ye²⁵, I. Yeletskikh⁶⁵, A.L. Yen⁵⁷, E. Yildirim⁴², K. Yorita¹⁷¹, R. Yoshida⁶, K. Yoshihara¹²², C. Young¹⁴³, C.J.S. Young³⁰, S. Youssef²², D.R. Yu¹⁵, J. Yu⁸, J.M. Yu⁸⁹, J. Yu¹¹⁴, L. Yuan⁶⁷, S.P.Y. Yuen²¹, A. Yurkewicz¹⁰⁸, I. Yusuff^{28,al}, B. Zabinski³⁹, R. Zaidan⁶³, A.M. Zaitsev^{130,ac}, J. Zalieckas¹⁴, A. Zaman¹⁴⁸, S. Zambito⁵⁷, L. Zanello^{132a,132b}, D. Zanzi⁸⁸, C. Zeitnitz¹⁷⁵, M. Zeman¹²⁸, A. Zemla^{38a}, Q. Zeng¹⁴³, K. Zengel²³, O. Zenin¹³⁰, T. Ženiš^{144a}, D. Zerwas¹¹⁷, D. Zhang⁸⁹, F. Zhang¹⁷³, G. Zhang^{33b}, H. Zhang^{33c}, J. Zhang⁶, L. Zhang⁴⁸, R. Zhang^{33b,i}, X. Zhang^{33d}, Z. Zhang¹¹⁷, X. Zhao⁴⁰, Y. Zhao^{33d,117}, Z. Zhao^{33b}, A. Zhemchugov⁶⁵, J. Zhong¹²⁰, B. Zhou⁸⁹, C. Zhou⁴⁵, L. Zhou³⁵, L. Zhou⁴⁰, M. Zhou¹⁴⁸, N. Zhou^{33f}, C.G. Zhu^{33d}, H. Zhu^{33a}, J. Zhu⁸⁹, Y. Zhu^{33b}, X. Zhuang^{33a}, K. Zhukov⁹⁶, A. Zibell¹⁷⁴, D. Ziemińska⁶¹, N.I. Zimine⁶⁵, C. Zimmermann⁸³, S. Zimmermann⁴⁸, Z. Zinonos⁵⁴, M. Zinser⁸³, M. Ziolkowski¹⁴¹, L. Živković¹³, G. Zobernig¹⁷³, A. Zoccoli^{20a,20b}, M. zur Nedden¹⁶, G. Zurzolo^{104a,104b}, L. Zwalski³⁰

¹ Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany, NY, United States³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada⁴ ^(a) Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; ^(b) Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul; ^(c) Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey⁵ LAPP, CNRS/IN2P3 and Université Savoie Mont Blanc, Annecy-le-Vieux, France⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, United States⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, United States⁸ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX, United States⁹ Physics Department, University of Athens, Athens, Greece¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece¹¹ Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan¹² Institut de Física d'Altes Energies and Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain¹³ Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia¹⁴ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway¹⁵ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States¹⁶ Department of Physics, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany¹⁷ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland¹⁸ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom¹⁹ ^(a) Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul; ^(b) Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep; ^(c) Department of Physics, Dogus University, Istanbul, Turkey²⁰ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Bologna; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy²¹ Physikalisches Institut, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany²² Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA, United States²³ Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, United States²⁴ ^(a) Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro; ^(b) Electrical Circuits Department, Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; ^(c) Federal University of São João del Rei (UFSJ), São João del Rei; ^(d) Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil²⁵ Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, United States²⁶ ^(a) Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov; ^(b) National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; ^(c) National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj Napoca; ^(d) University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest; ^(e) West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania²⁷ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina²⁸ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom²⁹ Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada³⁰ CERN, Geneva, Switzerland³¹ Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, United States³² ^(a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; ^(b) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile³³ ^(a) Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; ^(b) Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui; ^(c) Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu; ^(d) School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong; ^(e) Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai; ^(f) Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China³⁴ Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Clermont Université and Université Blaise Pascal and CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France³⁵ Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington, NY, United States³⁶ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark³⁷ ^(a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende, Italy³⁸ ^(a) AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow; ^(b) Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland³⁹ Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland⁴⁰ Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX, United States⁴¹ Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, United States⁴² DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany⁴³ Institut für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany⁴⁴ Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany⁴⁵ Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, NC, United States⁴⁶ SUPA – School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom⁴⁷ INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

- ⁴⁸ Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany
⁴⁹ Section de Physique, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland
⁵⁰ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Genova; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova, Italy
⁵¹ ^(a) E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; ^(b) High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
⁵² II Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany
⁵³ SUPA – School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom
⁵⁴ II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany
⁵⁵ Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble, France
⁵⁶ Department of Physics, Hampton University, Hampton, VA, United States
⁵⁷ Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, United States
⁵⁸ ^(a) Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; ^(b) Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; ^(c) ZITI Institut für technische Informatik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany
⁵⁹ Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan
⁶⁰ ^(a) Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; ^(b) Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; ^(c) Department of Physics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China
⁶¹ Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, United States
⁶² Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria
⁶³ University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, United States
⁶⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, United States
⁶⁵ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, JINR Dubna, Dubna, Russia
⁶⁶ KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan
⁶⁷ Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan
⁶⁸ Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
⁶⁹ Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan
⁷⁰ Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
⁷¹ Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina
⁷² Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom
⁷³ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Lecce; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy
⁷⁴ Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
⁷⁵ Department of Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
⁷⁶ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom
⁷⁷ Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Surrey, United Kingdom
⁷⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, United Kingdom
⁷⁹ Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, LA, United States
⁸⁰ Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, UPMC and Université Paris-Diderot and CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
⁸¹ Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden
⁸² Departamento de Física Teórica C-15, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
⁸³ Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany
⁸⁴ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
⁸⁵ CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
⁸⁶ Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, United States
⁸⁷ Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada
⁸⁸ School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
⁸⁹ Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States
⁹⁰ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States
⁹¹ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Milano; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy
⁹² B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Republic of Belarus
⁹³ National Scientific and Educational Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Republic of Belarus
⁹⁴ Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States
⁹⁵ Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada
⁹⁶ P.N. Lebedev Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
⁹⁷ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia
⁹⁸ National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
⁹⁹ D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
¹⁰⁰ Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany
¹⁰¹ Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München, Germany
¹⁰² Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
¹⁰³ Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
¹⁰⁴ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Napoli; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli, Italy
¹⁰⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, United States
¹⁰⁶ Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
¹⁰⁷ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
¹⁰⁸ Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL, United States
¹⁰⁹ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia
¹¹⁰ Department of Physics, New York University, New York, NY, United States
¹¹¹ Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States
¹¹² Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
¹¹³ Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, United States
¹¹⁴ Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, United States
¹¹⁵ Palacký University, RCPTM, Olomouc, Czech Republic
¹¹⁶ Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, United States
¹¹⁷ LAL, Université Paris-Sud and CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France
¹¹⁸ Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
¹¹⁹ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
¹²⁰ Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom
¹²¹ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pavia; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
¹²² Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States
¹²³ National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute" B.P. Konstantinov Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
¹²⁴ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pisa; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

- ¹²⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States
¹²⁶ ^(a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas – LIP, Lisboa; ^(b) Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(c) Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Coimbra; ^(d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; ^(f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos and CAFPE, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); ^(g) Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciencias e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal
¹²⁷ Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, Czech Republic
¹²⁸ Czech Technical University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
¹²⁹ Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
¹³⁰ State Research Center Institute for High Energy Physics (Protvino), NRC KI, Russia
¹³¹ Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
¹³² ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
¹³³ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
¹³⁴ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma, Italy
¹³⁵ ^(a) Facult des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies – Université Hassan II, Casablanca; ^(b) Centre National de l'Energie des Sciences Techniques Nucléaires, Rabat; ^(c) Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA, Marrakech; ^(d) Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda; ^(e) Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco
¹³⁶ DSM/IRFU (Institut de Recherches sur les Lois Fondamentales de l'Univers), CEA Saclay (Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives), Gif-sur-Yvette, France
¹³⁷ Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, United States
¹³⁸ Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States
¹³⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom
¹⁴⁰ Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan
¹⁴¹ Fachbereich Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany
¹⁴² Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada
¹⁴³ SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford, CA, United States
¹⁴⁴ ^(a) Faculty of Mathematics, Physics & Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; ^(b) Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic
¹⁴⁵ ^(a) Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town; ^(b) Department of Physics, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; ^(c) School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
¹⁴⁶ ^(a) Department of Physics, Stockholm University; ^(b) The Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden
¹⁴⁷ Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
¹⁴⁸ Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, United States
¹⁴⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom
¹⁵⁰ School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
¹⁵¹ Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
¹⁵² Department of Physics, Technion: Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel
¹⁵³ Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
¹⁵⁴ Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
¹⁵⁵ International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁵⁶ Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁵⁷ Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁵⁸ Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
¹⁵⁹ ^(a) TRIUMF, Vancouver, BC; ^(b) Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada
¹⁶⁰ Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, and Center for Integrated Research in Fundamental Science and Engineering, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
¹⁶¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford, MA, United States
¹⁶² Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Narino, Bogota, Colombia
¹⁶³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, United States
¹⁶⁴ ^(a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine; ^(b) ICTP, Trieste; ^(c) Dipartimento di Chimica, Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy
¹⁶⁵ Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, United States
¹⁶⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden
¹⁶⁷ Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC) and Departamento de Física Atómica, Molecular y Nuclear and Departamento de Ingeniería Electrónica and Instituto de Microelectrónica de Barcelona (IMB-CNM), University of Valencia and CSIC, Valencia, Spain
¹⁶⁸ Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
¹⁶⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada
¹⁷⁰ Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
¹⁷¹ Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁷² Department of Particle Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
¹⁷³ Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, United States
¹⁷⁴ Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität, Würzburg, Germany
¹⁷⁵ Fachbereich C Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany
¹⁷⁶ Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States
¹⁷⁷ Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
¹⁷⁸ Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France

^a Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London, United Kingdom.^b Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan.^c Also at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia.^d Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver, BC, Canada.^e Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno, CA, United States.^f Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland.^g Also at Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciencias, Universidade do Porto, Portugal.^h Also at Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia.ⁱ Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France.^j Also at Universita di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy.^k Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Canada.^l Also at Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.^m Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.ⁿ Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, LA, United States.^o Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain.

- ^p Also at Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States.
^q Also at Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan.
^r Also at Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan.
^s Also at Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, United States.
^t Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
^u Also at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.
^v Also at Georgian Technical University (GTU), Tbilisi, Georgia.
^w Also at Manhattan College, New York, NY, United States.
^x Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras, Greece.
^y Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.
^z Also at LAL, Université Paris-Sud and CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France.
^{aa} Also at Academia Sinica Grid Computing, Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.
^{ab} Also at School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong, China.
^{ac} Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia.
^{ad} Also at Section de Physique, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland.
^{ae} Also at International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA), Trieste, Italy.
^{af} Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, United States.
^{ag} Also at School of Physics and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China.
^{ah} Also at Faculty of Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
^{ai} Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia.
^{aj} Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, United States.
^{ak} Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.
^{al} Also at University of Malaya, Department of Physics, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
* Deceased.