



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

**NUCLEAR
PHYSICS B**

ELSEVIER

Nuclear Physics B 907 (2016) 717–763

www.elsevier.com/locate/nuclphysb

Measurement of $D^{*\pm}$, D^{\pm} and D_s^{\pm} meson production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector

ATLAS Collaboration*

Received 9 December 2015; received in revised form 25 March 2016; accepted 20 April 2016

Available online 25 April 2016

Editor: Valerie Gibson

Abstract

The production of $D^{*\pm}$, D^{\pm} and D_s^{\pm} charmed mesons has been measured with the ATLAS detector in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV at the LHC, using data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 280 nb^{-1} . The charmed mesons have been reconstructed in the range of transverse momentum $3.5 < p_T(D) < 100 \text{ GeV}$ and pseudorapidity $|\eta(D)| < 2.1$. The differential cross sections as a function of transverse momentum and pseudorapidity were measured for $D^{*\pm}$ and D^{\pm} production. The next-to-leading-order QCD predictions are consistent with the data in the visible kinematic region within the large theoretical uncertainties. Using the visible D cross sections and an extrapolation to the full kinematic phase space, the strangeness-suppression factor in charm fragmentation, the fraction of charged non-strange D mesons produced in a vector state, and the total cross section of charm production at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV were derived.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

Measurements of heavy-quark production at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) provide a means to test perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD) calculations at the highest available collision energies. Since the current calculations suffer from large theoretical uncertainties, the experimental constraints on heavy-quark production cross sections are important for mea-

* E-mail address: atlas.publications@cern.ch.

surements in the electroweak and Higgs sectors, and in searches for new physics phenomena, for which heavy-quark production is often an important background process.

Charmed mesons are produced in the hadronisation of charm and bottom quarks, which are copiously produced in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. The ATLAS detector¹ [1] at the LHC has been used previously to measure D^{*+} mesons² produced in jets [2] and in bottom hadron decays in association with muons [3]. Associated production of D mesons and W bosons has been also studied by the ATLAS Collaboration [4]. Production of D mesons in the hadronisation of charm quarks has been studied by the ALICE Collaboration in the central rapidity range ($|y| < 0.5$) [5,6] and by the LHCb Collaboration at forward rapidities ($2.0 < y < 4.5$) [7]. Open-charm production was also measured by the CDF Collaboration [8] at the Tevatron collider in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV.

In this paper, measurements of the inclusive D^{*+} , D^+ and D_s^+ production cross sections and their comparison with next-to-leading-order (NLO) QCD calculations are presented. Contributions from both charm hadronisation and bottom hadron decays have been included in the measured visible D production cross sections and in the NLO QCD predictions. The measured visible cross sections have been extrapolated to the cross sections for D meson production in charm hadronisation in the full kinematic phase space, after subtraction of the cross-section fractions originating from bottom production. The extrapolated cross sections have been used to calculate the total cross section of charm production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and two fragmentation ratios for charged charmed mesons: the strangeness-suppression factor and the fraction of charged non-strange D mesons produced in a vector state.

2. The ATLAS detector

A detailed description of the ATLAS detector can be found elsewhere [1]. A brief outline of the components most relevant to this analysis is given below.

The ATLAS inner detector has full coverage in ϕ , covers the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$ and operates inside an axial magnetic field of 2 T of a superconducting solenoid. It consists of a silicon pixel detector (Pixel), a silicon microstrip detector (semiconductor tracker, SCT) and a transition radiation tracker (TRT). The inner-detector barrel (end-cap) parts consist of 3 (2×3) Pixel layers, 4 (2×9) double-layers of single-sided SCT strips and 73 (2×160) layers of TRT straws. The TRT straws enable track-following up to $|\eta| = 2.0$.

The calorimeter system is placed outside the solenoid. A high-resolution liquid-argon electromagnetic sampling calorimeter covers the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 3.2$. This calorimeter is complemented by hadronic calorimeters, built using scintillating tiles in the range $|\eta| < 1.7$ and liquid-argon technology in the end-cap ($1.5 < |\eta| < 3.2$). Forward calorimeters extend the coverage to $|\eta| < 4.9$.

The ATLAS detector has a three-level trigger system [9]. For the measurement of D mesons with $3.5 < p_T < 20$ GeV (low- p_T range), two complementary minimum-bias triggers are used.

¹ The ATLAS coordinate system is a Cartesian right-handed system, with the coordinate origin at the nominal interaction point. The anti-clockwise beam direction defines the positive z -axis, with the x -axis pointing to the centre of the LHC ring. Polar (θ) and azimuthal (ϕ) angles are measured with respect to this reference system, which corresponds to the centre-of-mass frame of the colliding protons. The pseudorapidity is defined as $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$ and the transverse momentum is defined as $p_T = p \sin \theta$. The rapidity is defined as $y = 0.5 \ln((E + p_z)/(E - p_z))$, where E and p_z refer to energy and longitudinal momentum, respectively.

² Hereafter, charge conjugation is implied.

The first trigger relies on the first-level trigger signals from the Minimum Bias Trigger Scintillators (MBTS). The MBTS are mounted at each end of the inner detector in front of the liquid-argon end-cap calorimeter cryostats at $z = \pm 3.56$ m and are segmented into eight sectors in azimuth and two rings in pseudorapidity ($2.09 < |\eta| < 2.82$ and $2.82 < |\eta| < 3.84$). The MBTS trigger used in this analysis is configured to require at least one hit above threshold. The second minimum-bias trigger uses the inner detector at the second-level trigger to select inelastic events on randomly chosen bunch crossings (Random). For D mesons with $20 < p_T < 100$ GeV (high- p_T range), the first-level calorimeter-based jet triggers are used. The jet triggers use coarse detector information to identify areas in the calorimeter with energy deposits above certain thresholds. A simplified jet-finding algorithm based on a sliding window of configurable size is used to trigger events. The algorithm uses towers with a granularity of $\Delta\phi \times \Delta\eta = 0.2 \times 0.2$ as inputs. In this paper, the first-level jet triggers with energy thresholds of 5, 10 and 15 GeV are used. No further jet selection requirements are applied at the second and third trigger levels.

The integrated luminosity is calculated by measuring interaction rates using several ATLAS devices at small angles to the beam direction, with the absolute calibration obtained from beam-separation scans. The uncertainty of the luminosity measurement for the event sample used in this analysis is estimated to be 3.5% [10].

3. Event simulation

To model inelastic events produced in pp collisions, a large sample of Monte Carlo (MC) simulated events is prepared using the PYTHIA 6.4 [11] MC generator. The simulation is performed using leading-order matrix elements for all $2 \rightarrow 2$ QCD processes. Initial- and final-state parton showering is used to simulate the effect of higher-order processes. The MRST LO* [12] parameterisation is used for the parton distribution functions (PDF) of the proton. The charm- and bottom-quark masses are set to 1.5 GeV and 4.8 GeV, respectively. The event sample is generated using the ATLAS AMBT1 set of tuned parameters [13]. The fraction of the D meson sample produced in bottom-hadron decays ($\sim 10\%$) is normalised using the measured production cross section of b -hadrons decaying to $D^{*+}\mu^- X$ final states [3].

The generated events are passed through a full ATLAS detector simulation [14] based on GEANT4 [15,16] and processed with the same reconstruction program as used for the data.

4. QCD calculations

The measured D cross sections are compared with the fixed-order next-to-leading-logarithm (FONLL) [17–19] predictions, with the general-mass variable-flavour-number scheme (GM-VFNS) [20–22] calculations and with the NLO QCD calculations matched with a leading-logarithm parton-shower MC simulation (NLO-MC). A web interface was used to obtain up-to-date FONLL predictions [23], while the GM-VFNS predictions have been provided by their authors. Two methods are presently available for performing the NLO-MC matched calculations: MC@NLO [24] and POWHEG [25]. Their implementations in the codes MC@NLO 3.42 [26] and POWHEG-hvq 1.01 [27] are used. MC@NLO 3.42 is matched with the HERWIG 6.5 [28] MC event generator, while POWHEG-hvq 1.01 is used with both HERWIG 6.5 and PYTHIA 6.4.

The main differences between the GM-VFNS and the other calculations considered here originate from differences between the so-called massless and massive schemes. In the massive scheme, the heavy quark Q appears only in the final state and the $m_Q^2/p_{T,Q}^2$ power terms of the perturbative series are correctly accounted for, where $p_{T,Q}$ is the transverse momentum

of the heavy quark and m_Q is its pole mass. The massive-scheme calculations are not reliable for $p_{T,Q} \gg m_Q$ due to neglected terms of the type $\ln(p_{T,Q}^2/m_Q^2)$. In the massless scheme, the heavy quark occurs as an initial-state parton and the large logarithmic terms are absorbed into the heavy-quark contribution to the proton PDF, and into the fragmentation functions of the heavy-quark transition to a hadron. The massless calculations are reliable only for $p_{T,Q} \gg m_Q$ due to the assumption that $m_Q = 0$. The FONLL and GM-VFNS calculations were developed to obtain reliable predictions for $p_{T,Q} \approx m_Q$. In FONLL, the massive and massless predictions are matched exactly up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$, and spurious higher-order terms with potentially unphysical behaviour are damped using a weighting function. The FONLL parton cross sections are convolved with non-perturbative fragmentation functions. GM-VFNS combines the massless predictions with the massive $m_Q^2/p_{T,Q}^2$ power terms and derives subtraction terms by comparing the massive and massless cross sections in the limit $m_Q \rightarrow 0$. The large logarithmic terms in GM-VFNS remain absorbed in the PDF and in perturbatively evolved fragmentation functions with a non-perturbative input. Unlike other calculations, GM-VFNS considers fragmentation to D mesons from light quarks and gluons in addition to the heavy-quark fragmentation [29].

All predictions are obtained using the CTEQ6.6 [30] parameterisation for the proton PDF. The value of the QCD coupling constant is set to $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.118$ in accord with the central CTEQ6.6 analysis. Both the charm and bottom contributions to the charmed meson production cross sections are included in all predictions. The charm-quark pole mass is set to 1.5 GeV in all calculations. The bottom-quark pole mass is set to 4.75 GeV in the FONLL, MC@NLO and POWHEG calculations. In the GM-VFNS calculations, the bottom-quark pole mass is set to 4.5 GeV. The renormalisation and factorisation scales are set to $\mu_r = \mu_f = \mu$, where μ is defined as

$$\mu^2 = m_Q^2 + p_{T,Q}^2$$

in the FONLL and GM-VFNS calculations. For MC@NLO,

$$\mu^2 = m_Q^2 + \frac{(p_{T,Q} + p_{T,\bar{Q}})^2}{4},$$

where $p_{T,Q}$ and $p_{T,\bar{Q}}$ are the transverse momenta of the produced heavy quark and antiquark, respectively, and m_Q is the heavy-quark pole mass. For POWHEG,

$$\mu^2 = m_Q^2 + (m_{Q\bar{Q}}^2/4 - m_Q^2) \cdot \sin^2(\theta_Q),$$

where $m_{Q\bar{Q}}$ is the invariant mass of the produced $Q\bar{Q}$ system and θ_Q is the polar angle of the heavy quark in the $Q\bar{Q}$ system centre-of-mass frame.

The specific FONLL fragmentation functions [23,31] as well as the GM-VFNS fragmentation functions [29] were obtained using e^+e^- data. In the case of the NLO-MC matched calculations, the heavy-quark hadronisation is performed using the cluster model [32] when interfaced to HERWIG. When interfaced to PYTHIA, the Lund string model [33] with the Bowler modification [34] of the Lund symmetric fragmentation function [35] for heavy quarks is used.

In the FONLL, MC@NLO and POWHEG calculations, the fragmentation fractions of heavy quarks hadronising as a particular charmed meson, $f(Q \rightarrow D)$, are set to experimental values obtained by averaging the LEP measurements in hadronic Z decays [36]. They are summarised in Table 1. In GM-VFNS, the fragmentation fractions of heavy quarks, light quarks and gluons were obtained using e^+e^- data, along with the fragmentation functions [29].

The following sources of theoretical uncertainty are considered for the FONLL, MC@NLO and POWHEG predictions:

Table 1

The fractions of c and b quarks hadronising as a particular charmed meson, $f(Q \rightarrow D)$, obtained by averaging the LEP measurements [36]. The first uncertainties are the combined statistical and systematic uncertainties of the measurements. The second uncertainties originate from uncertainties in the relevant branching fractions.

	LEP data
$f(c \rightarrow D^{*+})$	$0.236 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.003$
$f(c \rightarrow D^+)$	$0.225 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.005$
$f(c \rightarrow D_s^+)$	$0.092 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.005$
$f(b \rightarrow D^{*\pm})$	$0.221 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.003$
$f(b \rightarrow D^\pm)$	$0.223 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.005$
$f(b \rightarrow D_s^\pm)$	$0.138 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.006$

- Scale uncertainty. The uncertainty was determined by varying μ_r and μ_f independently to $\mu/2$ and 2μ , with the additional constraint $1/2 < \mu_r/\mu_f < 2$, and selecting the largest positive and negative variations.
- Pole-mass uncertainty. The uncertainty is determined by varying the charm- and bottom-quark masses independently by ± 0.2 GeV and ± 0.25 GeV, respectively. The total m_Q uncertainty is obtained by adding in quadrature separately the positive and negative cross-section variations.
- PDF uncertainty. The uncertainty is determined by using the CTEQ6.6 PDF error eigenvectors. For MC@NLO and POWHEG, the PDF α_s uncertainties are also calculated using eigenvectors for ± 0.002 variations of α_s . Following the PDF4LHC recommendations [37], the CTEQ6.6 PDF and PDF α_s uncertainties, provided at 90% confidence level (CL), are scaled to 68% CL. The total PDF uncertainty (for FONLL) or the combined PDF and α_s ($\text{PDF} \oplus \alpha_s$) uncertainty (for MC@NLO and POWHEG) is obtained by adding in quadrature separately the positive and negative cross-section variations.
- Fragmentation-fraction uncertainty. The uncertainty is the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty of the LEP measurements [36]. The uncertainties on the fragmentation fractions originating from uncertainties in the relevant branching fractions are not included because they affect experimental and theoretical cross-section calculations in the same way and can be ignored in the comparison.

For the POWHEG + PYTHIA predictions, the hadronisation uncertainty for each D meson is obtained as a sum in quadrature of the corresponding fragmentation-fraction uncertainty and the fragmentation-function uncertainty. The latter uncertainty is determined by using the Peterson fragmentation function [38] with extreme choices [39–43] of the fragmentation parameter: 0.02 and 0.1 for charm fragmentation, and 0.002 and 0.01 for bottom fragmentation.

Only the scale uncertainty, which is dominant, is calculated for GM-VFNS by varying three scale parameters: the renormalisation scale, the factorisation scale for initial-state singularities and the factorisation scale for final-state singularities. These three scales are varied independently to $\mu/2$ and 2μ , with the additional constraint for the ratio of any two scales to be between 1/2 and 2, and the largest positive and negative variations are selected.

5. Event selection

The data used in this analysis were collected in 2010 with the ATLAS detector in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV at the LHC. The crossing angle of the colliding protons was either zero or negligible in the rapidity range of the measurement. To measure D mesons with $p_T < 20$ GeV, the events collected with the minimum-bias MBTS and Random triggers are used; these triggers are unbiased for the events of interest [9]. However, the rate from the triggers exceeded the allotted trigger bandwidth after the initial data-taking period and thus prescale factors were applied to reduce the output rate. Taking into account the prescale factors, the data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 1.04 nb^{-1} . To measure D mesons in the intervals $20 < p_T < 30$ GeV, $30 < p_T < 40$ GeV and $40 < p_T < 100$ GeV, the first-level jet triggers with energy thresholds of 5, 10 and 15 GeV, respectively, are used. The trigger efficiencies for the corresponding D meson p_T ranges are above 90%. The efficiencies are derived from the MC simulation. The simulation uncertainties are estimated from data–MC comparisons using independent trigger selections with softer thresholds on the jet energy or energy in the electromagnetic calorimeter. The triggers with energy thresholds of 5 and 10 GeV were prescaled during some parts of the data-taking period; their corresponding integrated luminosities are 28 nb^{-1} and 90 nb^{-1} , respectively. The data sample taken with the unprescaled jet trigger with the energy threshold of 15 GeV corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 280 nb^{-1} .

The event samples are processed using the standard offline ATLAS detector calibration and event reconstruction [1,44]. Only events with at least three reconstructed tracks with $p_T > 100$ MeV and at least one reconstructed primary-vertex candidate [45] are kept for the reconstruction of charmed mesons.

6. Reconstruction of charmed mesons

The D^{*+} , D^+ and D_s^+ charmed mesons are reconstructed in the range of transverse momentum $3.5 < p_T(D) < 100$ GeV and pseudorapidity $|\eta(D)| < 2.1$. As no significant differences between results for positively and negatively charged charmed mesons are observed, all results are presented for the combined samples.

Charmed meson candidates are reconstructed using tracks measured in the inner tracking detector. To ensure high reconstruction efficiency and good momentum resolution, each track is required to satisfy $|\eta| < 2.5$, have at least one hit in the Pixel detector and at least four hits in the SCT. The dE/dx particle identification with the Pixel detector [46] is not used since it is not effective in the kinematic ranges utilised for the charmed-meson reconstruction.

There can be several primary-vertex candidates in an event due to multiple collisions per bunch crossing. To identify the heavy-quark production vertex, requirements on the D meson transverse impact parameter, d_0 , and longitudinal impact parameter, z_0 , with respect to the primary-vertex candidate are imposed. In the rare case (< 1%) that more than one vertex satisfies these requirements, the hard-scatter primary vertex is taken to be the one with the largest sum of the squared transverse momenta of its associated tracks.

For D mesons with momenta in the low- p_T range, the background from non-signal track combinations (combinatorial background) is significantly reduced by requiring $p_T(D^{*+}, D^+, D_s^+) / \sum p_T(\text{track}) > 0.05$, where $\sum p_T(\text{track})$ is the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of all tracks associated with the primary vertex. MC studies indicate that due to properties of heavy-quark fragmentation, more than 99% of D signals satisfy this selection criterion. Further background rejection is achieved by imposing requirements on the D^0 (from the $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$ decay),

D^+ and D_s^+ transverse decay lengths³ with respect to the primary vertex, L_{xy} , and on the transverse momenta and decay angles of the charmed meson decay products. The requirement values are tuned using the MC simulation to enhance signal-to-background ratios while keeping acceptances high.

The details of the reconstruction for each of the three charmed meson samples are given in the next subsections.

6.1. Reconstruction of D^{*+} mesons

The D^{*+} mesons are identified using the decay $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi_s^+ \rightarrow (K^-\pi^+)\pi_s^+$. The pion from the $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$ decay is referred to as the “soft” pion, π_s^+ , because its momentum is limited by the small mass difference between the D^{*+} and D^0 .

In each event, pairs of tracks from oppositely charged particles, each with $p_T > 1$ GeV, are combined to form D^0 candidates. Any additional track, with $p_T > 0.25$ GeV, is combined with the D^0 candidate to form a D^{*+} candidate. The three tracks of the D^{*+} candidate are fitted using a constraint on the $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi_s^+ \rightarrow (K^-\pi^+)\pi_s^+$ topology, i.e. the two tracks of the D^0 candidate are required to intersect at a single vertex and the D^0 trajectory is required to intersect with the third track, producing the D^{*+} vertex. To calculate the D^0 candidate invariant mass, $m(K\pi)$, kaon and pion masses are assumed in turn for each track. The additional track is assigned the pion mass and this pion is required to have a charge opposite to that of the kaon. The mass $m(K\pi)$, the three-particle invariant mass $m(K\pi\pi_s)$, and the mass difference, $\Delta m = m(K\pi\pi_s) - m(K\pi)$, are calculated using the track momenta refitted to the decay topology. To suppress combinatorial background the following requirements are used:

- $\chi^2 < 25$, where χ^2 is the D^{*+} candidate fit quality. The requirement value is loose as the signal-to-background ratio decreases rather slowly with χ^2 .
- $|d_0(D^{*+})| < 0.5$ mm.
- $|z_0(D^{*+}) \sin \theta(D^{*+})| < 0.5$ mm.
- $L_{xy}(D^0) > 0.1$ mm.
- $|\cos \theta^*(K)| < 0.95$, where $\theta^*(K)$ is the angle between the kaon in the $K\pi$ rest frame and the $K\pi$ line of flight in the laboratory frame.

Fig. 1 shows the Δm distributions for low- p_T and high- p_T D^{*+} candidates with $m(K\pi)$ values consistent with the world average D^0 mass [47]. To take the mass resolution into account, the selection requirement is varied from $1.83 < m(K\pi) < 1.90$ GeV for the D^{*+} candidates with small $|\eta|$ and p_T values to $1.78 < m(K\pi) < 1.95$ GeV for the D^{*+} candidates with large $|\eta|$ and p_T values. Sizeable signals are seen around the world average value of $m(D^{*+}) - m(D^0) = 145.4527 \pm 0.0017$ MeV [47]. The dashed histograms show the distributions for wrong-charge combinations, in which both particles forming the D^0 candidate have the same charge and the third particle has the opposite charge. These distributions, which are quite similar to the distributions for right-charge combinations outside of the signal region, demonstrate the shapes of the combinatorial background components. The Δm distributions for the right-charge combinations outside of the signal region are slightly above those for the

³ The transverse decay length of a particle is the transverse distance between the primary or production vertex and the particle decay vertex, projected along the transverse momentum of the particle.




Fig. 1. The distribution of the mass difference, $\Delta m = m(K\pi\pi_s) - m(K\pi)$, for $D^{*\pm}$ candidates with $3.5 < p_T(D^{*\pm}) < 20 \text{ GeV}$ (top) and $20 < p_T(D^{*\pm}) < 100 \text{ GeV}$ (bottom). The data are represented by the points with error bars (statistical only). The dashed histograms show the distributions for wrong-charge combinations. The solid curves represent fit results (see text).

wrong-charge combinations due to contributions from neutral-meson decays to two particles with opposite charges, in particular due to the contribution from D^0 mesons not originating from $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$ decays.

The Δm distributions are fitted to the sum of a modified Gaussian function [48] describing the signal and a threshold function describing the non-resonant background. The modified Gaussian function is defined as

$$\text{Gauss}^{\text{mod}} \propto \exp[-0.5 \cdot x^{1+1/(1+0.5 \cdot x)}],$$

where $x = |(\Delta m - m_0)/\sigma|$. This functional form, introduced to take into account the non-Gaussian tails of resonant signals, describes both the data and MC signals well. The signal position, m_0 , and width, σ , as well as the number of D^{*+} mesons are free parameters of the fit. The threshold function has the form $A \cdot (\Delta m - m_{\pi^+})^B \cdot \exp[C \cdot (\Delta m - m_{\pi^+}) + D \cdot (\Delta m - m_{\pi^+})^2]$, where m_{π^+} is the pion mass and A, B, C and D are free parameters. The fitted $D^{*\pm}$ yields are $N(D^{*\pm}) = 2140 \pm 120$ (stat) and $N(D^{*\pm}) = 732 \pm 34$ (stat) for the low- p_T and high- p_T ranges, respectively. Small admixtures (< 1%) to the reconstructed signals from the $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$ decays with D^0 decays to final states other than $K^- \pi^+$ are taken into account in the acceptance correction procedure (Section 7). The combined value of the fitted mass differences is 145.47 ± 0.03 (stat) MeV, in agreement with the world average. The widths of the signals are ~ 0.6 MeV, in agreement with the MC expectations.

6.2. Reconstruction of D^+ mesons

The D^+ mesons are reconstructed from the decay $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$. In each event, two tracks from same-charge particles each with $p_T > 0.8$ GeV are combined with a track from the opposite-charge particle with $p_T > 1$ GeV to form a D^+ candidate. At least one of the two particles with the same charge is required to have $p_T > 1$ GeV. Only three-track combinations successfully fitted to a common vertex are kept. The pion mass is assigned to each of the two tracks from same-charge particles and the kaon mass is assigned to the third track, after which the candidate invariant mass, $m(K\pi\pi)$, is calculated using the track momenta refitted to the common vertex. To suppress combinatorial background the following requirements are used:

- $\chi^2 < 12$, where χ^2 is the D^+ candidate vertex fit quality.
- $|d_0(D^+)| < 0.15$ mm.
- $|z_0(D^+) \sin \theta(D^+)| < 0.3$ mm.
- $L_{xy}(D^+) > 1.2$ mm. The large value of the requirement on $L_{xy}(D^+)$ is motivated by the relatively large lifetime of the D^+ meson [47] and the large combinatorial background.
- $\cos \theta^*(K) > -0.8$, where $\theta^*(K)$ is the angle between the kaon in the $K\pi\pi$ rest frame and the $K\pi\pi$ line of flight in the laboratory frame.
- $\cos \theta^*(\pi) > -0.85$, where $\theta^*(\pi)$ is the angle between the pion in the $K\pi\pi$ rest frame and the $K\pi\pi$ line of flight in the laboratory frame.

To suppress background from D^{*+} decays, combinations with $m(K\pi\pi) - m(K\pi) < 153$ MeV are removed. The background from $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+$, with $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, is suppressed by rejecting any three-track D^+ candidate comprised of a pair of tracks of oppositely charged particles which, when assuming the kaon mass for both tracks, has a two-track invariant mass within ± 8 MeV of the world average ϕ mass [47]. MC studies indicate that the suppression of the $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$ decays has a negligible effect on the D^+ signal, and the suppression of the $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+$ decays rejects less than 2% of the signal. The remaining small background from $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$ decays is subtracted using the simulated reflection shape normalised to the measured D_s^+ rate (Section 6.3). Smaller contributions, affecting mass ranges outside the expected D^+ signal, from the decays $D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$, $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$, $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$ and $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ are subtracted using the simulated reflection shapes normalised to the measured D^+ and D_s^+ rates.

Fig. 2 shows the $m(K\pi\pi)$ distributions for low- p_T and high- p_T D^+ candidates after all requirements. Sizeable signals are seen around the world average value of the D^+ mass,




Fig. 2. The $m(K\pi\pi)$ distributions for D^\pm candidates with $3.5 < p_T(D^\pm) < 20 \text{ GeV}$ (top) and $20 < p_T(D^\pm) < 100 \text{ GeV}$ (bottom). The data are represented by the points with error bars (statistical only). The solid curves represent fit results (see text).

$1869.61 \pm 0.10 \text{ MeV}$ [47]. The mass distributions are fitted to the sum of a modified Gaussian function describing the signal and a quadratic exponential function describing the non-resonant background. The quadratic exponential function has the form $A \cdot \exp(B \cdot m + C \cdot m^2)$, where A , B and C are free parameters. The fitted D^\pm yields are $N(D^\pm) = 1990 \pm 100 \text{ (stat)}$ and $N(D^\pm) = 1730 \pm 100 \text{ (stat)}$ for the low- p_T and high- p_T ranges, respectively. The combined D^+ mass value is $1870.0 \pm 0.7 \text{ (stat)}$ MeV, in agreement with the world average. The widths of the signals are $\sim 15 \text{ MeV}$, in agreement with the MC expectations.

6.3. Reconstruction of D_s^+ mesons

The D_s^+ mesons are reconstructed from the decay $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$ with $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$. In each event, tracks from particles with opposite charges and $p_T > 1$ GeV are assigned the kaon mass and combined in pairs to form ϕ candidates. Any additional track with $p_T > 1$ GeV is assigned the pion mass and combined with the ϕ candidate to form a D_s^+ candidate. Only three-track combinations successfully fitted to a common vertex are kept. The ϕ candidate invariant mass, $m(KK)$, and the D_s^+ candidate invariant mass, $m(KK\pi)$, are calculated using the track momenta refitted to the common vertex. To suppress combinatorial background the following requirements are used:

- $\chi^2 < 12$, where χ^2 is the D_s^+ candidate vertex fit quality.
- $|d_0(D_s^+)| < 0.15$ mm.
- $|z_0(D_s^+) \sin \theta(D_s^+)| < 0.3$ mm.
- $L_{xy}(D_s^+) > 0.4$ mm.
- $-0.8 < \cos \theta^*(\pi) < 0.7$, where $\theta^*(\pi)$ is the angle between the pion in the $KK\pi$ rest frame and the $KK\pi$ line of flight in the laboratory frame.
- $|\cos^3 \theta'(K)| > 0.2$, where $\theta'(K)$ is the angle between either of the kaons and the pion in the KK rest frame. The decay of the pseudoscalar D_s^+ meson to the ϕ (vector) plus π^+ (pseudoscalar) final state results in an alignment of the spin of the ϕ meson transverse to the direction of motion of the ϕ relative to the D_s^+ . Consequently, the distribution of $\cos \theta'(K)$ follows a $\cos^2 \theta'(K)$ shape, implying a uniform distribution for $\cos^3 \theta'(K)$. In contrast, the $\cos \theta'(K)$ distribution of the combinatorial background is uniform and its $\cos^3 \theta'(K)$ distribution peaks at zero. The requirement suppresses the background significantly while reducing the signal by 20%.

Small contributions, affecting mass ranges outside the expected D_s^+ signal, from the decays $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi K^+$, $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^0$, $D^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^0$ and $D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ are subtracted using the simulated reflection shapes normalised to the measured D^+ and D_s^+ rates.

Fig. 3 shows the $m(KK\pi)$ distributions for low- p_T and high- p_T D_s^+ candidates with $m(KK)$ within ± 7 MeV of the world average ϕ mass [47]. Sizeable signals are seen around the world average value of the D_s^+ mass, 1968.30 ± 0.11 MeV [47]. Smaller signals are visible around the world average value of $m(D^+)$, as expected from the decay $D^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$ with $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$.

The $m(KK\pi)$ distributions are fitted to the sum of two modified Gaussian functions describing the D_s^+ and D^+ signals and a quadratic exponential function describing the non-resonant background. For the small D^+ signals, the signal positions are fixed to the D_s^+ signal positions minus the world average value of $m(D_s^+) - m(D^+)$ [47], and their widths are fixed using the D_s^+ signal widths and the MC ratio of the D^+ and D_s^+ widths. The fitted D_s^{\pm} yields are $N(D_s^{\pm}) = 313 \pm 60$ (stat) and $N(D_s^{\pm}) = 158 \pm 25$ (stat) for the low- p_T and high- p_T ranges, respectively. The combined D_s^{\pm} mass value is 1971.2 ± 2.0 (stat) MeV, in agreement with the world average. The widths of the signals are ~ 15 MeV, in agreement with the MC expectations.

7. Data correction and systematic uncertainties

The visible D production cross sections are measured for the process $pp \rightarrow DX$ in the kinematic region $3.5 < p_T(D) < 100$ GeV and $|\eta(D)| < 2.1$. The cross section for a given




Fig. 3. The $m(KK\pi)$ distributions for D_s^\pm candidates with $3.5 < p_T(D_s^\pm) < 20 \text{ GeV}$ (top) and $20 < p_T(D_s^\pm) < 100 \text{ GeV}$ (bottom). Small signals visible around the world average value of $m(D^+)$ are from the decay $D^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$ with $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$. The data are represented by the points with error bars (statistical only). The solid curves represent the fit results (see text).

charmed meson is calculated in the low- p_T range, $3.5 < p_T(D) < 20 \text{ GeV}$, and high- p_T range, $20 < p_T(D) < 100 \text{ GeV}$, from

$$\sigma_{pp \rightarrow DX} = \frac{N(D)}{\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{B}}, \quad (1)$$

where $N(D)$ is the number of reconstructed charmed mesons with positive and negative charges, \mathcal{A} is the reconstruction acceptance obtained from the MC sample, \mathcal{L} is the integrated luminosity and \mathcal{B} is the branching fraction or the product of the branching fractions for the decay channel used in the reconstruction. The reconstruction acceptance takes into account efficiencies, migra-

tions and small remaining admixtures in the reconstructed signals from other decay modes. To calculate the D^{*+} and D^+ production cross sections, the world average \mathcal{B} values [47] are used. For D_s^+ , the measurement by the CLEO experiment [49] of the partial $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$ branching fractions, with a kaon-pair mass within various intervals around the world average ϕ meson mass, is used. Interpolating between the partial branching fractions, measured for the ± 5 MeV and ± 10 MeV intervals, yields the value $(1.85 \pm 0.11)\%$ for the ± 7 MeV interval used in this analysis.

The differential cross sections $d\sigma/dp_T$ and $d\sigma/d|\eta|$ are calculated for D^{*+} and D^+ production⁴ in nine bins in p_T (3.5–5; 5–6.5; 6.5–8; 8–12; 12–20; 20–30; 30–40; 40–60; 60–100 GeV), and five bins in $|\eta|$ (0–0.2; 0.2–0.5; 0.5–0.8; 0.8–1.3; 1.3–2.1) for both the low- p_T and high- p_T ranges. To obtain the differential cross section in a given bin, the visible cross section in the bin is divided by the bin width. The numbers of D^{*+} and D^+ mesons in each bin are obtained using the same procedure as that described in Section 6.

The following groups of systematic uncertainty sources are considered:

- $\{\delta_1\}$ The uncertainty of the jet trigger efficiencies. It is estimated using data–MC comparisons with independent trigger selections.
- $\{\delta_2\}$ The uncertainty of the track reconstruction and selection [13]. It is dominated by the uncertainty on the description of the detector material in the MC simulation. The uncertainty is calculated taking into account the p_T and η distributions of the D decay products.
- $\{\delta_3\}$ The uncertainty of the D meson selection efficiency. It is determined by varying the MC reconstruction resolutions for the variables used in the selection of the D meson by amounts reflecting possible differences between the data and MC. For the $p_T(D^{*+}, D^+, D_s^+)/\sum p_T(\text{track}) > 0.05$ requirement, the uncertainty is determined by repeating all calculations without this requirement.
- $\{\delta_4\}$ The uncertainty related to the D signal extraction procedures. It is determined by varying the background parameterisations and the ranges used for the signal fits. In addition, in the D^+ signal extraction procedure, the normalisation of the subtracted $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$ reflection is varied in the combined range of the normalisation statistical uncertainty and normalisation uncertainty propagated from the branching fraction uncertainties [47]. In the D_s^+ signal extraction procedure, the constraints used for the small D^+ signals are varied in the ranges of the MC statistical uncertainty for the ratio of the D^+ and D_s^+ widths and the uncertainty of world average value of $m(D_s^+) - m(D^+)$ [47].
- $\{\delta_5\}$ The model dependence of the acceptance corrections. It is obtained by varying in the MC simulation:
 - the $p_T(D)$ and $|\eta(D)|$ distributions while preserving agreement with the data distributions,
 - the relative beauty contribution in the range allowed by the b -hadron cross-section measurement [3],
 - the lifetimes of charmed (D^+ , D^0 , D_s^+) and beauty (B^+ , B^0 , B_s^0 , Λ_b^0) hadrons in the ranges of their uncertainties [47].
- $\{\delta_6\}$ The uncertainty of the acceptance corrections related to the MC statistical uncertainty.
- $\{\delta_7\}$ The uncertainty of the luminosity measurement [10].
- $\{\delta_8\}$ The uncertainty of the branching fractions [47,49] used in Eq. (1).

⁴ For D_s^+ production, the differential cross sections are not calculated due to insufficient sample size.

Table 2

Systematic uncertainties for measurements of visible low- p_{T} , $3.5 < p_{\text{T}}(D) < 20$ GeV, and high- p_{T} , $20 < p_{\text{T}}(D) < 100$ GeV, cross sections of $D^{*\pm}$, D^{\pm} and D_s^{\pm} production with $|\eta| < 2.1$.

Source	$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^{*\pm})$		$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^{\pm})$		$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D_s^{\pm})$	
	Low- p_{T}	High- p_{T}	Low- p_{T}	High- p_{T}	Low- p_{T}	High- p_{T}
Trigger (δ_1)	–	+0.9% −1.0%	–	+0.9% −1.0%	–	+0.9% −1.0%
Tracking (δ_2)	$\pm 7.8\%$	$\pm 7.4\%$	$\pm 7.7\%$	$\pm 7.4\%$	$\pm 7.6\%$	$\pm 7.4\%$
D selection (δ_3)	+2.8% −1.6%	+1.7% −1.4%	+1.6% −1.0%	+0.9% −0.6%	+2.6% −1.6%	+1.1% −0.9%
Signal fit (δ_4)	$\pm 1.3\%$	$\pm 0.9\%$	$\pm 1.3\%$	$\pm 1.5\%$	$\pm 6.4\%$	$\pm 5.3\%$
Modelling (δ_5)	+1.0% −1.7%	+2.7% −2.3%	+2.3% −2.6%	+2.9% −2.4%	+1.7% −2.4%	+2.8% −2.4%
Size of MC sample (δ_6)	$\pm 0.6\%$	$\pm 0.9\%$	$\pm 0.8\%$	$\pm 0.8\%$	$\pm 2.9\%$	$\pm 3.1\%$
Luminosity (δ_7)	$\pm 3.5\%$	$\pm 3.5\%$	$\pm 3.5\%$	$\pm 3.5\%$	$\pm 3.5\%$	$\pm 3.5\%$
Branching fraction (δ_8)	$\pm 1.5\%$	$\pm 1.5\%$	$\pm 2.1\%$	$\pm 2.1\%$	$\pm 5.9\%$	$\pm 5.9\%$

The systematic uncertainties are summarised in Table 2. Contributions from the systematic uncertainties δ_1 – δ_6 , calculated for visible cross sections and all bins of the differential cross sections, are added in quadrature separately for positive and negative variations. Uncertainties linked with the luminosity measurement (δ_7) and branching fractions (δ_8) are quoted separately for the measured visible cross sections. For differential cross sections, the δ_7 and δ_8 uncertainties are not included in Tables 4–6 and Figs. 4–6.

8. Production cross sections of charmed mesons

The visible cross sections of D meson production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV for $|\eta(D)| < 2.1$ in the low- p_{T} range, $3.5 < p_{\text{T}}(D) < 20$ GeV, are measured to be

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^{*\pm}) = 331 \pm 18 \text{ (stat)} \pm 28 \text{ (syst)} \pm 12 \text{ (lum)} \pm 5 \text{ (br)} \text{ }\mu\text{b},$$

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^{\pm}) = 328 \pm 16 \text{ (stat)} \pm 27 \text{ (syst)} \pm 11 \text{ (lum)} \pm 7 \text{ (br)} \text{ }\mu\text{b},$$

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D_s^{\pm}) = 160 \pm 31 \text{ (stat)} \pm 17 \text{ (syst)} \pm 6 \text{ (lum)} \pm 10 \text{ (br)} \text{ }\mu\text{b},$$

where the last two uncertainties are due to those on the luminosity measurement and the charmed meson decay branching fractions.

The POWHEG + PYTHIA predictions are

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^{*\pm}) = 158^{+176}_{-81} \text{ (scale)}^{+15}_{-16} (m_Q)^{+14}_{-13} (\text{PDF} \oplus \alpha_s)^{+19}_{-16} (\text{hadr}) \text{ }\mu\text{b},$$

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^{\pm}) = 134^{+145}_{-67} \text{ (scale)}^{+12}_{-13} (m_Q)^{+12}_{-11} (\text{PDF} \oplus \alpha_s)^{+21}_{-12} (\text{hadr}) \text{ }\mu\text{b},$$

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D_s^{\pm}) = 62^{+63}_{-29} \text{ (scale)} \pm 6 (m_Q) \pm 5 (\text{PDF} \oplus \alpha_s)^{+7}_{-8} (\text{hadr}) \text{ }\mu\text{b},$$

where the last uncertainty is due to that on hadronisation (see Section 4). The FONLL predictions for D^{*+} and D^+ are

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^{*\pm}) = 202^{+119}_{-73} \text{ (scale)}^{+36}_{-27} (m_Q) \pm 21 \text{ (PDF)} \pm 5 \text{ (ff)} \text{ }\mu\text{b},$$

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^{\pm}) = 174^{+99}_{-60} \text{ (scale)}^{+33}_{-24} (m_Q) \pm 18 \text{ (PDF)} \pm 7 \text{ (ff)} \text{ }\mu\text{b},$$

Table 3

The visible low- p_T , $3.5 < p_T(D) < 20$ GeV, and high- p_T , $20 < p_T(D) < 100$ GeV, cross sections of $D^{*\pm}$, D^\pm and D_s^\pm production with $|\eta| < 2.1$. The measurements are compared with the GM-VFNS [20–22], FONLL [17–19,23], POWHEG + PYTHIA [11,27], POWHEG + HERWIG [27,28] and MC@NLO [26,28] predictions. The data uncertainties are the total uncertainties obtained as sums in quadrature of the statistical, systematic, luminosity and branching-fraction uncertainties. The prediction uncertainties are the total uncertainties obtained as sums in quadrature of all considered sources of the theoretical uncertainty (see text).

Range [units]	$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^{*\pm})$		$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^\pm)$		$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D_s^\pm)$	
	low- p_T [μb]	high- p_T [nb]	low- p_T [μb]	high- p_T [nb]	low- p_T [μb]	high- p_T [nb]
ATLAS	331 ± 36	988 ± 100	328 ± 34	888 ± 97	160 ± 37	512 ± 104
GM-VFNS	340_{-150}^{+130}	1000_{-150}^{+120}	350_{-160}^{+150}	980_{-150}^{+120}	147_{-66}^{+54}	470_{-69}^{+56}
FONLL	202_{-79}^{+125}	753_{-104}^{+123}	174_{-66}^{+105}	617_{-86}^{+103}	—	—
POWHEG + PYTHIA	158_{-85}^{+179}	600_{-180}^{+300}	134_{-70}^{+148}	480_{-130}^{+240}	62_{-31}^{+64}	225_{-69}^{+114}
POWHEG + HERWIG	137_{-72}^{+147}	690_{-160}^{+380}	121_{-64}^{+129}	580_{-140}^{+280}	51_{-25}^{+50}	268_{-62}^{+107}
MC@NLO	157_{-72}^{+125}	980_{-290}^{+460}	140_{-65}^{+112}	810_{-260}^{+390}	58_{-25}^{+42}	345_{-87}^{+175}

where the last uncertainty is due to that on the fragmentation function. The FONLL predictions for D_s^+ production are currently not available.

The visible cross sections of D meson production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV for $|\eta(D)| < 2.1$ in the high- p_T range, $20 < p_T(D) < 100$ GeV, are measured to be

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^{*\pm}) = 988 \pm 45 \text{ (stat)} \pm 81 \text{ (syst)} \pm 35 \text{ (lum)} \pm 15 \text{ (br)} \text{ nb},$$

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^\pm) = 888 \pm 53 \text{ (stat)} \pm 73 \text{ (syst)} \pm 31 \text{ (lum)} \pm 18 \text{ (br)} \text{ nb},$$

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D_s^\pm) = 512 \pm 83 \text{ (stat)} \pm 52 \text{ (syst)} \pm 18 \text{ (lum)} \pm 30 \text{ (br)} \text{ nb}.$$

The POWHEG + PYTHIA predictions are

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^{*\pm}) = 600_{-137}^{+269} \text{ (scale)}_{-21}^{+15} (m_Q)_{-34}^{+25} (\text{PDF} \oplus \alpha_s)_{-111}^{+126} (\text{hadr}) \text{ nb},$$

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^\pm) = 480_{-109}^{+208} \text{ (scale)}_{-11}^{+6} (m_Q)_{-27}^{+20} (\text{PDF} \oplus \alpha_s)_{-71}^{+121} (\text{hadr}) \text{ nb},$$

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D_s^\pm) = 225_{-47}^{+106} \text{ (scale)}_{-8}^{+9} (m_Q)_{-13}^{+9} (\text{PDF} \oplus \alpha_s)_{-49}^{+40} (\text{hadr}) \text{ nb}.$$

The FONLL predictions for D^{*+} and D^+ are

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^{*\pm}) = 753_{-98}^{+116} \text{ (scale)}_{-18}^{+28} (m_Q) \pm 41 \text{ (PDF)} \pm 17 \text{ (ff)} \text{ } \mu\text{b},$$

$$\sigma^{\text{vis}}(D^\pm) = 617_{-78}^{+92} \text{ (scale)}_{-21}^{+37} (m_Q) \pm 33 \text{ (PDF)} \pm 23 \text{ (ff)} \text{ } \mu\text{b}.$$

The visible low- p_T and high- p_T $D^{*\pm}$, D^\pm and D_s^\pm production cross sections are compared in Table 3 with the NLO QCD predictions. The FONLL, MC@NLO and POWHEG predictions




Fig. 4. Differential cross sections for $D^{*\pm}$ (top) and D^\pm (bottom) mesons as a function of p_T for data (points) compared to the NLO QCD calculations of FONLL, POWHEG + PYTHIA, POWHEG + HERWIG, MC@NLO and GM-VFNS (histograms). The data points are drawn in the bin centres. The inner error bars show the statistical uncertainties and the outer error bars show the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Uncertainties linked with the luminosity measurement (3.5%) and branching fractions (1.5% and 2.1% for $D^{*\pm}$ and D^\pm , respectively) are not included in the shown systematic uncertainties. The bands show the estimated theoretical uncertainty of the FONLL calculation.

Table 4

The measured differential cross sections $d\sigma/dp_T$ of $D^{*\pm}$ and D^\pm production with $|\eta| < 2.1$. The first and second errors are the statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively. The systematic uncertainties corresponding to the tracking (δ_2) uncertainties (Table 2) are strongly correlated. The fully correlated uncertainties linked with the luminosity measurement (3.5%) and branching fractions (1.5% and 2.1% for $D^{*\pm}$ and D^\pm , respectively) are not shown.

p_T range	$d\sigma/dp_T(D^{*\pm})$ [μb/GeV]	$d\sigma/dp_T(D^\pm)$ [μb/GeV]
3.5–5.0	$145 \pm 15 \pm 14$	$127 \pm 13 \pm 12$
5.0–6.5	$43.4 \pm 4.2 \pm 3.6$	$51.9 \pm 4.3 \pm 4.2$
6.5–8.0	$20.8 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.7$	$20.0 \pm 2.3 \pm 1.6$
8–12	$6.34 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.51$	$6.29 \pm 0.56 \pm 0.51$
12–20	$(757 \pm 101 \pm 65) \times 10^{-3}$	$(583 \pm 88 \pm 50) \times 10^{-3}$
20–30	$(78.8 \pm 5.6 \pm 6.4) \times 10^{-3}$	$(73.6 \pm 5.5 \pm 5.9) \times 10^{-3}$
30–40	$(13.3 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$	$(11.9 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$
40–60	$(2.52 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.05 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$
60–100	$(131 \pm 31 \pm 11) \times 10^{-6}$	$(175 \pm 41 \pm 15) \times 10^{-6}$

Table 5

The measured differential cross sections $d\sigma/d|\eta|$ of $D^{*\pm}$ and D^\pm production with $3.5 < p_T < 20$ GeV. The first and second errors are the statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively. The systematic uncertainty fractions corresponding to the tracking (δ_2) uncertainties (Table 2) are strongly correlated. The fully correlated uncertainties linked with the luminosity measurement (3.5%) and branching fractions (1.5% and 2.1% for $D^{*\pm}$ and D^\pm , respectively) are not shown.

$ \eta $ range	$d\sigma/d \eta (D^{*\pm})$ [μb]	$d\sigma/d \eta (D^\pm)$ [μb]
0.0–0.2	$176 \pm 21 \pm 14$	$165 \pm 20 \pm 13$
0.2–0.5	$158 \pm 17 \pm 12$	$164 \pm 16 \pm 13$
0.5–0.8	$149 \pm 15 \pm 12$	$165 \pm 15 \pm 13$
0.8–1.3	$156 \pm 14 \pm 14$	$157 \pm 17 \pm 13$
1.3–2.1	$171 \pm 23 \pm 19$	$142 \pm 19 \pm 18$

Table 6

The measured differential cross sections $d\sigma/d|\eta|$ of $D^{*\pm}$ and D^\pm production with $20 < p_T < 100$ GeV. The first and second errors are the statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively. The systematic uncertainty fractions corresponding to the tracking (δ_2) uncertainties (Table 2) are strongly correlated. The fully correlated uncertainties linked with the luminosity measurement (3.5%) and branching fractions (1.5% and 2.1% for $D^{*\pm}$ and D^\pm , respectively) are not shown.

$ \eta $ range	$d\sigma/d \eta (D^{*\pm})$ [nb]	$d\sigma/d \eta (D^\pm)$ [nb]
0.0–0.2	$591 \pm 66 \pm 46$	$579 \pm 80 \pm 46$
0.2–0.5	$584 \pm 54 \pm 46$	$543 \pm 51 \pm 42$
0.5–0.8	$638 \pm 55 \pm 49$	$510 \pm 51 \pm 42$
0.8–1.3	$446 \pm 43 \pm 35$	$408 \pm 46 \pm 33$
1.3–2.1	$358 \pm 49 \pm 40$	$350 \pm 65 \pm 39$

are consistent with the data within the large theoretical uncertainties, with the central values of the predictions lying below the measurements. The GM-VFNS predictions agree with data.

The differential cross sections $d\sigma/dp_T$ and $d\sigma/d|\eta|$ for $D^{*\pm}$ and D^\pm production are shown in Tables 4–6 and compared in Figs. 4–6 with the NLO QCD predictions. The FONLL, MC@NLO and POWHEG predictions are generally below the data. They are consistent with the data in the




Fig. 5. Differential cross sections for $D^{*\pm}$ (top) and D^{\pm} (bottom) mesons with $3.5 < p_T(D) < 20 \text{ GeV}$ as a function of $|\eta|$ for data (points) compared to the NLO QCD calculations of FONLL, POWHEG + PYTHIA, POWHEG + HERWIG, MC@NLO and GM-VFNS (histograms). The data points are drawn in the bin centres. The inner error bars show the statistical uncertainties and the outer error bars show the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Uncertainties linked with the luminosity measurement (3.5%) and branching fractions (1.5% and 2.1% for $D^{*\pm}$ and D^{\pm} , respectively) are not included in the shown systematic uncertainties. The bands show the estimated theoretical uncertainty of the FONLL calculation.

measured $p_T(D)$ and $|\eta(D)|$ ranges within the large theoretical uncertainties. The FONLL and POWHEG predictions reproduce shapes of the data distributions. The p_T shape of the MC@NLO prediction is harder than that for the data. The $|\eta|$ shape of the MC@NLO prediction in the high- p_T range differs from the data and all other predictions. The GM-VFNS predictions agree with data in both shape and normalisation.




Fig. 6. Differential cross sections for $D^{*\pm}$ (top) and D^\pm (bottom) mesons with $20 < p_T(D) < 100$ GeV as a function of $|\eta|$ for data (points) compared to the NLO QCD calculations of FONLL, POWHEG + PYTHIA, POWHEG + HERWIG, MC@NLO and GM-VFNS (histograms). The data points are drawn in the bin centres. The inner error bars show the statistical uncertainties and the outer error bars show the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Uncertainties linked with the luminosity measurement (3.5%) and branching fractions (1.5% and 2.1% for $D^{*\pm}$ and D^\pm , respectively) are not included in the shown systematic uncertainties. The bands show the estimated theoretical uncertainty of the FONLL calculation.

9. Extrapolation to the full kinematic phase space

The visible kinematic range covers only a small fraction of produced charmed mesons. To get some insight into the general properties of charm production and hadronisation at the LHC, the visible low- p_T D cross sections are extrapolated to the cross sections in the full kinematic phase space after subtracting the cross-section fractions originating from beauty production. Assuming the validity of the QCD NLO calculations and QCD factorisation in the whole phase space, the

extrapolation factors are calculated as ratios of the total NLO cross sections of D mesons produced in charm hadronisation, $\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D)$, to those in the visible kinematic range. The extrapolation factors from the visible low- p_{T} D^{*+} , D^+ and D_s^+ cross sections to the full kinematic phase space are of the order 12–14.

The extrapolated D cross sections are used to calculate the total cross section of charm production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, and two charm fragmentation ratios: the strangeness-suppression factor in charm fragmentation and the fraction of charged non-strange D mesons produced in a vector state. The GM-VFNS calculations cannot be used for extrapolation because they originate from the massless scheme. For estimation of the total cross section of charm production, the extrapolation is performed with the FONLL calculations. However, as the FONLL calculations are not available for D_s^+ production and do not include such a sophisticated fragmentation scheme as PYTHIA, the extrapolation for extraction of the charm fragmentation ratios is performed with the POWHEG + PYTHIA calculations.

The results obtained by extrapolating the visible high- p_{T} D cross sections agree with the results presented, but have larger extrapolation uncertainties.

9.1. Total charm production cross section

To calculate the total cross section of charm production, the total production cross section of a given D meson should be divided by twice the value of the corresponding charm fragmentation fraction from Table 1. The weighted mean of the two values calculated from $D^{*\pm}$ and D^{\pm} cross sections is

$$\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}} = 8.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.7 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.3 \text{ (lum)} \pm 0.2 \text{ (ff)}_{-3.4}^{+3.8} \text{ (extr)} \text{ mb} \quad (\text{ATLAS}),$$

where the fourth uncertainty is due to the uncertainty of the fragmentation fractions and the last uncertainty is due to the extrapolation procedure. The extrapolation uncertainty is determined by adding in quadrature the changes in results originating from all sources of the FONLL theoretical uncertainty (Section 4). The uncertainties in the charmed meson decay branching fractions, which are common to the measured cross sections and fragmentation fractions, do not affect the calculation of the total cross section of charm production.

The calculated total cross section of charm production can be compared with a similar calculation performed by the ALICE experiment [50]:

$$\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}} = 8.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ (stat)}_{-2.4}^{+1.0} \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.3 \text{ (lum)} \pm 0.2 \text{ (ff)}_{-0.4}^{+5.0} \text{ (extr)} \text{ mb} \quad (\text{ALICE}).$$

The ATLAS and ALICE estimates of the total charm production cross section at LHC are in good agreement. Both estimations are performed using extrapolations outside the visible kinematic ranges with analogous FONLL calculations. The different extrapolation uncertainties of the two estimations are due to different visible kinematic ranges. ATLAS extrapolates from the kinematic range $3.5 < p_{\text{T}}(D) < 20$ GeV and $|\eta(D)| < 2.1$, while the ALICE visible kinematic range is $1 < p_{\text{T}}(D) < 24$ GeV and $|y(D)| < 0.5$.

9.2. Charm fragmentation ratios

The total cross sections for D production are used to calculate two fragmentation ratios for charged charmed mesons: the strangeness-suppression factor, $\gamma_{s/d}$, and the fraction of charged non-strange D mesons produced in a vector state, P_v^d . The strangeness-suppression factor is

calculated as the ratio of the $\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D_s^+)$ to the sum of $\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^{*+})$ and that part of $\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^+)$ which does not originate from D^{*+} decays:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{s/d} &= \frac{\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D_s^+)}{\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^{*+}) + \sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^+) - \sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^{*+}) \cdot (1 - \mathcal{B}_{D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+})} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D_s^+)}{\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^+) + \sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^{*+}) \cdot \mathcal{B}_{D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+}},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+} = 0.677 \pm 0.005$ [47] is the branching fraction of the $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$ decay. The fraction of charged non-strange D mesons produced in a vector state is calculated as the ratio of $\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^{*+})$ to the sum of $\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^{*+})$ and that part of $\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^+)$ which does not originate from D^{*+} decays:

$$\begin{aligned}P_v^d &= \frac{\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^{*+})}{\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^{*+}) + \sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^+) - \sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^{*+}) \cdot (1 - \mathcal{B}_{D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+})} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^{*+})}{\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^+) + \sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{\text{tot}}(D^{*+}) \cdot \mathcal{B}_{D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+}}.\end{aligned}$$

The large extrapolation uncertainties, which affect the extrapolated cross sections, are expected to nearly cancel out in the ratios. However, the calculations of the ratios are affected by details of the fragmentation simulation. To determine the extrapolation uncertainties, the following variations of the PYTHIA fragmentation, in addition to the POWHEG + PYTHIA theoretical uncertainty (Section 4), are considered:

- the Bowler fragmentation function parameter r_c is varied from the predicted value of 1 to 0.5; the a and b parameters of the Lund symmetric function are varied by $\pm 20\%$ around their default values;
- the PYTHIA parameter for the strangeness suppression is taken to be 0.3 ± 0.1 ;
- the PYTHIA parameter for the fraction of the lowest-mass charmed mesons produced in a vector state is taken to be 0.6 ± 0.1 ;
- the PYTHIA parameters for production rates of the excited charmed and charmed-strange mesons are varied by $\pm 50\%$ around the central values tuned to reproduce the measured fractions of c quarks hadronising into D_1^0 , D_2^{*0} or D_{s1}^+ [51].

Using the extrapolated cross sections, the strangeness-suppression factor and the fraction P_v^d are

$$\gamma_{s/d} = 0.26 \pm 0.05 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.02 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.02 \text{ (br)} \pm 0.01 \text{ (extr)},$$

$$P_v^d = 0.56 \pm 0.03 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.01 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.01 \text{ (br)} \pm 0.02 \text{ (extr)}.$$

The measured P_v^d fraction is smaller than the naive spin-counting prediction of 0.75, suggesting the charm-quark mass is not large enough to ensure a precise description of charm fragmentation by heavy-quark effective theory [52]. The predictions of the thermodynamical approach [53] and the string fragmentation approach [54], which both predict 2/3 for the fraction, are closer to, but still above, the measured value.

The measured charm fragmentation ratios agree with those measured by ALICE [5,6] and those measured at the HERA collider in $e^\pm p$ collisions [55–58]. They can also be compared

with results obtained in e^+e^- annihilations at LEP. The LEP fragmentation ratios are calculated using the fragmentation fractions from [Table 1](#):

$$\gamma_{s/d}^{\text{LEP}} = \frac{f(c \rightarrow D_s^+)}{f(c \rightarrow D^+) + f(c \rightarrow D^{*+}) \cdot \mathcal{B}_{D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+}} = 0.24 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \text{ (br)},$$

$$P_v^{\text{LEP}} = \frac{f(c \rightarrow D^{*+})}{f(c \rightarrow D^+) + f(c \rightarrow D^{*+}) \cdot \mathcal{B}_{D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+}} = 0.61 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \text{ (br)},$$

where the first uncertainties are the combined statistical and systematic uncertainties of the LEP measurements and the second uncertainties originate from uncertainties of the relevant branching fractions. The measurements agree within experimental uncertainties, in agreement with the hypothesis of charm fragmentation universality.

10. Summary

The production of $D^{*\pm}$, D^\pm and D_s^\pm charmed mesons has been measured in the kinematic region $3.5 < p_T(D) < 100$ GeV and $|\eta(D)| < 2.1$ with the ATLAS detector in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV at the LHC, using an integrated luminosity of up to 280 nb^{-1} . The differential cross sections $d\sigma/dp_T$ and $d\sigma/d|\eta|$ for $D^{*\pm}$ and D^\pm production have been determined and compared with a number of NLO QCD predictions. The FONLL [17–19,23], MC@NLO [24,26] and POWHEG [25,27] predictions are generally below the data. They are consistent with the data in normalisation within the large theoretical uncertainties. The FONLL and POWHEG predictions reproduce the shapes of the data distributions while the MC@NLO predictions show deviations from the shapes in the data. The GM-VFNS [20–22] predictions agree with data in both shape and normalisation.

Using the visible D cross sections and an extrapolation to the full kinematic phase space, the strangeness-suppression factor in charm fragmentation, the fraction of charged non-strange D mesons produced in a vector state, and the total cross section of charm production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV have been calculated. The fragmentation ratios agree with those obtained by the ALICE Collaboration at the LHC, and those measured in e^+e^- annihilations at LEP and in $e^\pm p$ collisions at HERA. The total cross section of charm production at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV agree with the result of the ALICE Collaboration.

Acknowledgements

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRF, DNSRC and Lundbeck Foundation, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DSM/IRFU, France; GNSF, Georgia; BMBF, HGF, and MPG, Germany; GSRT, Greece; RGC, Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF, I-CORE and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; FOM and NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and NRC KI, Russian Federation; JINR; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC

and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, the Canada Council, Canarie, CRC, Compute Canada, FQRNT, and the Ontario Innovation Trust, Canada; EPLANET, ERC, FP7, Horizon 2020 and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex and Idex, ANR, Region Auvergne and Fondation Partager le Savoir, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; BSF, GIF and Minerva, Israel; BRF, Norway; the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN and the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA) and in the Tier-2 facilities worldwide.

References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, J. Instrum. 3 (2008) S08003.
- [2] ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 052005, arXiv:1112.4432 [hep-ex].
- [3] ATLAS Collaboration, Nucl. Phys. B 864 (2012) 341, arXiv:1206.3122 [hep-ex].
- [4] ATLAS Collaboration, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2014) 068, arXiv:1402.6263 [hep-ex].
- [5] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev, et al., J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2012) 128, arXiv:1111.1553 [hep-ex].
- [6] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev, et al., Phys. Lett. B 718 (2012) 279, arXiv:1208.1948 [hep-ex].
- [7] LHCb Collaboration, R. Aaij, et al., Nucl. Phys. B 871 (2013) 1, arXiv:1302.2864 [hep-ex].
- [8] CDF Collaboration, D. Acosta, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 241804, arXiv:hep-ex/0307080.
- [9] ATLAS Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1849, arXiv:1110.1530 [hep-ex].
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2518, arXiv:1302.4393 [hep-ex].
- [11] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P. Skands, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2006) 026.
- [12] A. Sherstnev, R.S. Thorne, Eur. Phys. J. C 55 (2008) 553, arXiv:0711.2473 [hep-ph].
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration, New J. Phys. 13 (2011) 053033, arXiv:1012.5104 [hep-ex].
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 823, arXiv:1005.4568 [hep-ex].
- [15] S. Agostinelli, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 506 (2003) 250.
- [16] J. Allison, et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 53 (2006) 270.
- [17] M. Cacciari, M. Greco, P. Nason, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (1998) 007, arXiv:hep-ph/9803400.
- [18] M. Cacciari, P. Nason, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2003) 006, arXiv:hep-ph/0306212.
- [19] M. Cacciari, et al., J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2004) 033, arXiv:hep-ph/0312132.
- [20] B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, H. Spiesberger, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 014018, arXiv:hep-ph/0410289.
- [21] B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, H. Spiesberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 012001, arXiv:hep-ph/0508129.
- [22] B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, H. Spiesberger, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 094009, arXiv:0901.4130 [hep-ph].
- [23] M. Cacciari, et al., J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2012) 137, arXiv:1012.5104 [hep-ph], <http://www.lpthe.jussieu.fr/~cacciari/fonll/fonllform.html>.
- [24] S. Frixione, B.R. Webber, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2002) 029, arXiv:hep-ph/0204244.
- [25] P. Nason, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2004) 040, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- [26] S. Frixione, P. Nason, B.R. Webber, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2003) 007, arXiv:hep-ph/0305252. An extended version of the MC@NLO code for $c\bar{c}$ production calculations has been provided by the authors.
- [27] S. Frixione, P. Nason, G. Ridolfi, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2007) 126, arXiv:0707.3088 [hep-ph].
- [28] G. Corcella, et al., J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2001) 010, arXiv:hep-ph/0011363.
- [29] T. Kneesch, B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, Nucl. Phys. B 799 (2008) 34, arXiv:0712.0481 [hep-ph].
- [30] P.M. Nadolsky, et al., Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 013004, arXiv:0802.0007 [hep-ph].
- [31] M. Cacciari, P. Nason, C. Oleari, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2006) 006, arXiv:hep-ph/0510032.
- [32] B.R. Webber, Nucl. Phys. B 238 (1984) 492.
- [33] B. Andersson, et al., Phys. Rep. 97 (1983) 31.
- [34] M.G. Bowler, Z. Phys. C 11 (1981) 169.

- [35] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, B. Söderberg, Z. Phys. C 20 (1983) 317.
- [36] L. Gladilin, Eur. Phys. J. C 75 (2015) 19, arXiv:1404.3888 [hep-ex].
- [37] M. Botje, et al., arXiv:1101.0538 [hep-ph], 2011.
- [38] C. Peterson, et al., Phys. Rev. D 27 (1983) 105.
- [39] J. Chrin, Z. Phys. C 36 (1987) 163.
- [40] M. Cacciari, M. Greco, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 7134, arXiv:hep-ph/9702389.
- [41] P. Nason, C. Oleari, Phys. Lett. B 447 (1999) 327, arXiv:hep-ph/9811206.
- [42] OPAL Collaboration, G. Abbiendi, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 29 (2003) 463, arXiv:hep-ex/0210031.
- [43] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov, et al., J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2009) 082, arXiv:0901.1210 [hep-ex].
- [44] ATLAS Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 787, arXiv:1004.5293 [hep-ex].
- [45] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2010-069, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1281344>, 2010.
- [46] ATLAS Collaboration, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2010) 056, arXiv:1005.5254 [hep-ex].
- [47] K.A. Olive, et al., Particle Data Group, Chin. Phys. C 38 (2014) 090001.
- [48] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 44 (2005) 13, arXiv:hep-ex/0505008.
- [49] CLEO Collaboration, J.P. Alexander, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 161804, arXiv:0801.0680 [hep-ex].
- [50] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev, et al., J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2012) 191, arXiv:1205.4007 [hep-ex].
- [51] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 60 (2009) 25, arXiv:0807.1290 [hep-ex].
- [52] A. David, Phys. Lett. B 644 (2007) 224.
- [53] F. Becattini, Z. Phys. C 69 (1996) 485.
- [54] Y. Pei, Z. Phys. C 72 (1996) 39.
- [55] H1 Collaboration, A. Aktas, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 38 (2005) 447, arXiv:hep-ex/0408149.
- [56] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 44 (2005) 351, arXiv:hep-ex/0508019.
- [57] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov, et al., J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2007) 074, arXiv:0704.3562 [hep-ex].
- [58] ZEUS Collaboration, H. Abramowicz, et al., J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2013) 058, arXiv:1306.4862 [hep-ex].

ATLAS Collaboration

- G. Aad⁸⁵, B. Abbott¹¹³, J. Abdallah¹⁵¹, O. Abdinov¹¹, R. Aben¹⁰⁷,
 M. Abolins⁹⁰, O.S. AbouZeid¹⁵⁸, H. Abramowicz¹⁵³, H. Abreu¹⁵²,
 R. Abreu³⁰, Y. Abulaiti^{146a,146b}, B.S. Acharya^{164a,164b,a}, L. Adamczyk^{38a},
 D.L. Adams²⁵, J. Adelman¹⁰⁸, S. Adomeit¹⁰⁰, T. Adye¹³¹,
 A.A. Affolder⁷⁴, T. Agatonovic-Jovin¹³, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra^{126a,126f},
 S.P. Ahlen²², F. Ahmadov^{65,b}, G. Aielli^{133a,133b}, H. Akerstedt^{146a,146b},
 T.P.A. Åkesson⁸¹, G. Akimoto¹⁵⁵, A.V. Akimov⁹⁶, G.L. Alberghi^{20a,20b},
 J. Albert¹⁶⁹, S. Albrand⁵⁵, M.J. Alconada Verzini⁷¹, M. Aleksa³⁰,
 I.N. Aleksandrov⁶⁵, C. Alexa^{26a}, G. Alexander¹⁵³, T. Alexopoulos¹⁰,
 M. Alhroob¹¹³, G. Alimonti^{91a}, L. Alio⁸⁵, J. Alison³¹, S.P. Alkire³⁵,
 B.M.M. Allbrooke¹⁸, P.P. Allport¹⁸, A. Aloisio^{104a,104b}, A. Alonso³⁶,
 F. Alonso⁷¹, C. Alpigiani⁷⁶, A. Altheimer³⁵, B. Alvarez Gonzalez³⁰,
 D. Álvarez Piqueras¹⁶⁷, M.G. Alviggi^{104a,104b}, B.T. Amadio¹⁵,
 K. Amako⁶⁶, Y. Amaral Coutinho^{24a}, C. Amelung²³, D. Amidei⁸⁹,
 S.P. Amor Dos Santos^{126a,126c}, A. Amorim^{126a,126b}, S. Amoroso⁴⁸,
 N. Amram¹⁵³, G. Amundsen²³, C. Anastopoulos¹³⁹, L.S. Ancu⁴⁹,
 N. Andari³⁰, T. Andeen³⁵, C.F. Anders^{58b}, G. Anders³⁰, J.K. Anders⁷⁴,
 K.J. Anderson³¹, A. Andreazza^{91a,91b}, V. Andrei^{58a}, S. Angelidakis⁹,
 I. Angelozzi¹⁰⁷, P. Anger⁴⁴, A. Angerami³⁵, F. Anghinolfi³⁰,
 A.V. Anisenkov^{109,c}, N. Anjos¹², A. Annovi^{124a,124b}, M. Antonelli⁴⁷,

- A. Antonov ⁹⁸, J. Antos ^{144b}, F. Anulli ^{132a}, M. Aoki ⁶⁶, L. Aperio Bella ¹⁸,
 G. Arabidze ⁹⁰, Y. Arai ⁶⁶, J.P. Araque ^{126a}, A.T.H. Arce ⁴⁵, F.A. Arduh ⁷¹,
 J-F. Arguin ⁹⁵, S. Argyropoulos ⁴², M. Arik ^{19a}, A.J. Armbruster ³⁰,
 O. Arnaez ³⁰, V. Arnal ⁸², H. Arnold ⁴⁸, M. Arratia ²⁸, O. Arslan ²¹,
 A. Artamonov ⁹⁷, G. Artoni ²³, S. Asai ¹⁵⁵, N. Asbah ⁴², A. Ashkenazi ¹⁵³,
 B. Åsman ^{146a,146b}, L. Asquith ¹⁴⁹, K. Assamagan ²⁵, R. Astalos ^{144a},
 M. Atkinson ¹⁶⁵, N.B. Atlay ¹⁴¹, B. Auerbach ⁶, K. Augsten ¹²⁸,
 M. Aurousseau ^{145b}, G. Avolio ³⁰, B. Axen ¹⁵, M.K. Ayoub ¹¹⁷,
 G. Azuelos ^{95,d}, M.A. Baak ³⁰, A.E. Baas ^{58a}, C. Bacci ^{134a,134b},
 H. Bachacou ¹³⁶, K. Bachas ¹⁵⁴, M. Backes ³⁰, M. Backhaus ³⁰,
 P. Bagiacchi ^{132a,132b}, P. Bagnaia ^{132a,132b}, Y. Bai ^{33a}, T. Bain ³⁵,
 J.T. Baines ¹³¹, O.K. Baker ¹⁷⁶, P. Balek ¹²⁹, T. Balestri ¹⁴⁸, F. Balli ⁸⁴,
 E. Banas ³⁹, Sw. Banerjee ¹⁷³, A.A.E. Bannoura ¹⁷⁵, H.S. Bansil ¹⁸,
 L. Barak ³⁰, E.L. Barberio ⁸⁸, D. Barberis ^{50a,50b}, M. Barbero ⁸⁵,
 T. Barillari ¹⁰¹, M. Barisonzi ^{164a,164b}, T. Barklow ¹⁴³, N. Barlow ²⁸,
 S.L. Barnes ⁸⁴, B.M. Barnett ¹³¹, R.M. Barnett ¹⁵, Z. Barnovska ⁵,
 A. Baroncelli ^{134a}, G. Barone ⁴⁹, A.J. Barr ¹²⁰, F. Barreiro ⁸²,
 J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa ⁵⁷, R. Bartoldus ¹⁴³, A.E. Barton ⁷²,
 P. Bartos ^{144a}, A. Basalaev ¹²³, A. Bassalat ¹¹⁷, A. Basye ¹⁶⁵, R.L. Bates ⁵³,
 S.J. Batista ¹⁵⁸, J.R. Batley ²⁸, M. Battaglia ¹³⁷, M. Bauce ^{132a,132b},
 F. Bauer ¹³⁶, H.S. Bawa ^{143,e}, J.B. Beacham ¹¹¹, M.D. Beattie ⁷², T. Beau ⁸⁰,
 P.H. Beauchemin ¹⁶¹, R. Beccherle ^{124a,124b}, P. Bechtle ²¹, H.P. Beck ^{17,f},
 K. Becker ¹²⁰, M. Becker ⁸³, S. Becker ¹⁰⁰, M. Beckingham ¹⁷⁰,
 C. Becot ¹¹⁷, A.J. Beddall ^{19b}, A. Beddall ^{19b}, V.A. Bednyakov ⁶⁵,
 C.P. Bee ¹⁴⁸, L.J. Beemster ¹⁰⁷, T.A. Beermann ¹⁷⁵, M. Begel ²⁵,
 J.K. Behr ¹²⁰, C. Belanger-Champagne ⁸⁷, W.H. Bell ⁴⁹, G. Bella ¹⁵³,
 L. Bellagamba ^{20a}, A. Bellerive ²⁹, M. Bellomo ⁸⁶, K. Belotskiy ⁹⁸,
 O. Beltramello ³⁰, O. Benary ¹⁵³, D. Benchekroun ^{135a}, M. Bender ¹⁰⁰,
 K. Bendtz ^{146a,146b}, N. Benekos ¹⁰, Y. Benhammou ¹⁵³,
 E. Benhar Noccioli ⁴⁹, J.A. Benitez Garcia ^{159b}, D.P. Benjamin ⁴⁵,
 J.R. Bensinger ²³, S. Bentvelsen ¹⁰⁷, L. Beresford ¹²⁰, M. Beretta ⁴⁷,
 D. Berge ¹⁰⁷, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann ¹⁶⁶, N. Berger ⁵, F. Berghaus ¹⁶⁹,
 J. Beringer ¹⁵, C. Bernard ²², N.R. Bernard ⁸⁶, C. Bernius ¹¹⁰,
 F.U. Bernlochner ²¹, T. Berry ⁷⁷, P. Berta ¹²⁹, C. Bertella ⁸³,
 G. Bertoli ^{146a,146b}, F. Bertolucci ^{124a,124b}, C. Bertsche ¹¹³, D. Bertsche ¹¹³,
 M.I. Besana ^{91a}, G.J. Besjes ¹⁰⁶, O. Bessidskaia Bylund ^{146a,146b},
 M. Bessner ⁴², N. Besson ¹³⁶, C. Betancourt ⁴⁸, S. Bethke ¹⁰¹, A.J. Bevan ⁷⁶,

- W. Bhimji ⁴⁶, R.M. Bianchi ¹²⁵, L. Bianchini ²³, M. Bianco ³⁰,
 O. Biebel ¹⁰⁰, D. Biedermann ¹⁶, S.P. Bieniek ⁷⁸, M. Biglietti ^{134a},
 J. Bilbao De Mendizabal ⁴⁹, H. Bilokon ⁴⁷, M. Bindi ⁵⁴, S. Binet ¹¹⁷,
 A. Bingul ^{19b}, C. Bini ^{132a,132b}, C.W. Black ¹⁵⁰, J.E. Black ¹⁴³, K.M. Black ²²,
 D. Blackburn ¹³⁸, R.E. Blair ⁶, J.-B. Blanchard ¹³⁶, J.E. Blanco ⁷⁷,
 T. Blazek ^{144a}, I. Bloch ⁴², C. Blocker ²³, W. Blum ^{83,*}, U. Blumenschein ⁵⁴,
 G.J. Bobbink ¹⁰⁷, V.S. Bobrovnikov ^{109,c}, S.S. Bocchetta ⁸¹, A. Bocci ⁴⁵,
 C. Bock ¹⁰⁰, M. Boehler ⁴⁸, J.A. Bogaerts ³⁰, D. Bogavac ¹³,
 A.G. Bogdanchikov ¹⁰⁹, C. Bohm ^{146a}, V. Boisvert ⁷⁷, T. Bold ^{38a},
 V. Boldea ^{26a}, A.S. Boldyrev ⁹⁹, M. Bomben ⁸⁰, M. Bona ⁷⁶,
 M. Boonekamp ¹³⁶, A. Borisov ¹³⁰, G. Borissov ⁷², S. Borroni ⁴²,
 J. Bortfeldt ¹⁰⁰, V. Bortolotto ^{60a,60b,60c}, K. Bos ¹⁰⁷, D. Boscherini ^{20a},
 M. Bosman ¹², J. Boudreau ¹²⁵, J. Bouffard ², E.V. Bouhova-Thacker ⁷²,
 D. Boumediene ³⁴, C. Bourdarios ¹¹⁷, N. Bousson ¹¹⁴, A. Boveia ³⁰,
 J. Boyd ³⁰, I.R. Boyko ⁶⁵, I. Bozic ¹³, J. Bracinik ¹⁸, A. Brandt ⁸,
 G. Brandt ⁵⁴, O. Brandt ^{58a}, U. Bratzler ¹⁵⁶, B. Brau ⁸⁶, J.E. Brau ¹¹⁶,
 H.M. Braun ^{175,*}, S.F. Brazzale ^{164a,164c}, W.D. Breaden Madden ⁵³,
 K. Brendlinger ¹²², A.J. Brennan ⁸⁸, L. Brenner ¹⁰⁷, R. Brenner ¹⁶⁶,
 S. Bressler ¹⁷², K. Bristow ^{145c}, T.M. Bristow ⁴⁶, D. Britton ⁵³,
 D. Britzger ⁴², F.M. Brochu ²⁸, I. Brock ²¹, R. Brock ⁹⁰, J. Bronner ¹⁰¹,
 G. Brooijmans ³⁵, T. Brooks ⁷⁷, W.K. Brooks ^{32b}, J. Brosamer ¹⁵,
 E. Brost ¹¹⁶, J. Brown ⁵⁵, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom ³⁹, D. Bruncko ^{144b},
 R. Bruneliere ⁴⁸, A. Bruni ^{20a}, G. Bruni ^{20a}, M. Bruschi ^{20a}, N. Bruscino ²¹,
 L. Bryngemark ⁸¹, T. Buanes ¹⁴, Q. Buat ¹⁴², P. Buchholz ¹⁴¹,
 A.G. Buckley ⁵³, S.I. Buda ^{26a}, I.A. Budagov ⁶⁵, F. Buehrer ⁴⁸, L. Bugge ¹¹⁹,
 M.K. Bugge ¹¹⁹, O. Bulekov ⁹⁸, D. Bullock ⁸, H. Burckhart ³⁰, S. Burdin ⁷⁴,
 B. Burghgrave ¹⁰⁸, S. Burke ¹³¹, I. Burmeister ⁴³, E. Busato ³⁴,
 D. Büscher ⁴⁸, V. Büscher ⁸³, P. Bussey ⁵³, J.M. Butler ²², A.I. Butt ³,
 C.M. Buttar ⁵³, J.M. Butterworth ⁷⁸, P. Butti ¹⁰⁷, W. Buttinger ²⁵,
 A. Buzatu ⁵³, A.R. Buzykaev ^{109,c}, S. Cabrera Urbán ¹⁶⁷, D. Caforio ¹²⁸,
 V.M. Cairo ^{37a,37b}, O. Cakir ^{4a}, P. Calafiura ¹⁵, A. Calandri ¹³⁶,
 G. Calderini ⁸⁰, P. Calfayan ¹⁰⁰, L.P. Caloba ^{24a}, D. Calvet ³⁴, S. Calvet ³⁴,
 R. Camacho Toro ³¹, S. Camarda ⁴², P. Camarri ^{133a,133b}, D. Cameron ¹¹⁹,
 L.M. Caminada ¹⁵, R. Caminal Armadans ¹⁶⁵, S. Campana ³⁰,
 M. Campanelli ⁷⁸, A. Campoverde ¹⁴⁸, V. Canale ^{104a,104b}, A. Canepa ^{159a},
 M. Cano Bret ⁷⁶, J. Cantero ⁸², R. Cantrill ^{126a}, T. Cao ⁴⁰,
 M.D.M. Capeans Garrido ³⁰, I. Caprini ^{26a}, M. Caprini ^{26a}, M. Capua ^{37a,37b},

- R. Caputo ⁸³, R. Cardarelli ^{133a}, F. Cardillo ⁴⁸, T. Carli ³⁰, G. Carlino ^{104a},
 L. Carminati ^{91a,91b}, S. Caron ¹⁰⁶, E. Carquin ^{32a}, G.D. Carrillo-Montoya ⁸,
 J.R. Carter ²⁸, J. Carvalho ^{126a,126c}, D. Casadei ⁷⁸, M.P. Casado ¹²,
 M. Casolino ¹², E. Castaneda-Miranda ^{145b}, A. Castelli ¹⁰⁷,
 V. Castillo Gimenez ¹⁶⁷, N.F. Castro ^{126a,g}, P. Catastini ⁵⁷, A. Catinaccio ³⁰,
 J.R. Catmore ¹¹⁹, A. Cattai ³⁰, J. Caudron ⁸³, V. Cavaliere ¹⁶⁵, D. Cavalli ^{91a},
 M. Cavalli-Sforza ¹², V. Cavasinni ^{124a,124b}, F. Ceradini ^{134a,134b},
 B.C. Cerio ⁴⁵, K. Cerny ¹²⁹, A.S. Cerqueira ^{24b}, A. Cerri ¹⁴⁹, L. Cerrito ⁷⁶,
 F. Cerutti ¹⁵, M. Cerv ³⁰, A. Cervelli ¹⁷, S.A. Cetin ^{19c}, A. Chafaq ^{135a},
 D. Chakraborty ¹⁰⁸, I. Chalupkova ¹²⁹, P. Chang ¹⁶⁵, B. Chapleau ⁸⁷,
 J.D. Chapman ²⁸, D.G. Charlton ¹⁸, C.C. Chau ¹⁵⁸,
 C.A. Chavez Barajas ¹⁴⁹, S. Cheatham ¹⁵², A. Chegwidden ⁹⁰,
 S. Chekanov ⁶, S.V. Chekulaev ^{159a}, G.A. Chelkov ^{65,h},
 M.A. Chelstowska ⁸⁹, C. Chen ⁶⁴, H. Chen ²⁵, K. Chen ¹⁴⁸, L. Chen ^{33d,i},
 S. Chen ^{33c}, X. Chen ^{33f}, Y. Chen ⁶⁷, H.C. Cheng ⁸⁹, Y. Cheng ³¹,
 A. Cheplakov ⁶⁵, E. Cheremushkina ¹³⁰, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli ^{135e},
 V. Chernyatin ^{25,*}, E. Cheu ⁷, L. Chevalier ¹³⁶, V. Chiarella ⁴⁷,
 J.T. Childers ⁶, G. Chiodini ^{73a}, A.S. Chisholm ¹⁸, R.T. Chislett ⁷⁸,
 A. Chitan ^{26a}, M.V. Chizhov ⁶⁵, K. Choi ⁶¹, S. Chouridou ⁹,
 B.K.B. Chow ¹⁰⁰, V. Christodoulou ⁷⁸, D. Chromek-Burckhart ³⁰,
 J. Chudoba ¹²⁷, A.J. Chuinard ⁸⁷, J.J. Chwastowski ³⁹, L. Chytka ¹¹⁵,
 G. Ciapetti ^{132a,132b}, A.K. Ciftci ^{4a}, D. Cinca ⁵³, V. Cindro ⁷⁵, I.A. Cioara ²¹,
 A. Ciocio ¹⁵, Z.H. Citron ¹⁷², M. Ciubancan ^{26a}, A. Clark ⁴⁹, B.L. Clark ⁵⁷,
 P.J. Clark ⁴⁶, R.N. Clarke ¹⁵, W. Cleland ¹²⁵, C. Clement ^{146a,146b},
 Y. Coadou ⁸⁵, M. Cobal ^{164a,164c}, A. Coccaro ¹³⁸, J. Cochran ⁶⁴, L. Coffey ²³,
 J.G. Cogan ¹⁴³, B. Cole ³⁵, S. Cole ¹⁰⁸, A.P. Colijn ¹⁰⁷, J. Collot ⁵⁵,
 T. Colombo ^{58c}, G. Compostella ¹⁰¹, P. Conde Muiño ^{126a,126b},
 E. Coniavitis ⁴⁸, S.H. Connell ^{145b}, I.A. Connelly ⁷⁷, S.M. Consonni ^{91a,91b},
 V. Consorti ⁴⁸, S. Constantinescu ^{26a}, C. Conta ^{121a,121b}, G. Conti ³⁰,
 F. Conventi ^{104a,j}, M. Cooke ¹⁵, B.D. Cooper ⁷⁸, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar ¹²⁰,
 T. Cornelissen ¹⁷⁵, M. Corradi ^{132a,132b}, F. Corriveau ^{87,k}, A. Corso-Radu ¹⁶³,
 A. Cortes-Gonzalez ¹², G. Cortiana ¹⁰¹, G. Costa ^{91a}, M.J. Costa ¹⁶⁷,
 D. Costanzo ¹³⁹, D. Côté ⁸, G. Cottin ²⁸, G. Cowan ⁷⁷, B.E. Cox ⁸⁴,
 K. Cranmer ¹¹⁰, G. Cree ²⁹, S. Crépé-Renaudin ⁵⁵, F. Crescioli ⁸⁰,
 W.A. Cribbs ^{146a,146b}, M. Crispin Ortuzar ¹²⁰, M. Cristinziani ²¹, V. Croft ¹⁰⁶,
 G. Crosetti ^{37a,37b}, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann ¹³⁹, J. Cummings ¹⁷⁶,
 M. Curatolo ⁴⁷, C. Cuthbert ¹⁵⁰, H. Czirr ¹⁴¹, P. Czodrowski ³, S. D'Auria ⁵³,

- M. D’Onofrio ⁷⁴, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa ^{126a,126b}, C. Da Via ⁸⁴,
 W. Dabrowski ^{38a}, A. Dafinca ¹²⁰, T. Dai ⁸⁹, O. Dale ¹⁴, F. Dallaire ⁹⁵,
 C. Dallapiccola ⁸⁶, M. Dam ³⁶, J.R. Dandoy ³¹, N.P. Dang ⁴⁸,
 A.C. Daniells ¹⁸, M. Danninger ¹⁶⁸, M. Dano Hoffmann ¹³⁶, V. Dao ⁴⁸,
 G. Darbo ^{50a}, S. Darmora ⁸, J. Dassoulas ³, A. Dattagupta ⁶¹, W. Davey ²¹,
 C. David ¹⁶⁹, T. Davidek ¹²⁹, E. Davies ^{120,l}, M. Davies ¹⁵³, P. Davison ⁷⁸,
 Y. Davygora ^{58a}, E. Dawe ⁸⁸, I. Dawson ¹³⁹, R.K. Daya-Ishmukhametova ⁸⁶,
 K. De ⁸, R. de Asmundis ^{104a}, S. De Castro ^{20a,20b}, S. De Cecco ⁸⁰,
 N. De Groot ¹⁰⁶, P. de Jong ¹⁰⁷, H. De la Torre ⁸², F. De Lorenzi ⁶⁴,
 L. De Nooij ¹⁰⁷, D. De Pedis ^{132a}, A. De Salvo ^{132a}, U. De Sanctis ¹⁴⁹,
 A. De Santo ¹⁴⁹, J.B. De Vivie De Regie ¹¹⁷, W.J. Dearnaley ⁷²,
 R. Debbe ²⁵, C. Debenedetti ¹³⁷, D.V. Dedovich ⁶⁵, I. Deigaard ¹⁰⁷,
 J. Del Peso ⁸², T. Del Prete ^{124a,124b}, D. Delgove ¹¹⁷, F. Deliot ¹³⁶,
 C.M. Delitzsch ⁴⁹, M. Deliyergiyev ⁷⁵, A. Dell’Acqua ³⁰, L. Dell’Asta ²²,
 M. Dell’Orso ^{124a,124b}, M. Della Pietra ^{104a,j}, D. della Volpe ⁴⁹,
 M. Delmastro ⁵, P.A. Delsart ⁵⁵, C. Deluca ¹⁰⁷, D.A. DeMarco ¹⁵⁸,
 S. Demers ¹⁷⁶, M. Demichev ⁶⁵, A. Demilly ⁸⁰, S.P. Denisov ¹³⁰,
 D. Derendarz ³⁹, J.E. Derkaoui ^{135d}, F. Derue ⁸⁰, P. Dervan ⁷⁴, K. Desch ²¹,
 C. Deterre ⁴², P.O. Deviveiros ³⁰, A. Dewhurst ¹³¹, S. Dhaliwal ²³,
 A. Di Ciaccio ^{133a,133b}, L. Di Ciaccio ⁵, A. Di Domenico ^{132a,132b},
 C. Di Donato ^{132a,132b}, A. Di Girolamo ³⁰, B. Di Girolamo ³⁰,
 A. Di Mattia ¹⁵², B. Di Micco ^{134a,134b}, R. Di Nardo ⁴⁷, A. Di Simone ⁴⁸,
 R. Di Sipio ¹⁵⁸, D. Di Valentino ²⁹, C. Diaconu ⁸⁵, M. Diamond ¹⁵⁸,
 F.A. Dias ⁴⁶, M.A. Diaz ^{32a}, E.B. Diehl ⁸⁹, J. Dietrich ¹⁶, S. Diglio ⁸⁵,
 A. Dimitrijevska ¹³, J. Dingfelder ²¹, P. Dita ^{26a}, S. Dita ^{26a}, F. Dittus ³⁰,
 F. Djama ⁸⁵, T. Djoberava ^{51b}, J.I. Djuvsland ^{58a}, M.A.B. do Vale ^{24c},
 D. Dobos ³⁰, M. Dobre ^{26a}, C. Doglioni ⁴⁹, T. Dohmae ¹⁵⁵, J. Dolejsi ¹²⁹,
 Z. Dolezal ¹²⁹, B.A. Dolgoshein ^{98,*}, M. Donadelli ^{24d}, S. Donati ^{124a,124b},
 P. Dondero ^{121a,121b}, J. Donini ³⁴, J. Dopke ¹³¹, A. Doria ^{104a}, M.T. Dova ⁷¹,
 A.T. Doyle ⁵³, E. Drechsler ⁵⁴, M. Dris ¹⁰, E. Dubreuil ³⁴, E. Duchovni ¹⁷²,
 G. Duckeck ¹⁰⁰, O.A. Ducu ^{26a,85}, D. Duda ¹⁷⁵, A. Dudarev ³⁰, L. Duflot ¹¹⁷,
 L. Duguid ⁷⁷, M. Dührssen ³⁰, M. Dunford ^{58a}, H. Duran Yildiz ^{4a},
 M. Düren ⁵², A. Durglishvili ^{51b}, D. Duschinger ⁴⁴, M. Dyndal ^{38a},
 C. Eckardt ⁴², K.M. Ecker ¹⁰¹, R.C. Edgar ⁸⁹, W. Edson ², N.C. Edwards ⁴⁶,
 W. Ehrenfeld ²¹, T. Eifert ³⁰, G. Eigen ¹⁴, K. Einsweiler ¹⁵, T. Ekelof ¹⁶⁶,
 M. El Kacimi ^{135c}, M. Ellert ¹⁶⁶, S. Elles ⁵, F. Ellinghaus ⁸³, A.A. Elliot ¹⁶⁹,
 N. Ellis ³⁰, J. Elmsheuser ¹⁰⁰, M. Elsing ³⁰, D. Emeliyanov ¹³¹, Y. Enari ¹⁵⁵,

- O.C. Endner ⁸³, M. Endo ¹¹⁸, J. Erdmann ⁴³, A. Ereditato ¹⁷, G. Ernis ¹⁷⁵,
 J. Ernst ², M. Ernst ²⁵, S. Errede ¹⁶⁵, E. Ertel ⁸³, M. Escalier ¹¹⁷, H. Esch ⁴³,
 C. Escobar ¹²⁵, B. Esposito ⁴⁷, A.I. Etienne ¹³⁶, E. Etzion ¹⁵³, H. Evans ⁶¹,
 A. Ezhilov ¹²³, L. Fabbri ^{20a,20b}, G. Facini ³¹, R.M. Fakhrutdinov ¹³⁰,
 S. Falciano ^{132a}, R.J. Falla ⁷⁸, J. Faltova ¹²⁹, Y. Fang ^{33a}, M. Fanti ^{91a,91b},
 A. Farbin ⁸, A. Farilla ^{134a}, T. Farooque ¹², S. Farrell ¹⁵, S.M. Farrington ¹⁷⁰,
 P. Farthouat ³⁰, F. Fassi ^{135e}, P. Fassnacht ³⁰, D. Fassouliotis ⁹,
 M. Faucci Giannelli ⁷⁷, A. Favareto ^{50a,50b}, L. Fayard ¹¹⁷, P. Federic ^{144a},
 O.L. Fedin ^{123,m}, W. Fedorko ¹⁶⁸, S. Feigl ³⁰, L. Feligioni ⁸⁵, C. Feng ^{33d},
 E.J. Feng ⁶, H. Feng ⁸⁹, A.B. Fenyuk ¹³⁰, L. Feremenga ⁸,
 P. Fernandez Martinez ¹⁶⁷, S. Fernandez Perez ³⁰, J. Ferrando ⁵³,
 A. Ferrari ¹⁶⁶, P. Ferrari ¹⁰⁷, R. Ferrari ^{121a}, D.E. Ferreira de Lima ⁵³,
 A. Ferrer ¹⁶⁷, D. Ferrere ⁴⁹, C. Ferretti ⁸⁹, A. Ferretto Parodi ^{50a,50b},
 M. Fiascaris ³¹, F. Fiedler ⁸³, A. Filipčič ⁷⁵, M. Filipuzzi ⁴², F. Filthaut ¹⁰⁶,
 M. Fincke-Keeler ¹⁶⁹, K.D. Finelli ¹⁵⁰, M.C.N. Fiolhais ^{126a,126c},
 L. Fiorini ¹⁶⁷, A. Firan ⁴⁰, A. Fischer ², C. Fischer ¹², J. Fischer ¹⁷⁵,
 W.C. Fisher ⁹⁰, E.A. Fitzgerald ²³, I. Fleck ¹⁴¹, P. Fleischmann ⁸⁹,
 S. Fleischmann ¹⁷⁵, G.T. Fletcher ¹³⁹, G. Fletcher ⁷⁶, R.R.M. Fletcher ¹²²,
 T. Flick ¹⁷⁵, A. Floderus ⁸¹, L.R. Flores Castillo ^{60a}, M.J. Flowerdew ¹⁰¹,
 A. Formica ¹³⁶, A. Forti ⁸⁴, D. Fournier ¹¹⁷, H. Fox ⁷², S. Fracchia ¹²,
 P. Francavilla ⁸⁰, M. Franchini ^{20a,20b}, D. Francis ³⁰, L. Franconi ¹¹⁹,
 M. Franklin ⁵⁷, M. Frate ¹⁶³, M. Fraternali ^{121a,121b}, D. Freeborn ⁷⁸,
 S.T. French ²⁸, F. Friedrich ⁴⁴, D. Froidevaux ³⁰, J.A. Frost ¹²⁰,
 C. Fukunaga ¹⁵⁶, E. Fullana Torregrosa ⁸³, B.G. Fulsom ¹⁴³, J. Fuster ¹⁶⁷,
 C. Gabaldon ⁵⁵, O. Gabizon ¹⁷⁵, A. Gabrielli ^{20a,20b}, A. Gabrielli ^{132a,132b},
 S. Gadatsch ¹⁰⁷, S. Gadomski ⁴⁹, G. Gagliardi ^{50a,50b}, P. Gagnon ⁶¹,
 C. Galea ¹⁰⁶, B. Galhardo ^{126a,126c}, E.J. Gallas ¹²⁰, B.J. Gallop ¹³¹,
 P. Gallus ¹²⁸, G. Galster ³⁶, K.K. Gan ¹¹¹, J. Gao ^{33b,85}, Y. Gao ⁴⁶,
 Y.S. Gao ^{143,e}, F.M. Garay Walls ⁴⁶, F. Garberson ¹⁷⁶, C. García ¹⁶⁷,
 J.E. García Navarro ¹⁶⁷, M. Garcia-Sciveres ¹⁵, R.W. Gardner ³¹,
 N. Garelli ¹⁴³, V. Garonne ¹¹⁹, C. Gatti ⁴⁷, A. Gaudiello ^{50a,50b},
 G. Gaudio ^{121a}, B. Gaur ¹⁴¹, L. Gauthier ⁹⁵, P. Gauzzi ^{132a,132b},
 I.L. Gavrilenco ⁹⁶, C. Gay ¹⁶⁸, G. Gaycken ²¹, E.N. Gazis ¹⁰, P. Ge ^{33d},
 Z. Gecse ¹⁶⁸, C.N.P. Gee ¹³¹, D.A.A. Geerts ¹⁰⁷, Ch. Geich-Gimbel ²¹,
 M.P. Geisler ^{58a}, C. Gemme ^{50a}, M.H. Genest ⁵⁵, S. Gentile ^{132a,132b},
 M. George ⁵⁴, S. George ⁷⁷, D. Gerbaudo ¹⁶³, A. Gershon ¹⁵³,
 H. Ghazlane ^{135b}, B. Giacobbe ^{20a}, S. Giagu ^{132a,132b}, V. Giangiobbe ¹²,

- P. Giannetti ^{124a,124b}, B. Gibbard ²⁵, S.M. Gibson ⁷⁷, M. Gilchriese ¹⁵,
T.P.S. Gillam ²⁸, D. Gillberg ³⁰, G. Gilles ³⁴, D.M. Gingrich ^{3,d},
N. Giokaris ⁹, M.P. Giordani ^{164a,164c}, F.M. Giorgi ^{20a}, F.M. Giorgi ¹⁶,
P.F. Giraud ¹³⁶, P. Giromini ⁴⁷, D. Giugni ^{91a}, C. Giuliani ⁴⁸, M. Giulini ^{58b},
B.K. Gjelsten ¹¹⁹, S. Gkaitatzis ¹⁵⁴, I. Gkalias ¹⁵⁴, E.L. Gkougkousis ¹¹⁷,
L.K. Gladilin ⁹⁹, C. Glasman ⁸², J. Glatzer ³⁰, P.C.F. Glaysher ⁴⁶,
A. Glazov ⁴², M. Goblirsch-Kolb ¹⁰¹, J.R. Goddard ⁷⁶, J. Godlewski ³⁹,
S. Goldfarb ⁸⁹, T. Golling ⁴⁹, D. Golubkov ¹³⁰, A. Gomes ^{126a,126b,126d},
R. Gonçalo ^{126a}, J. Goncalves Pinto Firmino Da Costa ¹³⁶, L. Gonella ²¹,
S. González de la Hoz ¹⁶⁷, G. Gonzalez Parra ¹², S. Gonzalez-Sevilla ⁴⁹,
L. Goossens ³⁰, P.A. Gorbounov ⁹⁷, H.A. Gordon ²⁵, I. Gorelov ¹⁰⁵,
B. Gorini ³⁰, E. Gorini ^{73a,73b}, A. Gorišek ⁷⁵, E. Gornicki ³⁹,
A.T. Goshaw ⁴⁵, C. Gössling ⁴³, M.I. Gostkin ⁶⁵, D. Goujdami ^{135c},
A.G. Goussiou ¹³⁸, N. Govender ^{145b}, E. Gozani ¹⁵², H.M.X. Grabas ¹³⁷,
L. Gruber ⁵⁴, I. Grabowska-Bold ^{38a}, P. Grafström ^{20a,20b}, K-J. Grahn ⁴²,
J. Gramling ⁴⁹, E. Gramstad ¹¹⁹, S. Grancagnolo ¹⁶, V. Grassi ¹⁴⁸,
V. Gratchev ¹²³, H.M. Gray ³⁰, E. Graziani ^{134a}, Z.D. Greenwood ^{79,n},
K. Gregersen ⁷⁸, I.M. Gregor ⁴², P. Grenier ¹⁴³, J. Griffiths ⁸,
A.A. Grillo ¹³⁷, K. Grimm ⁷², S. Grinstein ^{12,o}, Ph. Gris ³⁴, J.-F. Grivaz ¹¹⁷,
J.P. Grohs ⁴⁴, A. Grohsjean ⁴², E. Gross ¹⁷², J. Grosse-Knetter ⁵⁴,
G.C. Grossi ⁷⁹, Z.J. Grout ¹⁴⁹, L. Guan ^{33b}, J. Guenther ¹²⁸, F. Guescini ⁴⁹,
D. Guest ¹⁷⁶, O. Gueta ¹⁵³, E. Guido ^{50a,50b}, T. Guillemin ¹¹⁷, S. Guindon ²,
U. Gul ⁵³, C. Gumpert ⁴⁴, J. Guo ^{33e}, S. Gupta ¹²⁰, G. Gustavino ^{132a,132b},
P. Gutierrez ¹¹³, N.G. Gutierrez Ortiz ⁵³, C. Gutschow ⁴⁴, C. Guyot ¹³⁶,
C. Gwenlan ¹²⁰, C.B. Gwilliam ⁷⁴, A. Haas ¹¹⁰, C. Haber ¹⁵,
H.K. Hadavand ⁸, N. Haddad ^{135e}, P. Haefner ²¹, S. Hageböck ²¹,
Z. Hajduk ³⁹, H. Hakobyan ¹⁷⁷, M. Haleem ⁴², J. Haley ¹¹⁴, D. Hall ¹²⁰,
G. Halladjian ⁹⁰, G.D. Hallewell ⁸⁵, K. Hamacher ¹⁷⁵, P. Hamal ¹¹⁵,
K. Hamano ¹⁶⁹, M. Hamer ⁵⁴, A. Hamilton ^{145a}, G.N. Hamity ^{145c},
P.G. Hamnett ⁴², L. Han ^{33b}, K. Hanagaki ¹¹⁸, K. Hanawa ¹⁵⁵, M. Hance ¹⁵,
P. Hanke ^{58a}, R. Hanna ¹³⁶, J.B. Hansen ³⁶, J.D. Hansen ³⁶, M.C. Hansen ²¹,
P.H. Hansen ³⁶, K. Hara ¹⁶⁰, A.S. Hard ¹⁷³, T. Harenberg ¹⁷⁵, F. Hariri ¹¹⁷,
S. Harkusha ⁹², R.D. Harrington ⁴⁶, P.F. Harrison ¹⁷⁰, F. Hartjes ¹⁰⁷,
M. Hasegawa ⁶⁷, S. Hasegawa ¹⁰³, Y. Hasegawa ¹⁴⁰, A. Hasib ¹¹³,
S. Hassani ¹³⁶, S. Haug ¹⁷, R. Hauser ⁹⁰, L. Hauswald ⁴⁴, M. Havranek ¹²⁷,
C.M. Hawkes ¹⁸, R.J. Hawkings ³⁰, A.D. Hawkins ⁸¹, T. Hayashi ¹⁶⁰,
D. Hayden ⁹⁰, C.P. Hays ¹²⁰, J.M. Hays ⁷⁶, H.S. Hayward ⁷⁴,

- S.J. Haywood ¹³¹, S.J. Head ¹⁸, T. Heck ⁸³, V. Hedberg ⁸¹, L. Heelan ⁸,
 S. Heim ¹²², T. Heim ¹⁷⁵, B. Heinemann ¹⁵, L. Heinrich ¹¹⁰, J. Hejbal ¹²⁷,
 L. Helary ²², S. Hellman ^{146a,146b}, D. Hellmich ²¹, C. Helsens ³⁰,
 J. Henderson ¹²⁰, R.C.W. Henderson ⁷², Y. Heng ¹⁷³, C. Hengler ⁴²,
 A. Henrichs ¹⁷⁶, A.M. Henriques Correia ³⁰, S. Henrot-Versille ¹¹⁷,
 G.H. Herbert ¹⁶, Y. Hernández Jiménez ¹⁶⁷, R. Herrberg-Schubert ¹⁶,
 G. Herten ⁴⁸, R. Hertenberger ¹⁰⁰, L. Hervas ³⁰, G.G. Hesketh ⁷⁸,
 N.P. Hessey ¹⁰⁷, J.W. Hetherly ⁴⁰, R. Hickling ⁷⁶, E. Higón-Rodriguez ¹⁶⁷,
 E. Hill ¹⁶⁹, J.C. Hill ²⁸, K.H. Hiller ⁴², S.J. Hillier ¹⁸, I. Hinchliffe ¹⁵,
 E. Hines ¹²², R.R. Hinman ¹⁵, M. Hirose ¹⁵⁷, D. Hirschbuehl ¹⁷⁵,
 J. Hobbs ¹⁴⁸, N. Hod ¹⁰⁷, M.C. Hodgkinson ¹³⁹, P. Hodgson ¹³⁹,
 A. Hoecker ³⁰, M.R. Hoeferkamp ¹⁰⁵, F. Hoenig ¹⁰⁰, M. Hohlfeld ⁸³,
 D. Hohn ²¹, T.R. Holmes ¹⁵, M. Homann ⁴³, T.M. Hong ¹²⁵,
 L. Hooft van Huysduynen ¹¹⁰, W.H. Hopkins ¹¹⁶, Y. Horii ¹⁰³,
 A.J. Horton ¹⁴², J.-Y. Hostachy ⁵⁵, S. Hou ¹⁵¹, A. Hoummada ^{135a},
 J. Howard ¹²⁰, J. Howarth ⁴², M. Hrabovsky ¹¹⁵, I. Hristova ¹⁶,
 J. Hrivnac ¹¹⁷, T. Hryna'ova ⁵, A. Hrynevich ⁹³, C. Hsu ^{145c}, P.J. Hsu ^{151,p},
 S.-C. Hsu ¹³⁸, D. Hu ³⁵, Q. Hu ^{33b}, X. Hu ⁸⁹, Y. Huang ⁴², Z. Hubacek ³⁰,
 F. Hubaut ⁸⁵, F. Huegging ²¹, T.B. Huffman ¹²⁰, E.W. Hughes ³⁵,
 G. Hughes ⁷², M. Huhtinen ³⁰, T.A. Hülsing ⁸³, N. Huseynov ^{65,b},
 J. Huston ⁹⁰, J. Huth ⁵⁷, G. Iacobucci ⁴⁹, G. Iakovidis ²⁵, I. Ibragimov ¹⁴¹,
 L. Iconomidou-Fayard ¹¹⁷, E. Ideal ¹⁷⁶, Z. Idrissi ^{135e}, P. Iengo ³⁰,
 O. Igonkina ¹⁰⁷, T. Iizawa ¹⁷¹, Y. Ikegami ⁶⁶, M. Ikeno ⁶⁶, Y. Ilchenko ^{31,q},
 D. Iliadis ¹⁵⁴, N. Ilic ¹⁴³, Y. Inamaru ⁶⁷, T. Ince ¹⁰¹, P. Ioannou ⁹,
 M. Iodice ^{134a}, K. Iordanidou ³⁵, V. Ippolito ⁵⁷, A. Irles Quiles ¹⁶⁷,
 C. Isaksson ¹⁶⁶, M. Ishino ⁶⁸, M. Ishitsuka ¹⁵⁷, R. Ishmukhametov ¹¹¹,
 C. Issever ¹²⁰, S. Istin ^{19a}, J.M. Iturbe Ponce ⁸⁴, R. Iuppa ^{133a,133b},
 J. Ivarsson ⁸¹, W. Iwanski ³⁹, H. Iwasaki ⁶⁶, J.M. Izen ⁴¹, V. Izzo ^{104a},
 S. Jabbar ³, B. Jackson ¹²², M. Jackson ⁷⁴, P. Jackson ¹, M.R. Jaekel ³⁰,
 V. Jain ², K. Jakobs ⁴⁸, S. Jakobsen ³⁰, T. Jakoubek ¹²⁷, J. Jakubek ¹²⁸,
 D.O. Jamin ¹⁵¹, D.K. Jana ⁷⁹, E. Jansen ⁷⁸, R. Jansky ⁶², J. Janssen ²¹,
 M. Janus ¹⁷⁰, G. Jarlskog ⁸¹, N. Javadov ^{65,b}, T. Javůrek ⁴⁸, L. Jeanty ¹⁵,
 J. Jejelava ^{51a,r}, G.-Y. Jeng ¹⁵⁰, D. Jennens ⁸⁸, P. Jenni ^{48,s}, J. Jentzsch ⁴³,
 C. Jeske ¹⁷⁰, S. Jézéquel ⁵, H. Ji ¹⁷³, J. Jia ¹⁴⁸, Y. Jiang ^{33b}, S. Jiggins ⁷⁸,
 J. Jimenez Pena ¹⁶⁷, S. Jin ^{33a}, A. Jinaru ^{26a}, O. Jinnouchi ¹⁵⁷,
 M.D. Joergensen ³⁶, P. Johansson ¹³⁹, K.A. Johns ⁷, K. Jon-And ^{146a,146b},
 G. Jones ¹⁷⁰, R.W.L. Jones ⁷², T.J. Jones ⁷⁴, J. Jongmanns ^{58a},

- P.M. Jorge ^{126a,126b}, K.D. Joshi ⁸⁴, J. Jovicevic ^{159a}, X. Ju ¹⁷³, C.A. Jung ⁴³,
 P. Jussel ⁶², A. Juste Rozas ^{12,o}, M. Kaci ¹⁶⁷, A. Kaczmarska ³⁹,
 M. Kado ¹¹⁷, H. Kagan ¹¹¹, M. Kagan ¹⁴³, S.J. Kahn ⁸⁵, E. Kajomovitz ⁴⁵,
 C.W. Kalderon ¹²⁰, S. Kama ⁴⁰, A. Kamenshchikov ¹³⁰, N. Kanaya ¹⁵⁵,
 M. Kaneda ³⁰, S. Kaneti ²⁸, V.A. Kantserov ⁹⁸, J. Kanzaki ⁶⁶, B. Kaplan ¹¹⁰,
 A. Kapliy ³¹, D. Kar ⁵³, K. Karakostas ¹⁰, A. Karamaoun ³,
 N. Karastathis ^{10,107}, M.J. Kareem ⁵⁴, M. Karnevskiy ⁸³, S.N. Karpov ⁶⁵,
 Z.M. Karpova ⁶⁵, K. Karthik ¹¹⁰, V. Kartvelishvili ⁷², A.N. Karyukhin ¹³⁰,
 L. Kashif ¹⁷³, R.D. Kass ¹¹¹, A. Kastanas ¹⁴, Y. Kataoka ¹⁵⁵, A. Katre ⁴⁹,
 J. Katzy ⁴², K. Kawagoe ⁷⁰, T. Kawamoto ¹⁵⁵, G. Kawamura ⁵⁴,
 S. Kazama ¹⁵⁵, V.F. Kazanin ^{109,c}, M.Y. Kazarinov ⁶⁵, R. Keeler ¹⁶⁹,
 R. Kehoe ⁴⁰, J.S. Keller ⁴², J.J. Kempster ⁷⁷, H. Keoshkerian ⁸⁴,
 O. Kepka ¹²⁷, B.P. Kerševan ⁷⁵, S. Kersten ¹⁷⁵, R.A. Keyes ⁸⁷,
 F. Khalil-zada ¹¹, H. Khandanyan ^{146a,146b}, A. Khanov ¹¹⁴,
 A.G. Kharlamov ^{109,c}, T.J. Khoo ²⁸, V. Khovanskiy ⁹⁷, E. Khramov ⁶⁵,
 J. Khubua ^{51b,t}, H.Y. Kim ⁸, H. Kim ^{146a,146b}, S.H. Kim ¹⁶⁰, Y.K. Kim ³¹,
 N. Kimura ¹⁵⁴, O.M. Kind ¹⁶, B.T. King ⁷⁴, M. King ¹⁶⁷, S.B. King ¹⁶⁸,
 J. Kirk ¹³¹, A.E. Kiryunin ¹⁰¹, T. Kishimoto ⁶⁷, D. Kisielewska ^{38a},
 F. Kiss ⁴⁸, K. Kiuchi ¹⁶⁰, O. Kivernyk ¹³⁶, E. Kladiva ^{144b}, M.H. Klein ³⁵,
 M. Klein ⁷⁴, U. Klein ⁷⁴, K. Kleinknecht ⁸³, P. Klimek ^{146a,146b},
 A. Klimentov ²⁵, R. Klingenberg ⁴³, J.A. Klinger ¹³⁹, T. Klioutchnikova ³⁰,
 E.-E. Kluge ^{58a}, P. Kluit ¹⁰⁷, S. Kluth ¹⁰¹, E. Kneringer ⁶²,
 E.B.F.G. Knoops ⁸⁵, A. Knue ⁵³, A. Kobayashi ¹⁵⁵, D. Kobayashi ¹⁵⁷,
 T. Kobayashi ¹⁵⁵, M. Kobel ⁴⁴, M. Kocian ¹⁴³, P. Kodys ¹²⁹, T. Koffas ²⁹,
 E. Koffeman ¹⁰⁷, L.A. Kogan ¹²⁰, S. Kohlmann ¹⁷⁵, Z. Kohout ¹²⁸,
 T. Kohriki ⁶⁶, T. Koi ¹⁴³, H. Kolanoski ¹⁶, I. Koletsou ⁵, A.A. Komar ^{96,*},
 Y. Komori ¹⁵⁵, T. Kondo ⁶⁶, N. Kondrashova ⁴², K. Köneke ⁴⁸,
 A.C. König ¹⁰⁶, S. König ⁸³, T. Kono ^{66,u}, R. Konoplich ^{110,v},
 N. Konstantinidis ⁷⁸, R. Kopeliansky ¹⁵², S. Koperny ^{38a}, L. Köpke ⁸³,
 A.K. Kopp ⁴⁸, K. Korcyl ³⁹, K. Kordas ¹⁵⁴, A. Korn ⁷⁸, A.A. Korol ^{109,c},
 I. Korolkov ¹², E.V. Korolkova ¹³⁹, O. Kortner ¹⁰¹, S. Kortner ¹⁰¹,
 T. Kosek ¹²⁹, V.V. Kostyukhin ²¹, V.M. Kotov ⁶⁵, A. Kotwal ⁴⁵,
 A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi ¹⁵⁴, C. Kourkoumelis ⁹, V. Kouskoura ²⁵,
 A. Koutsman ^{159a}, R. Kowalewski ¹⁶⁹, T.Z. Kowalski ^{38a}, W. Kozanecki ¹³⁶,
 A.S. Kozhin ¹³⁰, V.A. Kramarenko ⁹⁹, G. Kramberger ⁷⁵,
 D. Krasnopevtsev ⁹⁸, M.W. Krasny ⁸⁰, A. Krasznahorkay ³⁰, J.K. Kraus ²¹,
 A. Kravchenko ²⁵, S. Kreiss ¹¹⁰, M. Kretz ^{58c}, J. Kretzschnmar ⁷⁴,

- K. Kreutzfeldt 52, P. Krieger 158, K. Krizka 31, K. Kroeninger 43,
 H. Kroha 101, J. Kroll 122, J. Kroseberg 21, J. Krstic 13, U. Kruchonak 65,
 H. Krüger 21, N. Krumnack 64, Z.V. Krumshteyn 65, A. Kruse 173,
 M.C. Kruse 45, M. Kruskal 22, T. Kubota 88, H. Kucuk 78, S. Kuday 4b,
 S. Kuehn 48, A. Kugel 58c, F. Kuger 174, A. Kuhl 137, T. Kuhl 42,
 V. Kukhtin 65, Y. Kulchitsky 92, S. Kuleshov 32b, M. Kuna 132a,132b,
 T. Kunigo 68, A. Kupco 127, H. Kurashige 67, Y.A. Kurochkin 92,
 R. Kurumida 67, V. Kus 127, E.S. Kuwertz 169, M. Kuze 157, J. Kvita 115,
 T. Kwan 169, D. Kyriazopoulos 139, A. La Rosa 49, J.L. La Rosa Navarro 24d,
 L. La Rotonda 37a,37b, C. Lacasta 167, F. Lacava 132a,132b, J. Lacey 29,
 H. Lacker 16, D. Lacour 80, V.R. Lacuesta 167, E. Ladygin 65, R. Lafaye 5,
 B. Laforge 80, T. Lagouri 176, S. Lai 48, L. Lambourne 78, S. Lammers 61,
 C.L. Lampen 7, W. Lampl 7, E. Lançon 136, U. Landgraf 48,
 M.P.J. Landon 76, V.S. Lang 58a, J.C. Lange 12, A.J. Lankford 163,
 F. Lanni 25, K. Lantzsch 30, S. Laplace 80, C. Lapoire 30, J.F. Laporte 136,
 T. Lari 91a, F. Lasagni Manghi 20a,20b, M. Lassnig 30, P. Laurelli 47,
 W. Lavrijsen 15, A.T. Law 137, P. Laycock 74, T. Lazovich 57, O. Le Dortz 80,
 E. Le Guirriec 85, E. Le Menedeu 12, M. LeBlanc 169, T. LeCompte 6,
 F. Ledroit-Guillon 55, C.A. Lee 145b, S.C. Lee 151, L. Lee 1, G. Lefebvre 80,
 M. Lefebvre 169, F. Legger 100, C. Leggett 15, A. Lehan 74,
 G. Lehmann Miotto 30, X. Lei 7, W.A. Leight 29, A. Leisos 154,w,
 A.G. Leister 176, M.A.L. Leite 24d, R. Leitner 129, D. Lellouch 172,
 B. Lemmer 54, K.J.C. Leney 78, T. Lenz 21, B. Lenzi 30, R. Leone 7,
 S. Leone 124a,124b, C. Leonidopoulos 46, S. Leontsinis 10, C. Leroy 95,
 C.G. Lester 28, M. Levchenko 123, J. Levêque 5, D. Levin 89,
 L.J. Levinson 172, M. Levy 18, A. Lewis 120, A.M. Leyko 21, M. Leyton 41,
 B. Li 33b,x, H. Li 148, H.L. Li 31, L. Li 45, L. Li 33e, S. Li 45, Y. Li 33c,y,
 Z. Liang 137, H. Liao 34, B. Liberti 133a, A. Liblong 158, P. Lichard 30,
 K. Lie 165, J. Liebal 21, W. Liebig 14, C. Limbach 21, A. Limosani 150,
 S.C. Lin 151,z, T.H. Lin 83, F. Linde 107, B.E. Lindquist 148,
 J.T. Linnemann 90, E. Lipeles 122, A. Lipniacka 14, M. Lisovskyi 58b,
 T.M. Liss 165, D. Lissauer 25, A. Lister 168, A.M. Litke 137, B. Liu 151,aa,
 D. Liu 151, H. Liu 89, J. Liu 85, J.B. Liu 33b, K. Liu 85, L. Liu 165, M. Liu 45,
 M. Liu 33b, Y. Liu 33b, M. Livan 121a,121b, A. Lleres 55, J. Llorente Merino 82,
 S.L. Lloyd 76, F. Lo Sterzo 151, E. Lobodzinska 42, P. Loch 7,
 W.S. Lockman 137, F.K. Loebinger 84, A.E. Loevschall-Jensen 36,
 A. Loginov 176, T. Lohse 16, K. Lohwasser 42, M. Lokajicek 127,

- B.A. Long ²², J.D. Long ⁸⁹, R.E. Long ⁷², K.A.Looper ¹¹¹, L.Lopes ^{126a},
D.Lopez Mateos ⁵⁷, B.Lopez Paredes ¹³⁹, I.Lopez Paz ¹², J.Lorenz ¹⁰⁰,
N.Lorenzo Martinez ⁶¹, M.Losada ¹⁶², P.Loscutoff ¹⁵, P.J.Lösel ¹⁰⁰,
X.Lou ^{33a}, A.Lounis ¹¹⁷, J.Love ⁶, P.A.Love ⁷², N.Lu ⁸⁹, H.J.Lubatti ¹³⁸,
C.Luci ^{132a,132b}, A.Lucotte ⁵⁵, F.Luehring ⁶¹, W.Lukas ⁶², L.Luminari ^{132a},
O.Lundberg ^{146a,146b}, B.Lund-Jensen ¹⁴⁷, D.Lynn ²⁵, R.Lysak ¹²⁷,
E.Lytken ⁸¹, H.Ma ²⁵, L.L.Ma ^{33d}, G.Maccarrone ⁴⁷, A.Macchiolo ¹⁰¹,
C.M.Macdonald ¹³⁹, J.Machado Miguens ^{122,126b}, D.Macina ³⁰,
D.Madaffari ⁸⁵, R.Madar ³⁴, H.J.Maddock ⁷², W.F.Mader ⁴⁴,
A.Madsen ¹⁶⁶, S.Maeland ¹⁴, T.Maeno ²⁵, A.Maevskiy ⁹⁹,
E.Magradze ⁵⁴, K.Mahboubi ⁴⁸, J.Mahlstedt ¹⁰⁷, C.Maiani ¹³⁶,
C.Maidantchik ^{24a}, A.A.Maier ¹⁰¹, T.Maier ¹⁰⁰, A.Maio ^{126a,126b,126d},
S.Majewski ¹¹⁶, Y.Makida ⁶⁶, N.Makovec ¹¹⁷, B.Malaescu ⁸⁰,
Pa.Malecki ³⁹, V.P.Maleev ¹²³, F.Malek ⁵⁵, U.Mallik ⁶³, D.Malon ⁶,
C.Malone ¹⁴³, S.Maltezos ¹⁰, V.M.Malyshev ¹⁰⁹, S.Malyukov ³⁰,
J.Mamuzic ⁴², G.Mancini ⁴⁷, B.Mandelli ³⁰, L.Mandelli ^{91a}, I.Mandić ⁷⁵,
R.Mandrysch ⁶³, J.Maneira ^{126a,126b}, A.Manfredini ¹⁰¹,
L.Manhaes de Andrade Filho ^{24b}, J.Manjarres Ramos ^{159b}, A.Mann ¹⁰⁰,
P.M.Manning ¹³⁷, A.Manousakis-Katsikakis ⁹, B.Mansoulie ¹³⁶,
R.Mantifel ⁸⁷, M.Mantoani ⁵⁴, L.Mapelli ³⁰, L.March ^{145c},
G.Marchiori ⁸⁰, M.Marcisovsky ¹²⁷, C.P.Marino ¹⁶⁹, M.Marjanovic ¹³,
D.E.Marley ⁸⁹, F.Marroquim ^{24a}, S.P.Marsden ⁸⁴, Z.Marshall ¹⁵,
L.F.Marti ¹⁷, S.Marti-Garcia ¹⁶⁷, B.Martin ⁹⁰, T.A.Martin ¹⁷⁰,
V.J.Martin ⁴⁶, B.Martin dit Latour ¹⁴, M.Martinez ^{12,o},
S.Martin-Haugh ¹³¹, V.S.Martoiu ^{26a}, A.C.Martyniuk ⁷⁸, M.Marx ¹³⁸,
F.Marzano ^{132a}, A.Marzin ³⁰, L.Masetti ⁸³, T.Mashimo ¹⁵⁵,
R.Mashinistov ⁹⁶, J.Masik ⁸⁴, A.L.Maslennikov ^{109,c}, I.Massa ^{20a,20b},
L.Massa ^{20a,20b}, N.Massol ⁵, P.Mastrandrea ¹⁴⁸, A.Mastroberardino ^{37a,37b},
T.Masubuchi ¹⁵⁵, P.Mättig ¹⁷⁵, J.Mattmann ⁸³, J.Maurer ^{26a},
S.J.Maxfield ⁷⁴, D.A.Maximov ^{109,c}, R.Mazini ¹⁵¹, S.M.Mazza ^{91a,91b},
L.Mazzaferro ^{133a,133b}, G.Mc Goldrick ¹⁵⁸, S.P.Mc Kee ⁸⁹, A.McCarn ⁸⁹,
R.L.McCarthy ¹⁴⁸, T.G.McCarthy ²⁹, N.A.McCubbin ¹³¹,
K.W.McFarlane ^{56,*}, J.A.Mcfayden ⁷⁸, G.Mchedlidze ⁵⁴,
S.J.McMahon ¹³¹, R.A.McPherson ^{169,k}, M.Medinnis ⁴², S.Meehan ^{145a},
S.Mehlhase ¹⁰⁰, A.Mehta ⁷⁴, K.Meier ^{58a}, C.Meineck ¹⁰⁰, B.Meirose ⁴¹,
B.R.Mellado Garcia ^{145c}, F.Meloni ¹⁷, A.Mengarelli ^{20a,20b}, S.Menke ¹⁰¹,
E.Meoni ¹⁶¹, K.M.Mercurio ⁵⁷, S.Mergelmeyer ²¹, P.Mermod ⁴⁹,

- L. Merola ^{104a,104b}, C. Meroni ^{91a}, F.S. Merritt ³¹, A. Messina ^{132a,132b},
 J. Metcalfe ²⁵, A.S. Mete ¹⁶³, C. Meyer ⁸³, C. Meyer ¹²², J.-P. Meyer ¹³⁶,
 J. Meyer ¹⁰⁷, R.P. Middleton ¹³¹, S. Miglioranzi ^{164a,164c}, L. Mijović ²¹,
 G. Mikenberg ¹⁷², M. Mikestikova ¹²⁷, M. Mikuž ⁷⁵, M. Milesi ⁸⁸,
 A. Milic ³⁰, D.W. Miller ³¹, C. Mills ⁴⁶, A. Milov ¹⁷², D.A. Milstead ^{146a,146b},
 A.A. Minaenko ¹³⁰, Y. Minami ¹⁵⁵, I.A. Minashvili ⁶⁵, A.I. Mincer ¹¹⁰,
 B. Mindur ^{38a}, M. Mineev ⁶⁵, Y. Ming ¹⁷³, L.M. Mir ¹², T. Mitani ¹⁷¹,
 J. Mitrevski ¹⁰⁰, V.A. Mitsou ¹⁶⁷, A. Miucci ⁴⁹, P.S. Miyagawa ¹³⁹,
 J.U. Mjörnmark ⁸¹, T. Moa ^{146a,146b}, K. Mochizuki ⁸⁵, S. Mohapatra ³⁵,
 W. Mohr ⁴⁸, S. Molander ^{146a,146b}, R. Moles-Valls ¹⁶⁷, K. Mönig ⁴²,
 C. Monini ⁵⁵, J. Monk ³⁶, E. Monnier ⁸⁵, J. Montejo Berlingen ¹²,
 F. Monticelli ⁷¹, S. Monzani ^{132a,132b}, R.W. Moore ³, N. Morange ¹¹⁷,
 D. Moreno ¹⁶², M. Moreno Llácer ⁵⁴, P. Morettini ^{50a}, M. Morgenstern ⁴⁴,
 M. Morii ⁵⁷, M. Morinaga ¹⁵⁵, V. Morisbak ¹¹⁹, S. Moritz ⁸³,
 A.K. Morley ¹⁴⁷, G. Mornacchi ³⁰, J.D. Morris ⁷⁶, S.S. Mortensen ³⁶,
 A. Morton ⁵³, L. Morvaj ¹⁰³, M. Mosidze ^{51b}, J. Moss ¹¹¹, K. Motohashi ¹⁵⁷,
 R. Mount ¹⁴³, E. Mountricha ²⁵, S.V. Mouraviev ^{96,*}, E.J.W. Moyse ⁸⁶,
 S. Muanza ⁸⁵, R.D. Mudd ¹⁸, F. Mueller ¹⁰¹, J. Mueller ¹²⁵, K. Mueller ²¹,
 R.S.P. Mueller ¹⁰⁰, T. Mueller ²⁸, D. Muenstermann ⁴⁹, P. Mullen ⁵³,
 G.A. Mullier ¹⁷, Y. Munwes ¹⁵³, J.A. Murillo Quijada ¹⁸,
 W.J. Murray ^{170,131}, H. Musheghyan ⁵⁴, E. Musto ¹⁵², A.G. Myagkov ^{130,ab},
 M. Myska ¹²⁸, O. Nackenhorst ⁵⁴, J. Nadal ⁵⁴, K. Nagai ¹²⁰, R. Nagai ¹⁵⁷,
 Y. Nagai ⁸⁵, K. Nagano ⁶⁶, A. Nagarkar ¹¹¹, Y. Nagasaka ⁵⁹, K. Nagata ¹⁶⁰,
 M. Nagel ¹⁰¹, E. Nagy ⁸⁵, A.M. Nairz ³⁰, Y. Nakahama ³⁰, K. Nakamura ⁶⁶,
 T. Nakamura ¹⁵⁵, I. Nakano ¹¹², H. Namasivayam ⁴¹,
 R.F. Naranjo Garcia ⁴², R. Narayan ³¹, T. Naumann ⁴², G. Navarro ¹⁶²,
 R. Nayyar ⁷, H.A. Neal ⁸⁹, P.Yu. Nechaeva ⁹⁶, T.J. Neep ⁸⁴, P.D. Nef ¹⁴³,
 A. Negri ^{121a,121b}, M. Negrini ^{20a}, S. Nektarijevic ¹⁰⁶, C. Nellist ¹¹⁷,
 A. Nelson ¹⁶³, S. Nemecek ¹²⁷, P. Nemethy ¹¹⁰, A.A. Nepomuceno ^{24a},
 M. Nessi ^{30,ac}, M.S. Neubauer ¹⁶⁵, M. Neumann ¹⁷⁵, R.M. Neves ¹¹⁰,
 P. Nevski ²⁵, P.R. Newman ¹⁸, D.H. Nguyen ⁶, R.B. Nickerson ¹²⁰,
 R. Nicolaïdou ¹³⁶, B. Nicquevert ³⁰, J. Nielsen ¹³⁷, N. Nikiforou ³⁵,
 A. Nikiforov ¹⁶, V. Nikolaenko ^{130,ab}, I. Nikolic-Audit ⁸⁰,
 K. Nikolopoulos ¹⁸, J.K. Nilsen ¹¹⁹, P. Nilsson ²⁵, Y. Ninomiya ¹⁵⁵,
 A. Nisati ^{132a}, R. Nisius ¹⁰¹, T. Nobe ¹⁵⁷, L. Nodulman ⁶, M. Nomachi ¹¹⁸,
 I. Nomidis ²⁹, T. Nooney ⁷⁶, S. Norberg ¹¹³, M. Nordberg ³⁰,
 O. Novgorodova ⁴⁴, S. Nowak ¹⁰¹, M. Nozaki ⁶⁶, L. Nozka ¹¹⁵, K. Ntekas ¹⁰,

- G. Nunes Hanninger ⁸⁸, T. Nunnemann ¹⁰⁰, E. Nurse ⁷⁸, F. Nuti ⁸⁸,
B.J. O'Brien ⁴⁶, F. O'grady ⁷, D.C. O'Neil ¹⁴², V. O'Shea ⁵³,
F.G. Oakham ^{29,d}, H. Oberlack ¹⁰¹, T. Obermann ²¹, J. Ocariz ⁸⁰, A. Ochi ⁶⁷,
I. Ochoa ⁷⁸, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux ^{32a}, S. Oda ⁷⁰, S. Odaka ⁶⁶, H. Ogren ⁶¹,
A. Oh ⁸⁴, S.H. Oh ⁴⁵, C.C. Ohm ¹⁵, H. Ohman ¹⁶⁶, H. Oide ³⁰,
W. Okamura ¹¹⁸, H. Okawa ¹⁶⁰, Y. Okumura ³¹, T. Okuyama ¹⁵⁵,
A. Olariu ^{26a}, S.A. Olivares Pino ⁴⁶, D. Oliveira Damazio ²⁵,
E. Oliver Garcia ¹⁶⁷, A. Olszewski ³⁹, J. Olszowska ³⁹, A. Onofre ^{126a,126e},
P.U.E. Onyisi ^{31,q}, C.J. Oram ^{159a}, M.J. Oreglia ³¹, Y. Oren ¹⁵³,
D. Orestano ^{134a,134b}, N. Orlando ¹⁵⁴, C. Oropeza Barrera ⁵³, R.S. Orr ¹⁵⁸,
B. Osculati ^{50a,50b}, R. Ospanov ⁸⁴, G. Otero y Garzon ²⁷, H. Otono ⁷⁰,
M. Ouchrif ^{135d}, E.A. Ouellette ¹⁶⁹, F. Ould-Saada ¹¹⁹, A. Ouraou ¹³⁶,
K.P. Oussoren ¹⁰⁷, Q. Ouyang ^{33a}, A. Ovcharova ¹⁵, M. Owen ⁵³,
R.E. Owen ¹⁸, V.E. Ozcan ^{19a}, N. Ozturk ⁸, K. Pachal ¹⁴²,
A. Pacheco Pages ¹², C. Padilla Aranda ¹², M. Pagáčová ⁴⁸,
S. Pagan Griso ¹⁵, E. Paganis ¹³⁹, C. Pahl ¹⁰¹, F. Paige ²⁵, P. Pais ⁸⁶,
K. Pajchel ¹¹⁹, G. Palacino ^{159b}, S. Palestini ³⁰, M. Palka ^{38b}, D. Pallin ³⁴,
A. Palma ^{126a,126b}, Y.B. Pan ¹⁷³, E. St. Panagiotopoulou ¹⁰, C.E. Pandini ⁸⁰,
J.G. Panduro Vazquez ⁷⁷, P. Pani ^{146a,146b}, S. Panitkin ²⁵, D. Pantea ^{26a},
L. Paolozzi ⁴⁹, Th.D. Papadopoulou ¹⁰, K. Papageorgiou ¹⁵⁴,
A. Paramonov ⁶, D. Paredes Hernandez ¹⁵⁴, M.A. Parker ²⁸,
K.A. Parker ¹³⁹, F. Parodi ^{50a,50b}, J.A. Parsons ³⁵, U. Parzefall ⁴⁸,
E. Pasqualucci ^{132a}, S. Passaggio ^{50a}, F. Pastore ^{134a,134b,*}, Fr. Pastore ⁷⁷,
G. Pásztor ²⁹, S. Pataraia ¹⁷⁵, N.D. Patel ¹⁵⁰, J.R. Pater ⁸⁴, T. Pauly ³⁰,
J. Pearce ¹⁶⁹, B. Pearson ¹¹³, L.E. Pedersen ³⁶, M. Pedersen ¹¹⁹,
S. Pedraza Lopez ¹⁶⁷, R. Pedro ^{126a,126b}, S.V. Peleganchuk ^{109,c},
D. Pelikan ¹⁶⁶, H. Peng ^{33b}, B. Penning ³¹, J. Penwell ⁶¹, D.V. Perepelitsa ²⁵,
E. Perez Codina ^{159a}, M.T. Pérez García-Estañ ¹⁶⁷, L. Perini ^{91a,91b},
H. Pernegger ³⁰, S. Perrella ^{104a,104b}, R. Peschke ⁴², V.D. Peshekhonov ⁶⁵,
K. Peters ³⁰, R.F.Y. Peters ⁸⁴, B.A. Petersen ³⁰, T.C. Petersen ³⁶, E. Petit ⁴²,
A. Petridis ^{146a,146b}, C. Petridou ¹⁵⁴, E. Petrolo ^{132a}, F. Petrucci ^{134a,134b},
N.E. Pettersson ¹⁵⁷, R. Pezoa ^{32b}, P.W. Phillips ¹³¹, G. Piacquadio ¹⁴³,
E. Pianori ¹⁷⁰, A. Picazio ⁴⁹, E. Piccaro ⁷⁶, M. Piccinini ^{20a,20b},
M.A. Pickering ¹²⁰, R. Piegaia ²⁷, D.T. Pignotti ¹¹¹, J.E. Pilcher ³¹,
A.D. Pilkington ⁸⁴, J. Pina ^{126a,126b,126d}, M. Pinamonti ^{164a,164c,ad},
J.L. Pinfold ³, A. Pingel ³⁶, B. Pinto ^{126a}, S. Pires ⁸⁰, H. Pirumov ⁴²,
M. Pitt ¹⁷², C. Pizio ^{91a,91b}, L. Plazak ^{144a}, M.-A. Pleier ²⁵, V. Pleskot ¹²⁹,

- E. Plotnikova ⁶⁵, P. Plucinski ^{146a, 146b}, D. Pluth ⁶⁴, R. Poettgen ^{146a, 146b},
 L. Poggioli ¹¹⁷, D. Pohl ²¹, G. Polesello ^{121a}, A. Poley ⁴²,
 A. Policicchio ^{37a, 37b}, R. Polifka ¹⁵⁸, A. Polini ^{20a}, C.S. Pollard ⁵³,
 V. Polychronakos ²⁵, K. Pommès ³⁰, L. Pontecorvo ^{132a}, B.G. Pope ⁹⁰,
 G.A. Popeneciu ^{26b}, D.S. Popovic ¹³, A. Poppleton ³⁰, S. Pospisil ¹²⁸,
 K. Potamianos ¹⁵, I.N. Potrap ⁶⁵, C.J. Potter ¹⁴⁹, C.T. Potter ¹¹⁶,
 G. Poulard ³⁰, J. Poveda ³⁰, V. Pozdnyakov ⁶⁵, P. Pralavorio ⁸⁵,
 A. Pranko ¹⁵, S. Prasad ³⁰, S. Prell ⁶⁴, D. Price ⁸⁴, L.E. Price ⁶,
 M. Primavera ^{73a}, S. Prince ⁸⁷, M. Proissl ⁴⁶, K. Prokofiev ^{60c},
 F. Prokoshin ^{32b}, E. Protopapadaki ¹³⁶, S. Protopopescu ²⁵, J. Proudfoot ⁶,
 M. Przybycien ^{38a}, E. Ptacek ¹¹⁶, D. Puddu ^{134a, 134b}, E. Pueschel ⁸⁶,
 D. Puldon ¹⁴⁸, M. Purohit ^{25, ae}, P. Puzo ¹¹⁷, J. Qian ⁸⁹, G. Qin ⁵³, Y. Qin ⁸⁴,
 A. Quadt ⁵⁴, D.R. Quarrie ¹⁵, W.B. Quayle ^{164a, 164b},
 M. Queitsch-Maitland ⁸⁴, D. Quilty ⁵³, S. Raddum ¹¹⁹, V. Radeka ²⁵,
 V. Radescu ⁴², S.K. Radhakrishnan ¹⁴⁸, P. Radloff ¹¹⁶, P. Rados ⁸⁸,
 F. Ragusa ^{91a, 91b}, G. Rahal ¹⁷⁸, S. Rajagopalan ²⁵, M. Rammensee ³⁰,
 C. Rangel-Smith ¹⁶⁶, F. Rauscher ¹⁰⁰, S. Rave ⁸³, T. Ravenscroft ⁵³,
 M. Raymond ³⁰, A.L. Read ¹¹⁹, N.P. Readioff ⁷⁴, D.M. Rebuzzi ^{121a, 121b},
 A. Redelbach ¹⁷⁴, G. Redlinger ²⁵, R. Reece ¹³⁷, K. Reeves ⁴¹,
 L. Rehnisch ¹⁶, H. Reisin ²⁷, M. Relich ¹⁶³, C. Rembser ³⁰, H. Ren ^{33a},
 A. Renaud ¹¹⁷, M. Rescigno ^{132a}, S. Resconi ^{91a}, O.L. Rezanova ^{109, c},
 P. Reznicek ¹²⁹, R. Rezvani ⁹⁵, R. Richter ¹⁰¹, S. Richter ⁷⁸,
 E. Richter-Was ^{38b}, O. Ricken ²¹, M. Ridel ⁸⁰, P. Rieck ¹⁶, C.J. Riegel ¹⁷⁵,
 J. Rieger ⁵⁴, M. Rijssenbeek ¹⁴⁸, A. Rimoldi ^{121a, 121b}, L. Rinaldi ^{20a},
 B. Ristić ⁴⁹, E. Ritsch ³⁰, I. Riu ¹², F. Rizatdinova ¹¹⁴, E. Rizvi ⁷⁶,
 S.H. Robertson ^{87, k}, A. Robichaud-Veronneau ⁸⁷, D. Robinson ²⁸,
 J.E.M. Robinson ⁸⁴, A. Robson ⁵³, C. Roda ^{124a, 124b}, S. Roe ³⁰, O. Røhne ¹¹⁹,
 S. Rolli ¹⁶¹, A. Romaniouk ⁹⁸, M. Romano ^{20a, 20b}, S.M. Romano Saez ³⁴,
 E. Romero Adam ¹⁶⁷, N. Rompotis ¹³⁸, M. Ronzani ⁴⁸, L. Roos ⁸⁰,
 E. Ros ¹⁶⁷, S. Rosati ^{132a}, K. Rosbach ⁴⁸, P. Rose ¹³⁷, P.L. Rosendahl ¹⁴,
 O. Rosenthal ¹⁴¹, V. Rossetti ^{146a, 146b}, E. Rossi ^{104a, 104b}, L.P. Rossi ^{50a},
 R. Rosten ¹³⁸, M. Rotaru ^{26a}, I. Roth ¹⁷², J. Rothberg ¹³⁸, D. Rousseau ¹¹⁷,
 C.R. Royon ¹³⁶, A. Rozanov ⁸⁵, Y. Rozen ¹⁵², X. Ruan ^{145c}, F. Rubbo ¹⁴³,
 I. Rubinskiy ⁴², V.I. Rud ⁹⁹, C. Rudolph ⁴⁴, M.S. Rudolph ¹⁵⁸, F. Rühr ⁴⁸,
 A. Ruiz-Martinez ³⁰, Z. Rurikova ⁴⁸, N.A. Rusakovich ⁶⁵, A. Ruschke ¹⁰⁰,
 H.L. Russell ¹³⁸, J.P. Rutherford ⁷, N. Ruthmann ⁴⁸, Y.F. Ryabov ¹²³,
 M. Rybar ¹⁶⁵, G. Rybkin ¹¹⁷, N.C. Ryder ¹²⁰, A.F. Saavedra ¹⁵⁰,

- G. Sabato ¹⁰⁷, S. Sacerdoti ²⁷, A. Saddique ³, H.F-W. Sadrozinski ¹³⁷,
R. Sadykov ⁶⁵, F. Safai Tehrani ^{132a}, M. Saimpert ¹³⁶, H. Sakamoto ¹⁵⁵,
Y. Sakurai ¹⁷¹, G. Salamanna ^{134a,134b}, A. Salamon ^{133a}, M. Saleem ¹¹³,
D. Salek ¹⁰⁷, P.H. Sales De Bruin ¹³⁸, D. Salihagic ¹⁰¹, A. Salnikov ¹⁴³,
J. Salt ¹⁶⁷, D. Salvatore ^{37a,37b}, F. Salvatore ¹⁴⁹, A. Salvucci ¹⁰⁶,
A. Salzburger ³⁰, D. Sampsonidis ¹⁵⁴, A. Sanchez ^{104a,104b}, J. Sánchez ¹⁶⁷,
V. Sanchez Martinez ¹⁶⁷, H. Sandaker ¹¹⁹, R.L. Sandbach ⁷⁶,
H.G. Sander ⁸³, M.P. Sanders ¹⁰⁰, M. Sandhoff ¹⁷⁵, C. Sandoval ¹⁶²,
R. Sandstroem ¹⁰¹, D.P.C. Sankey ¹³¹, M. Sannino ^{50a,50b}, A. Sansoni ⁴⁷,
C. Santoni ³⁴, R. Santonico ^{133a,133b}, H. Santos ^{126a}, I. Santoyo Castillo ¹⁴⁹,
K. Sapp ¹²⁵, A. Sapronov ⁶⁵, J.G. Saraiva ^{126a,126d}, B. Sarrazin ²¹,
O. Sasaki ⁶⁶, Y. Sasaki ¹⁵⁵, K. Sato ¹⁶⁰, G. Sauvage ^{5,*}, E. Sauvan ⁵,
G. Savage ⁷⁷, P. Savard ^{158,d}, C. Sawyer ¹³¹, L. Sawyer ^{79,n}, J. Saxon ³¹,
C. Sbarra ^{20a}, A. Sbrizzi ^{20a,20b}, T. Scanlon ⁷⁸, D.A. Scannicchio ¹⁶³,
M. Scarcella ¹⁵⁰, V. Scarfone ^{37a,37b}, J. Schaarschmidt ¹⁷², P. Schacht ¹⁰¹,
D. Schaefer ³⁰, R. Schaefer ⁴², J. Schaeffer ⁸³, S. Schaepe ²¹,
S. Schaetzl ^{58b}, U. Schäfer ⁸³, A.C. Schaffer ¹¹⁷, D. Schaile ¹⁰⁰,
R.D. Schamberger ¹⁴⁸, V. Scharf ^{58a}, V.A. Schegelsky ¹²³, D. Scheirich ¹²⁹,
M. Schernau ¹⁶³, C. Schiavi ^{50a,50b}, C. Schillo ⁴⁸, M. Schioppa ^{37a,37b},
S. Schlenker ³⁰, E. Schmidt ⁴⁸, K. Schmieden ³⁰, C. Schmitt ⁸³,
S. Schmitt ^{58b}, S. Schmitt ⁴², B. Schneider ^{159a}, Y.J. Schnellbach ⁷⁴,
U. Schnoor ⁴⁴, L. Schoeffel ¹³⁶, A. Schoening ^{58b}, B.D. Schoenrock ⁹⁰,
E. Schopf ²¹, A.L.S. Schorlemmer ⁵⁴, M. Schott ⁸³, D. Schouten ^{159a},
J. Schovancova ⁸, S. Schramm ⁴⁹, M. Schreyer ¹⁷⁴, C. Schroeder ⁸³,
N. Schuh ⁸³, M.J. Schultens ²¹, H.-C. Schultz-Coulon ^{58a}, H. Schulz ¹⁶,
M. Schumacher ⁴⁸, B.A. Schumm ¹³⁷, Ph. Schune ¹³⁶,
C. Schwanenberger ⁸⁴, A. Schwartzman ¹⁴³, T.A. Schwarz ⁸⁹,
Ph. Schwegler ¹⁰¹, H. Schweiger ⁸⁴, Ph. Schwemling ¹³⁶,
R. Schwienhorst ⁹⁰, J. Schwindling ¹³⁶, T. Schwindt ²¹, F.G. Sciacca ¹⁷,
E. Scifo ¹¹⁷, G. Sciolla ²³, F. Scuri ^{124a,124b}, F. Scutti ²¹, J. Searcy ⁸⁹,
G. Sedov ⁴², E. Sedykh ¹²³, P. Seema ²¹, S.C. Seidel ¹⁰⁵, A. Seiden ¹³⁷,
F. Seifert ¹²⁸, J.M. Seixas ^{24a}, G. Sekhniaidze ^{104a}, K. Sekhon ⁸⁹,
S.J. Sekula ⁴⁰, D.M. Seliverstov ^{123,*}, N. Semprini-Cesari ^{20a,20b},
C. Serfon ³⁰, L. Serin ¹¹⁷, L. Serkin ^{164a,164b}, T. Serre ⁸⁵, M. Sessa ^{134a,134b},
R. Seuster ^{159a}, H. Severini ¹¹³, T. Sfiligoj ⁷⁵, F. Sforza ³⁰, A. Sfyrla ³⁰,
E. Shabalina ⁵⁴, M. Shamim ¹¹⁶, L.Y. Shan ^{33a}, R. Shang ¹⁶⁵, J.T. Shank ²²,
M. Shapiro ¹⁵, P.B. Shatalov ⁹⁷, K. Shaw ^{164a,164b}, S.M. Shaw ⁸⁴,

- A. Shcherbakova ^{146a,146b}, C.Y. Shehu ¹⁴⁹, P. Sherwood ⁷⁸, L. Shi ^{151,af},
 S. Shimizu ⁶⁷, C.O. Shimmin ¹⁶³, M. Shimojima ¹⁰², M. Shiyakova ⁶⁵,
 A. Shmeleva ⁹⁶, D. Shoaleh Saadi ⁹⁵, M.J. Shochet ³¹, S. Shojaei ^{91a,91b},
 S. Shrestha ¹¹¹, E. Shulga ⁹⁸, M.A. Shupe ⁷, S. Shushkevich ⁴², P. Sicho ¹²⁷,
 O. Sidiropoulou ¹⁷⁴, D. Sidorov ¹¹⁴, A. Sidoti ^{20a,20b}, F. Siegert ⁴⁴,
 Dj. Sijacki ¹³, J. Silva ^{126a,126d}, Y. Silver ¹⁵³, S.B. Silverstein ^{146a},
 V. Simak ¹²⁸, O. Simard ⁵, Lj. Simic ¹³, S. Simion ¹¹⁷, E. Simioni ⁸³,
 B. Simmons ⁷⁸, D. Simon ³⁴, R. Simoniello ^{91a,91b}, P. Sinervo ¹⁵⁸,
 N.B. Sinev ¹¹⁶, G. Siragusa ¹⁷⁴, A.N. Sisakyan ^{65,*}, S.Yu. Sivoklokov ⁹⁹,
 J. Sjölin ^{146a,146b}, T.B. Sjursen ¹⁴, M.B. Skinner ⁷², H.P. Skottowe ⁵⁷,
 P. Skubic ¹¹³, M. Slater ¹⁸, T. Slavicek ¹²⁸, M. Slawinska ¹⁰⁷, K. Sliwa ¹⁶¹,
 V. Smakhtin ¹⁷², B.H. Smart ⁴⁶, L. Smestad ¹⁴, S.Yu. Smirnov ⁹⁸,
 Y. Smirnov ⁹⁸, L.N. Smirnova ^{99,ag}, O. Smirnova ⁸¹, M.N.K. Smith ³⁵,
 R.W. Smith ³⁵, M. Smizanska ⁷², K. Smolek ¹²⁸, A.A. Snesarev ⁹⁶,
 G. Snidero ⁷⁶, S. Snyder ²⁵, R. Sobie ^{169,k}, F. Socher ⁴⁴, A. Soffer ¹⁵³,
 D.A. Soh ^{151,af}, C.A. Solans ³⁰, M. Solar ¹²⁸, J. Solc ¹²⁸, E.Yu. Soldatov ⁹⁸,
 U. Soldevila ¹⁶⁷, A.A. Solodkov ¹³⁰, A. Soloshenko ⁶⁵, O.V. Solovyanov ¹³⁰,
 V. Solovyev ¹²³, P. Sommer ⁴⁸, H.Y. Song ^{33b,x}, N. Soni ¹, A. Sood ¹⁵,
 A. Sopczak ¹²⁸, B. Sopko ¹²⁸, V. Sopko ¹²⁸, V. Sorin ¹², D. Sosa ^{58b},
 M. Sosebee ⁸, C.L. Sotropoulou ^{124a,124b}, R. Soualah ^{164a,164c},
 A.M. Soukharev ^{109,c}, D. South ⁴², B.C. Sowden ⁷⁷, S. Spagnolo ^{73a,73b},
 M. Spalla ^{124a,124b}, F. Spanò ⁷⁷, W.R. Spearman ⁵⁷, F. Spettel ¹⁰¹,
 R. Spighi ^{20a}, G. Spigo ³⁰, L.A. Spiller ⁸⁸, M. Spousta ¹²⁹, T. Spreitzer ¹⁵⁸,
 R.D. St. Denis ^{53,*}, S. Staerz ⁴⁴, J. Stahlman ¹²², R. Stamen ^{58a}, S. Stamm ¹⁶,
 E. Stanecka ³⁹, R.W. Stanek ⁶, C. Stanescu ^{134a}, M. Stanescu-Bellu ⁴²,
 M.M. Stanitzki ⁴², S. Stapnes ¹¹⁹, E.A. Starchenko ¹³⁰, J. Stark ⁵⁵,
 P. Staroba ¹²⁷, P. Starovoitov ⁴², R. Staszewski ³⁹, P. Stavina ^{144a,*},
 P. Steinberg ²⁵, B. Stelzer ¹⁴², H.J. Stelzer ³⁰, O. Stelzer-Chilton ^{159a},
 H. Stenzel ⁵², S. Stern ¹⁰¹, G.A. Stewart ⁵³, J.A. Stillings ²¹,
 M.C. Stockton ⁸⁷, M. Stoebe ⁸⁷, G. Stoica ^{26a}, P. Stolte ⁵⁴, S. Stonjek ¹⁰¹,
 A.R. Stradling ⁸, A. Straessner ⁴⁴, M.E. Stramaglia ¹⁷, J. Strandberg ¹⁴⁷,
 S. Strandberg ^{146a,146b}, A. Strandlie ¹¹⁹, E. Strauss ¹⁴³, M. Strauss ¹¹³,
 P. Strizenec ^{144b}, R. Ströhmer ¹⁷⁴, D.M. Strom ¹¹⁶, R. Stroynowski ⁴⁰,
 A. Strubig ¹⁰⁶, S.A. Stucci ¹⁷, B. Stugu ¹⁴, N.A. Styles ⁴², D. Su ¹⁴³,
 J. Su ¹²⁵, R. Subramaniam ⁷⁹, A. Succurro ¹², Y. Sugaya ¹¹⁸, C. Suhr ¹⁰⁸,
 M. Suk ¹²⁸, V.V. Sulin ⁹⁶, S. Sultansoy ^{4c}, T. Sumida ⁶⁸, S. Sun ⁵⁷,
 X. Sun ^{33a}, J.E. Sundermann ⁴⁸, K. Suruliz ¹⁴⁹, G. Susinno ^{37a,37b},

- M.R. Sutton ¹⁴⁹, S. Suzuki ⁶⁶, Y. Suzuki ⁶⁶, M. Svatos ¹²⁷, S. Swedish ¹⁶⁸,
M. Swiatlowski ¹⁴³, I. Sykora ^{144a}, T. Sykora ¹²⁹, D. Ta ⁹⁰,
C. Taccini ^{134a,134b}, K. Tackmann ⁴², J. Taenzer ¹⁵⁸, A. Taffard ¹⁶³,
R. Tafirout ^{159a}, N. Taiblum ¹⁵³, H. Takai ²⁵, R. Takashima ⁶⁹, H. Takeda ⁶⁷,
T. Takeshita ¹⁴⁰, Y. Takubo ⁶⁶, M. Talby ⁸⁵, A.A. Talyshев ^{109,c},
J.Y.C. Tam ¹⁷⁴, K.G. Tan ⁸⁸, J. Tanaka ¹⁵⁵, R. Tanaka ¹¹⁷, S. Tanaka ⁶⁶,
B.B. Tannenwald ¹¹¹, N. Tannoury ²¹, S. Tapprogge ⁸³, S. Tarem ¹⁵²,
F. Tarrade ²⁹, G.F. Tartarelli ^{91a}, P. Tas ¹²⁹, M. Tasevsky ¹²⁷, T. Tashiro ⁶⁸,
E. Tassi ^{37a,37b}, A. Tavares Delgado ^{126a,126b}, Y. Tayalati ^{135d}, F.E. Taylor ⁹⁴,
G.N. Taylor ⁸⁸, W. Taylor ^{159b}, F.A. Teischinger ³⁰, P. Teixeira-Dias ⁷⁷,
K.K. Temming ⁴⁸, H. Ten Kate ³⁰, P.K. Teng ¹⁵¹, J.J. Teoh ¹¹⁸, F. Tepel ¹⁷⁵,
S. Terada ⁶⁶, K. Terashi ¹⁵⁵, J. Terron ⁸², S. Terzo ¹⁰¹, M. Testa ⁴⁷,
R.J. Teuscher ^{158,k}, J. Therhaag ²¹, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer ³⁴, J.P. Thomas ¹⁸,
J. Thomas-Wilsker ⁷⁷, E.N. Thompson ³⁵, P.D. Thompson ¹⁸,
R.J. Thompson ⁸⁴, A.S. Thompson ⁵³, L.A. Thomsen ¹⁷⁶, E. Thomson ¹²²,
M. Thomson ²⁸, R.P. Thun ^{89,*}, M.J. Tibbetts ¹⁵, R.E. Ticse Torres ⁸⁵,
V.O. Tikhomirov ^{96,ah}, Yu.A. Tikhonov ^{109,c}, S. Timoshenko ⁹⁸,
E. Tiouchichine ⁸⁵, P. Tipton ¹⁷⁶, S. Tisserant ⁸⁵, T. Todorov ^{5,*},
S. Todorova-Nova ¹²⁹, J. Tojo ⁷⁰, S. Tokár ^{144a}, K. Tokushuku ⁶⁶,
K. Tollefson ⁹⁰, E. Tolley ⁵⁷, L. Tomlinson ⁸⁴, M. Tomoto ¹⁰³,
L. Tompkins ^{143,ai}, K. Toms ¹⁰⁵, E. Torrence ¹¹⁶, H. Torres ¹⁴²,
E. Torró Pastor ¹⁶⁷, J. Toth ^{85,aj}, F. Touchard ⁸⁵, D.R. Tovey ¹³⁹,
T. Trefzger ¹⁷⁴, L. Tremblet ³⁰, A. Tricoli ³⁰, I.M. Trigger ^{159a},
S. Trincaz-Duvoud ⁸⁰, M.F. Tripiana ¹², W. Trischuk ¹⁵⁸, B. Trocmé ⁵⁵,
C. Troncon ^{91a}, M. Trottier-McDonald ¹⁵, M. Trovatelli ¹⁶⁹, P. True ⁹⁰,
L. Truong ^{164a,164c}, M. Trzebinski ³⁹, A. Trzupek ³⁹, C. Tsarouchas ³⁰,
J.C-L. Tseng ¹²⁰, P.V. Tsiareshka ⁹², D. Tsionou ¹⁵⁴, G. Tsipolitis ¹⁰,
N. Tsirintanis ⁹, S. Tsiskaridze ¹², V. Tsiskaridze ⁴⁸, E.G. Tskhadadze ^{51a},
I.I. Tsukerman ⁹⁷, V. Tsulaia ¹⁵, S. Tsuno ⁶⁶, D. Tsybychev ¹⁴⁸,
A. Tudorache ^{26a}, V. Tudorache ^{26a}, A.N. Tuna ¹²², S.A. Tupputi ^{20a,20b},
S. Turchikhin ^{99,ag}, D. Turecek ¹²⁸, R. Turra ^{91a,91b}, A.J. Turvey ⁴⁰,
P.M. Tuts ³⁵, A. Tykhonov ⁴⁹, M. Tylmad ^{146a,146b}, M. Tyndel ¹³¹, I. Ueda ¹⁵⁵,
R. Ueno ²⁹, M. Ughetto ^{146a,146b}, M. Ugland ¹⁴, M. Uhlenbrock ²¹,
F. Ukegawa ¹⁶⁰, G. Unal ³⁰, A. Undrus ²⁵, G. Unel ¹⁶³, F.C. Ungaro ⁴⁸,
Y. Unno ⁶⁶, C. Unverdorben ¹⁰⁰, J. Urban ^{144b}, P. Urquijo ⁸⁸, P. Urrejola ⁸³,
G. Usai ⁸, A. Usanova ⁶², L. Vacavant ⁸⁵, V. Vacek ¹²⁸, B. Vachon ⁸⁷,
C. Valderanis ⁸³, N. Valencic ¹⁰⁷, S. Valentini ^{20a,20b}, A. Valero ¹⁶⁷,

- L. Valery ¹², S. Valkar ¹²⁹, E. Valladolid Gallego ¹⁶⁷, S. Vallecorsa ⁴⁹,
 J.A. Valls Ferrer ¹⁶⁷, W. Van Den Wollenberg ¹⁰⁷, P.C. Van Der Deijl ¹⁰⁷,
 R. van der Geer ¹⁰⁷, H. van der Graaf ¹⁰⁷, R. Van Der Leeuw ¹⁰⁷,
 N. van Eldik ¹⁵², P. van Gemmeren ⁶, J. Van Nieuwkoop ¹⁴²,
 I. van Vulpen ¹⁰⁷, M.C. van Woerden ³⁰, M. Vanadia ^{132a,132b},
 W. Vandelli ³⁰, R. Vanguri ¹²², A. Vaniachine ⁶, F. Vannucci ⁸⁰,
 G. Vardanyan ¹⁷⁷, R. Vari ^{132a}, E.W. Varnes ⁷, T. Varol ⁴⁰, D. Varouchas ⁸⁰,
 A. Vartapetian ⁸, K.E. Varvell ¹⁵⁰, V.I. Vassilakopoulos ⁵⁶, F. Vazeille ³⁴,
 T. Vazquez Schroeder ⁸⁷, J. Veatch ⁷, L.M. Veloce ¹⁵⁸, F. Veloso ^{126a,126c},
 T. Velz ²¹, S. Veneziano ^{132a}, A. Ventura ^{73a,73b}, D. Ventura ⁸⁶,
 M. Venturi ¹⁶⁹, N. Venturi ¹⁵⁸, A. Venturini ²³, V. Vercesi ^{121a},
 M. Verducci ^{132a,132b}, W. Verkerke ¹⁰⁷, J.C. Vermeulen ¹⁰⁷, A. Vest ^{44,ak},
 M.C. Vetterli ^{142,d}, O. Viazlo ⁸¹, I. Vichou ¹⁶⁵, T. Vickey ¹³⁹,
 O.E. Vickey Boeriu ¹³⁹, G.H.A. Viehhauser ¹²⁰, S. Viel ¹⁵, R. Vigne ⁶²,
 M. Villa ^{20a,20b}, M. Villaplana Perez ^{91a,91b}, E. Vilucchi ⁴⁷, M.G. Vinchter ²⁹,
 V.B. Vinogradov ⁶⁵, I. Vivarelli ¹⁴⁹, F. Vives Vaque ³, S. Vlachos ¹⁰,
 D. Vladoiu ¹⁰⁰, M. Vlasak ¹²⁸, M. Vogel ^{32a}, P. Vokac ¹²⁸, G. Volpi ^{124a,124b},
 M. Volpi ⁸⁸, H. von der Schmitt ¹⁰¹, H. von Radziewski ⁴⁸, E. von Toerne ²¹,
 V. Vorobel ¹²⁹, K. Vorobev ⁹⁸, M. Vos ¹⁶⁷, R. Voss ³⁰, J.H. Vossebeld ⁷⁴,
 N. Vranjes ¹³, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic ¹³, V. Vrba ¹²⁷, M. Vreeswijk ¹⁰⁷,
 R. Vuillermet ³⁰, I. Vukotic ³¹, Z. Vykydal ¹²⁸, P. Wagner ²¹, W. Wagner ¹⁷⁵,
 H. Wahlberg ⁷¹, S. Wahrmund ⁴⁴, J. Wakabayashi ¹⁰³, J. Walder ⁷²,
 R. Walker ¹⁰⁰, W. Walkowiak ¹⁴¹, C. Wang ¹⁵¹, F. Wang ¹⁷³, H. Wang ¹⁵,
 H. Wang ⁴⁰, J. Wang ⁴², J. Wang ^{33a}, K. Wang ⁸⁷, R. Wang ⁶, S.M. Wang ¹⁵¹,
 T. Wang ²¹, X. Wang ¹⁷⁶, C. Wanotayaroj ¹¹⁶, A. Warburton ⁸⁷, C.P. Ward ²⁸,
 D.R. Wardrope ⁷⁸, M. Warsinsky ⁴⁸, A. Washbrook ⁴⁶, C. Wasicki ⁴²,
 P.M. Watkins ¹⁸, A.T. Watson ¹⁸, I.J. Watson ¹⁵⁰, M.F. Watson ¹⁸,
 G. Watts ¹³⁸, S. Watts ⁸⁴, B.M. Waugh ⁷⁸, S. Webb ⁸⁴, M.S. Weber ¹⁷,
 S.W. Weber ¹⁷⁴, J.S. Webster ³¹, A.R. Weidberg ¹²⁰, B. Weinert ⁶¹,
 J. Weingarten ⁵⁴, C. Weiser ⁴⁸, H. Weits ¹⁰⁷, P.S. Wells ³⁰, T. Wenaus ²⁵,
 T. Wengler ³⁰, S. Wenig ³⁰, N. Wermes ²¹, M. Werner ⁴⁸, P. Werner ³⁰,
 M. Wessels ^{58a}, J. Wetter ¹⁶¹, K. Whalen ¹¹⁶, A.M. Wharton ⁷², A. White ⁸,
 M.J. White ¹, R. White ^{32b}, S. White ^{124a,124b}, D. Whiteson ¹⁶³,
 F.J. Wickens ¹³¹, W. Wiedenmann ¹⁷³, M. Wielers ¹³¹, P. Wienemann ²¹,
 C. Wiglesworth ³⁶, L.A.M. Wiik-Fuchs ²¹, A. Wildauer ¹⁰¹,
 H.G. Wilkens ³⁰, H.H. Williams ¹²², S. Williams ¹⁰⁷, C. Willis ⁹⁰,
 S. Willocq ⁸⁶, A. Wilson ⁸⁹, J.A. Wilson ¹⁸, I. Wingerter-Seez ⁵,

F. Winklmeier ¹¹⁶, B.T. Winter ²¹, M. Wittgen ¹⁴³, J. Wittkowski ¹⁰⁰,
 S.J. Wollstadt ⁸³, M.W. Wolter ³⁹, H. Wolters ^{126a,126c}, B.K. Wosiek ³⁹,
 J. Wotschack ³⁰, M.J. Woudstra ⁸⁴, K.W. Wozniak ³⁹, M. Wu ⁵⁵, M. Wu ³¹,
 S.L. Wu ¹⁷³, X. Wu ⁴⁹, Y. Wu ⁸⁹, T.R. Wyatt ⁸⁴, B.M. Wynne ⁴⁶, S. Xella ³⁶,
 D. Xu ^{33a}, L. Xu ^{33b,al}, B. Yabsley ¹⁵⁰, S. Yacoob ^{145b,am}, R. Yakabe ⁶⁷,
 M. Yamada ⁶⁶, Y. Yamaguchi ¹¹⁸, A. Yamamoto ⁶⁶, S. Yamamoto ¹⁵⁵,
 T. Yamanaka ¹⁵⁵, K. Yamauchi ¹⁰³, Y. Yamazaki ⁶⁷, Z. Yan ²², H. Yang ^{33e},
 H. Yang ¹⁷³, Y. Yang ¹⁵¹, W-M. Yao ¹⁵, Y. Yasu ⁶⁶, E. Yatsenko ⁵,
 K.H. Yau Wong ²¹, J. Ye ⁴⁰, S. Ye ²⁵, I. Yeletskikh ⁶⁵, A.L. Yen ⁵⁷,
 E. Yildirim ⁴², K. Yorita ¹⁷¹, R. Yoshida ⁶, K. Yoshihara ¹²², C. Young ¹⁴³,
 C.J.S. Young ³⁰, S. Youssef ²², D.R. Yu ¹⁵, J. Yu ⁸, J.M. Yu ⁸⁹, J. Yu ¹¹⁴,
 L. Yuan ⁶⁷, A. Yurkewicz ¹⁰⁸, I. Yusuff ^{28,an}, B. Zabinski ³⁹, R. Zaidan ⁶³,
 A.M. Zaitsev ^{130,ab}, J. Zalieckas ¹⁴, A. Zaman ¹⁴⁸, S. Zambito ⁵⁷,
 L. Zanello ^{132a,132b}, D. Zanzi ⁸⁸, C. Zeitnitz ¹⁷⁵, M. Zeman ¹²⁸, A. Zemla ^{38a},
 K. Zengel ²³, O. Zenin ¹³⁰, T. Ženiš ^{144a}, D. Zerwas ¹¹⁷, D. Zhang ⁸⁹,
 F. Zhang ¹⁷³, H. Zhang ^{33c}, J. Zhang ⁶, L. Zhang ⁴⁸, R. Zhang ^{33b},
 X. Zhang ^{33d}, Z. Zhang ¹¹⁷, X. Zhao ⁴⁰, Y. Zhao ^{33d,117}, Z. Zhao ^{33b},
 A. Zhemchugov ⁶⁵, J. Zhong ¹²⁰, B. Zhou ⁸⁹, C. Zhou ⁴⁵, L. Zhou ³⁵,
 L. Zhou ⁴⁰, N. Zhou ¹⁶³, C.G. Zhu ^{33d}, H. Zhu ^{33a}, J. Zhu ⁸⁹, Y. Zhu ^{33b},
 X. Zhuang ^{33a}, K. Zhukov ⁹⁶, A. Zibell ¹⁷⁴, D. Ziemska ⁶¹, N.I. Zimine ⁶⁵,
 C. Zimmermann ⁸³, S. Zimmermann ⁴⁸, Z. Zinonos ⁵⁴, M. Zinser ⁸³,
 M. Ziolkowski ¹⁴¹, L. Živković ¹³, G. Zobernig ¹⁷³, A. Zoccoli ^{20a,20b},
 M. zur Nedden ¹⁶, G. Zurzolo ^{104a,104b}, L. Zwalski ³⁰

¹ Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany NY, United States³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB, Canada⁴ ^(a) Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; ^(b) Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul; ^(c) Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey⁵ LAPP, CNRS/IN2P3 and Université Savoie Mont Blanc, Annecy-le-Vieux, France⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne IL, United States⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ, United States⁸ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington TX, United States⁹ Physics Department, University of Athens, Athens, Greece¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece¹¹ Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan¹² Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain,¹³ Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia¹⁴ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway¹⁵ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley CA, United States¹⁶ Department of Physics, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany¹⁷ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland¹⁸ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

- 19 (a) Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul; (b) Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep; (c) Department of Physics, Dogus University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 20 (a) INFN Sezione di Bologna; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- 21 Physikalisches Institut, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany
- 22 Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston MA, United States
- 23 Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham MA, United States
- 24 (a) Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro; (b) Electrical Circuits Department, Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; (c) Federal University of Sao Joao del Rei (UFSJ), Sao Joao del Rei; (d) Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil
- 25 Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY, United States
- 26 (a) National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; (b) National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj Napoca; (c) University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest; (d) West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania
- 27 Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 28 Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- 29 Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa ON, Canada
- 30 CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
- 31 Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago IL, United States
- 32 (a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; (b) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile
- 33 (a) Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; (b) Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui; (c) Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu; (d) School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong; (e) Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China; (f) Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
- 34 Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Clermont Université and Université Blaise Pascal and CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France
- 35 Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington NY, United States
- 36 Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Kobenhavn, Denmark
- 37 (a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende, Italy
- 38 (a) AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow; (b) Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland
- 39 Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland
- 40 Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX, United States
- 41 Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson TX, United States
- 42 DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany
- 43 Institut für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany
- 44 Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
- 45 Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham NC, United States
- 46 SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
- 47 INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
- 48 Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany
- 49 Section de Physique, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland
- 50 (a) INFN Sezione di Genova; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova, Italy
- 51 (a) E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; (b) High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- 52 II Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany
- 53 SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom
- 54 II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany
- 55 Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble, France
- 56 Department of Physics, Hampton University, Hampton VA, United States
- 57 Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA, United States

- 58 (a) Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; (b) Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; (c) ZITI Institut für technische Informatik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany
- 59 Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan
- 60 (a) Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; (b) Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; (c) Department of Physics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China
- 61 Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington IN, United States
- 62 Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria
- 63 University of Iowa, Iowa City IA, United States
- 64 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA, United States
- 65 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, JINR Dubna, Dubna, Russia
- 66 KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan
- 67 Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan
- 68 Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
- 69 Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan
- 70 Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
- 71 Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina
- 72 Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom
- 73 (a) INFN Sezione di Lecce; (b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy
- 74 Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
- 75 Department of Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- 76 School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom
- 77 Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Surrey, United Kingdom
- 78 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, United Kingdom
- 79 Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States
- 80 Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, UPMC and Université Paris-Diderot and CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
- 81 Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden
- 82 Departamento de Física Teórica C-15, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
- 83 Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany
- 84 School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
- 85 CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
- 86 Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA, United States
- 87 Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal QC, Canada
- 88 School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- 89 Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States
- 90 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI, United States
- 91 (a) INFN Sezione di Milano; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy
- 92 B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus
- 93 National Scientific and Educational Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus
- 94 Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA, United States
- 95 Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal QC, Canada
- 96 P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
- 97 Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia
- 98 National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
- 99 D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- 100 Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany
- 101 Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München, Germany
- 102 Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
- 103 Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
- 104 (a) INFN Sezione di Napoli; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli, Italy
- 105 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM, United States
- 106 Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- 107 Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

- 108 Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL, United States
 109 Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia
 110 Department of Physics, New York University, New York NY, United States
 111 Ohio State University, Columbus OH, United States
 112 Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
 113 Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK, United States
 114 Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater OK, United States
 115 Palacký University, RCPTM, Olomouc, Czech Republic
 116 Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR, United States
 117 LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France
 118 Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
 119 Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
 120 Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom
 121 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pavia; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
 122 Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA, United States
 123 National Research Centre “Kurchatov Institute” B.P. Konstantinov Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
 124 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pisa; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
 125 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA, United States
 126 ^(a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP, Lisboa; ^(b) Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(c) Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Coimbra; ^(d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; ^(f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos and CAFPE, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); ^(g) Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciencias e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal
 127 Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, Czech Republic
 128 Czech Technical University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
 129 Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
 130 State Research Center Institute for High Energy Physics (Protvino), NRC KI, Russia
 131 Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
 132 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
 133 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
 134 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma, Italy
 135 ^(a) Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies - Université Hassan II, Casablanca; ^(b) Centre National de l’Energie des Sciences Techniques Nucléaires, Rabat; ^(c) Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech; ^(d) Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda; ^(e) Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco
 136 DSM/IRFU (Institut de Recherches sur les Lois Fondamentales de l’Univers), CEA Saclay (Commissariat à l’Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives), Gif-sur-Yvette, France
 137 Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA, United States
 138 Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle WA, United States
 139 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom
 140 Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan
 141 Fachbereich Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany
 142 Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC, Canada
 143 SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA, United States
 144 ^(a) Faculty of Mathematics, Physics & Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; ^(b) Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic
 145 ^(a) Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town; ^(b) Department of Physics, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; ^(c) School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
 146 ^(a) Department of Physics, Stockholm University; ^(b) The Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden
 147 Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
 148 Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY, United States
 149 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom
 150 School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
 151 Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
 152 Department of Physics, Technion: Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel

- 153 *Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel*
- 154 *Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece*
- 155 *International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*
- 156 *Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan*
- 157 *Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan*
- 158 *Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto ON, Canada*
- 159 ^(a) *TRIUMF, Vancouver BC;* ^(b) *Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto ON, Canada*
- 160 *Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, and Center for Integrated Research in Fundamental Science and Engineering, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan*
- 161 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford MA, United States*
- 162 *Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Narino, Bogota, Colombia*
- 163 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine CA, United States*
- 164 ^(a) *INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine;* ^(b) *ICTP, Trieste;* ^(c) *Dipartimento di Chimica, Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy*
- 165 *Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana IL, United States*
- 166 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden*
- 167 *Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC) and Departamento de Física Atómica, Molecular y Nuclear and Departamento de Ingeniería Electrónica and Instituto de Microelectrónica de Barcelona (IMB-CNM), University of Valencia and CSIC, Valencia, Spain*
- 168 *Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC, Canada*
- 169 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC, Canada*
- 170 *Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom*
- 171 *Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan*
- 172 *Department of Particle Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel*
- 173 *Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI, United States*
- 174 *Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität, Würzburg, Germany*
- 175 *Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany*
- 176 *Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven CT, United States*
- 177 *Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia*
- 178 *Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France*

^a Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London, United Kingdom.

^b Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan.

^c Also at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia.

^d Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC, Canada.

^e Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno CA, United States of America.

^f Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland.

^g Also at Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciencias, Universidade do Porto, Portugal.

^h Also at Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia.

ⁱ Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France.

^j Also at Universita di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy.

^k Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Canada.

^l Also at Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.

^m Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.

ⁿ Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States of America.

^o Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain.

^p Also at Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan.

^q Also at Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, United States of America.

^r Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.

^s Also at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.

^t Also at Georgian Technical University (GTU), Tbilisi, Georgia.

- ^u Also at Ochadai Academic Production, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, Japan.
- ^v Also at Manhattan College, New York NY, United States of America.
- ^w Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras, Greece.
- ^x Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.
- ^y Also at LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France.
- ^z Also at Academia Sinica Grid Computing, Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.
- ^{aa} Also at School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong, China.
- ^{ab} Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia.
- ^{ac} Also at Section de Physique, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ^{ad} Also at International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA), Trieste, Italy.
- ^{ae} Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of South Carolina, Columbia SC, United States of America.
- ^{af} Also at School of Physics and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China.
- ^{ag} Also at Faculty of Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{ah} Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{ai} Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA, United States of America.
- ^{aj} Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.
- ^{ak} Also at Flensburg University of Applied Sciences, Flensburg, Germany.
- ^{al} Also at Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States of America.
- ^{am} Also at Discipline of Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa.
- ^{an} Also at University of Malaya, Department of Physics, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- * Deceased.