

Measurement of the W+W production cross section in pp collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of $s = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS experiment

ATLAS Collaboration

DOI:
[10.1016/j.physletb.2017.08.047](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.08.047)

License:
Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):
ATLAS Collaboration 2017, 'Measurement of the W^+W^- production cross section in pp collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of $s = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS experiment', *Physics Letters B*, vol. 773, pp. 354-374.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.08.047>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:
Checked for eligibility: 06/12/2018

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



Measurement of the W^+W^- production cross section in pp collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS experiment



The ATLAS Collaboration*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 February 2017

Received in revised form 2 June 2017

Accepted 21 August 2017

Available online 30 August 2017

Editor: M. Doser

ABSTRACT

The production of opposite-charge W -boson pairs in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV is measured using data corresponding to 3.16 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity collected by the ATLAS detector at the CERN Large Hadron Collider in 2015. Candidate W -boson pairs are selected by identifying their leptonic decays into an electron, a muon and neutrinos. Events with reconstructed jets are not included in the candidate event sample. The cross-section measurement is performed in a fiducial phase space close to the experimental acceptance and is compared to theoretical predictions. Agreement is found between the measurement and the most accurate calculations available.

© 2017 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

The measurement of the production properties of opposite-charge W -boson pairs (denoted by WW in this Letter) is an important test of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics. This process is sensitive to the strong interaction between quarks and gluons and probes the electroweak gauge structure of the SM.

Measurements of WW production were first conducted at LEP [1] using electron–positron collisions. Measurements in hadron collisions were first carried out at the Tevatron by the CDF [2,3] and DØ [4] Collaborations. At the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), the WW production cross sections have been measured in proton–proton collisions for centre-of-mass energies of $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV by the ATLAS [5,6] and CMS [7,8] Collaborations. In order to match the experimental precision and address discrepancies between data and theory reported in some of the 8 TeV results, significant progress has been made in theoretical calculations to include higher-order corrections in perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (pQCD) [9–14]. The WW signal is composed of three leading sub-processes: $q\bar{q} \rightarrow WW$ production¹ (in the t - and s -channels), non-resonant $gg \rightarrow WW$ production, and resonant $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$ production (with both gg -initiated processes occurring through a quark loop). These sub-processes are known theoretically at different orders in the strong coupling constant α_s .

This Letter describes a measurement of WW production in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector using the data collected during the 2015 run. The cross sec-

tion is measured within a phase space close to the geometric and kinematic acceptance of the experimental analysis, i.e. a fiducial phase space, in the $WW \rightarrow e^\pm \nu \mu^\mp \nu$ (denoted in the following by $WW \rightarrow e \mu$) decay channel. In addition, the ratio of cross sections at 13 TeV and 8 TeV centre-of-mass energies in the respective fiducial phase spaces is presented. Both measurements are compared to the latest theoretical predictions.

2. The ATLAS detector

The ATLAS detector [15,16] is a multi-purpose particle detector with a cylindrical geometry.² It consists of layers of inner tracking detectors surrounded by a superconducting solenoid, calorimeters, and a muon spectrometer. The inner detector (ID) is situated inside a 2 T magnetic field generated by the solenoid and provides precision tracking for charged particles with pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 2.5$. The calorimeter covers the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 4.9$. Within $|\eta| < 2.47$ the finely segmented electromagnetic calorimeter identifies electromagnetic showers and measures their energy and position, providing electron identification together with the ID. The muon spectrometer (MS) surrounds the calorimeters and includes three large air-core toroidal superconducting magnets with eight

* E-mail address: atlas.publications@cern.ch.

¹ In this Letter, the notation $q\bar{q} \rightarrow WW$ is used to include both the $q\bar{q}$ and gg initial states for WW production.

² The ATLAS experiment uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal pp interaction point at the centre of the detector. The positive x -axis is defined by the direction from the interaction point towards the centre of the LHC ring, with the positive y -axis pointing upwards, while the beam direction is along the z -axis. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse (x, y) plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the beam direction. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ from the z -axis as $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$. The distance in η - ϕ space between two objects is defined as $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$. Transverse energy is computed as $E_T = E \cdot \sin\theta$.

coils each, providing muon identification and measurement in the region $|\eta| < 2.7$ and triggering in the region $|\eta| < 2.4$. A two-level trigger system is used to select events in real time. It consists of a hardware-based first-level trigger and a software-based high-level trigger. The latter uses reconstruction software with algorithms similar to the offline versions.

3. Data and Monte Carlo samples

The analysis is based on data collected with the ATLAS detector during the 2015 data-taking period. Events with pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and all relevant detector components functional have been used. This data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of $\mathcal{L} = 3.16 \text{ fb}^{-1}$.

Monte Carlo (MC) event generators are used to model signal and background processes. The WW , WZ , and ZZ diboson processes (where Z stands for Z/γ^*) with $q\bar{q}$ initial states are simulated at next-to-leading order (NLO) in pQCD with the POWHEG-BOX v2 event generator [17–21] using the CT10 NLO [22] parton distribution functions (PDFs). For the modelling of the parton shower and non-perturbative effects such as fragmentation and the underlying event, POWHEG-BOX v2 is interfaced to PYTHIA v8.210 [23] with the AZNLO [24] set of tuned parameters and the CTEQ6L1 [25] PDF. The invariant mass of the leptons originating from the Z boson or photon in the ZZ and WZ samples is required to satisfy $m_{\ell\ell} > 7$ GeV. A sample of WZ events generated with SHERPA v2.1.1 [26] with $m_{\ell\ell} > 0.45$ GeV is used to study systematic uncertainties. The cross sections given by the event generator are at NLO in QCD while the WW , WZ and ZZ samples are normalised using their respective inclusive next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) predicted cross sections [9,27–29]. The configuration of the POWHEG-BOX v2 event generator, as described above, reproduces the distribution predicted by NNLO calculations matched to resummation calculations up to next-to-next-to-leading logarithm (NNLL) [9,10] for the transverse momentum of the WW system (p_T^{WW}) in the range relevant to this analysis, so no further steps are taken to explicitly include resummation effects in the WW signal samples. The resonant $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$ signal contribution is simulated with the POWHEG-BOX v2 event generator [30] and normalised using the inclusive next-to-next-to-next-to-leading order (N^3LO) predicted cross section [31]. The non-resonant $gg \rightarrow WW$ signal contribution is modelled with SHERPA v2.1.1 at leading order (LO) using OpenLoops with up to one additional parton in the final state [32] and normalised using the inclusive NLO predicted cross section [33].

The $Z(\rightarrow ee/\mu\mu/\tau\tau) + \text{jets}$ production processes are simulated with the Madgraph5_aMC@NLO v2.2.2 [34] event generator interfaced to Pythia v8.186. The matrix elements for Z production with up to four associated partons are calculated at LO and the NNPDF2.3 LO PDF set [35] is used. The PHOTOS++ program version 3.52 [36] is used for QED emissions from electroweak vertices and charged leptons. Alternative samples of $Z(\rightarrow \tau\tau) + \text{jets}$ are produced with different MC event generators for the estimation of systematic uncertainties in the modelling: POWHEG-BOX v2 with NLO matrix elements interfaced to PYTHIA v8.210, and SHERPA v2.2.0 with NLO matrix-element accuracy up to two associated partons and with LO accuracy for three and four associated partons. The $Z + \text{jets}$ events are normalised using the NNLO Z production cross section [37].

The SHERPA v2.1.1 event generator is used to model the $W\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ processes with LO matrix element calculations for events with up to 3 partons in the final state matched to parton shower, using the CT10 NLO PDF set and with the γ transverse momentum greater than 10 GeV.

The POWHEG-BOX v2 event generator [38,39] with the CT10 NLO PDF is used for the generation of $t\bar{t}$ and single top quarks in the Wt channel. Parton shower, fragmentation, and the underlying event are simulated using PYTHIA v6.428 [40] with the CTEQ6L1 PDF and the Perugia 2012 [41] set of parameters. The top-quark mass is set to 172.5 GeV. Alternative samples are generated with different settings to assess the uncertainty in modelling top-quark events. For estimating the effect of parton shower and hadronisation modelling an alternative sample is generated with the POWHEG-BOX v2 event generator interfaced to HERWIG++ [42]. A comparison between this sample and a different one produced with Madgraph5_aMC@NLO interfaced to HERWIG++ is used to estimate the uncertainty associated to the matrix-element implementation and the matching to the parton showers. Separate alternative samples are also generated with POWHEG-BOX v2 interfaced to PYTHIA v6.428 with extra jet radiation emitted in the matrix element and in the parton shower. In addition, the modelling of the overlap at NLO between Wt and $t\bar{t}$ diagrams [43] is studied. The effect is assessed by generating Wt events with different schemes for overlap removal using the POWHEG-BOX v2 event generator interfaced to PYTHIA v6.428 for the simulation of parton showering and non-perturbative effects. These samples are simulated following the recommendations documented in Ref. [44]. The $t\bar{t}$ samples are normalised using the NNLO+NNLL soft-gluon resummation prediction [45], while the Wt samples are normalised using the NLO+NNLL prediction [46].

The EvtGen v1.2.0 [47] program is used for the properties of the bottom and charm hadron decays in all samples generated using the POWHEG-BOX v2 and Madgraph5_aMC@NLO v2.2.2 programs. The generated samples are passed through a simulation of the ATLAS detector based on GEANT4 [48,49]. They are overlaid with additional proton–proton interactions (pile-up) generated with PYTHIA v8.210 and the distribution of the average number of interactions per bunch crossing is reweighted to agree with the corresponding data distribution. The simulated events are reconstructed and analysed with the same algorithms as the data and are corrected with data-driven correction factors to account for differences between data and simulation in lepton and jet reconstruction and identification.

4. Event reconstruction and selection

The WW event candidates are selected by requiring exactly one electron and one muon of opposite charge in the event, and significant missing transverse momentum, as described below. Events with a same-flavour lepton pair are not used because they have larger background from the Drell–Yan process.

Candidate events are preselected by either a single-muon or single-electron trigger requiring transverse momentum $p_T > 20$ or 24 GeV respectively. The efficiency of the trigger for selecting WW events is approximately 99% for events that pass the offline selection.

Leptons are required to originate from the primary vertex, defined as the reconstructed vertex with the largest sum of the p_T^2 of the associated tracks. The longitudinal impact parameter of each lepton track, defined as the distance along the beam line between the track and the point of closest approach of the track to the primary vertex, multiplied by the sine of the track θ angle, is required to be less than 0.5 mm. Furthermore, the significance of the transverse impact parameter calculated with respect to the beam line, $|d_0/\sigma_{d_0}|$, is required to be less than 3.0 (5.0) for muons (electrons).

Electron candidates are reconstructed from the combination of a cluster of energy deposits in the electromagnetic calorimeter and a track in the ID [50]. Candidate electrons must satisfy the *Tight* quality definition described in Ref. [50]. Muon candidates are re-

Table 1

Lepton, jet, and event selection criteria for WW candidate events. In the table ℓ stands for e or μ . The definitions of identification and isolation are given in Refs. [50] and [51].

Selection requirement	Selection value
p_T^ℓ	> 25 GeV
η^ℓ	$ \eta^e < 2.47$ (excluding $1.37 < \eta^e < 1.52$), $ \eta^\mu < 2.4$
Lepton identification	Tight (electron), Medium (muon)
Lepton isolation	Gradient working point
Number of additional leptons ($p_T > 10$ GeV)	0
$m_{e\mu}$	> 10 GeV
Number of jets with $p_T > 25(30)$ GeV, $ \eta < 2.5(4.5)$	0
Number of b -tagged jets ($p_T > 20$ GeV, 85% op. point)	0
$E_{T,\text{Rel}}^{\text{miss}}$	> 15 GeV
p_T^{miss}	> 20 GeV

constructed by combining a track in the ID with a track in the MS [51]. The *Medium* criterion, as defined in Ref. [51], is applied to the combined tracks. The leptons are required to be isolated using information from ID tracks and calorimeter energy clusters in a cone around the lepton. The expected isolation efficiency for prompt leptons is at least 90% (99%) at a p_T of 25 (60) GeV using a so-called *gradient working point* [50,51].

Jet candidates are reconstructed within the calorimeter acceptance using the anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm [52] with a radius parameter of $R = 0.4$ which combines clusters of topologically-connected calorimeter cells [53]. The jet energy is calibrated by applying a p_T - and η -dependent correction derived from MC simulation with additional corrections based on data [54]. As part of the jet energy calibration a pile-up correction based on the concept of jet area is applied to the jet candidates [55]. The jet-vertex-tagger (JVT) technique [56] is used to separate hard-scatter jets from pile-up jets within the acceptance of the tracking detector by requiring a significant fraction of the jet's summed track p_T to come from tracks associated with the primary vertex. A jet-vertex-tagger requirement of $\text{JVT} > 0.64$ for jets with $p_T < 50$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ is applied. This requirement has an efficiency that increases with the jet p_T and is between 87% and 98% for selecting hard-scatter jets with p_T in the range 20–50 GeV. Candidate jets are discarded if they lie within a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.2$ around an electron or, for jets with less than three associated tracks, around a muon candidate. If a jet with three or more associated tracks lies within $\Delta R < 0.4$ of a muon, or $0.2 < \Delta R < 0.4$ of an electron, the corresponding lepton candidate is discarded. Within the ID acceptance, jets originating from the fragmentation of b -hadrons (b -jets) are identified using a multivariate algorithm [57,58]. The chosen operating point has an efficiency of 85% for selecting jets containing b -hadrons and a rejection factor of 28 for light-quark jets, as estimated in a sample of simulated $t\bar{t}$ events and validated with data.

The missing transverse momentum is computed as the negative of the vectorial sum of the transverse momenta of the reconstructed objects selected in the analysis (i.e. electrons, muons, and jets), and a soft term based on the tracks associated with the primary vertex but not with the hard objects explicitly used in the missing transverse momentum computation [59]. The magnitude of the missing transverse momentum is denoted by E_T^{miss} in the following. The jet selection in the E_T^{miss} computation is chosen to provide a compromise between good resolution and scale, with the requirement of $p_T > 20$ GeV for all jets, and an additional $\text{JVT} > 0.64$ requirement for jets in the region of $|\eta| < 2.4$. In Drell-Yan production of τ -lepton pairs with subsequent decay to an $e-\mu$ pair, the direction of the missing transverse momentum tends to align with a final-state lepton. To suppress this contamination a requirement is imposed on the missing transverse momentum component perpendicular to the direction in the $r-\phi$ plane of the lepton closest to the missing transverse momentum

direction, as defined in Ref. [6]. This variable is denoted in the following by $E_{T,\text{Rel}}^{\text{miss}}$. In addition, a more pile-up-robust track-based missing transverse momentum variable of magnitude p_T^{miss} is computed within the ID acceptance [59], using only ID tracks associated with the primary vertex.

The signal region (SR) in which the measurement is performed is defined as follows. Candidate WW events are required to have one electron and one muon, each with $p_T > 25$ GeV, of opposite charge. The electron is required to be in the region $|\eta| < 2.47$, excluding the transition region between the barrel and endcap calorimeters. For the muon, $|\eta| < 2.4$ is required. To reduce the background from other diboson processes, the events are required to have no additional electron or muon with $p_T > 10$ GeV. To suppress the background contribution from top quarks, events are required to have no jets with $p_T > 25$ (30) GeV in $|\eta| < 2.5$ (4.5), and no b -jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV. In addition, the requirements $E_{T,\text{Rel}}^{\text{miss}} > 15$ GeV, $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 20$ GeV, and the invariant mass of the lepton pair $m_{e\mu} > 10$ GeV suppress Drell-Yan background contributions. The lepton, jet, and event selection criteria are summarised in Table 1.

5. Background estimation

After applying the event selection requirements described in Section 4, the dominant background in the WW candidate sample is top-quark ($t\bar{t}$ and single top) production with neither jet nor b -jet above the veto thresholds within the acceptance. Drell-Yan production of a τ -lepton pair that decays leptonically can also give rise to the $e\mu$ final state. Multi-jet production with two jets misidentified as leptons, or $W +$ jets production with leptonic W decay and a jet misidentified as a lepton (collectively referred to as $W +$ jets background below) can be mistakenly accepted as candidate events. This background category includes events where an electron or a muon is produced from a semileptonic decay of a bottom or charm hadron and WW events where one W decays leptonically and the other hadronically. Other diboson (WZ , ZZ , $W\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$) production contributes a smaller background. Minor background processes are modelled with MC simulations, while data-driven methods are used to determine the dominant backgrounds and backgrounds with a misidentified lepton. The normalisations of top-quark and Drell-Yan backgrounds are determined from dedicated control regions after a simultaneous fit, described in detail in Section 8. The phase spaces of top-quark and Drell-Yan control regions are chosen to be close to the one of the signal region. Modelling uncertainties for each of the backgrounds, discussed here, as well as the systematic and statistical uncertainties given in Section 8, are included as nuisance parameters in the fit.

The top-quark background control region is defined by requiring one jet with $p_T > 25$ GeV and at least one b -jet with

$p_T > 20$ GeV in the ID acceptance region of $|\eta| < 2.5$, in an event sample selected with the same lepton criteria as the signal region and no requirement on $E_{T,\text{Rel}}^{\text{miss}}$. This control region has an estimated top purity of 93%. The top-quark background, comprising $t\bar{t}$ and Wt contributions, is normalised to data in this control region and both the detector and modelling uncertainties affect the extrapolation of $t\bar{t}$ and Wt from the control region to the signal region. These include $t\bar{t}$ (Wt) cross section uncertainties of 6% (10%) as well as the modelling of the parton shower and initial-state jet radiation. For the $t\bar{t}$ process the uncertainties also include the choice of MC matrix-element generator, while for the Wt process they include the modelling of the overlap and interference at NLO between Wt and $t\bar{t}$ diagrams estimated by comparing the nominal Wt MC sample with an alternative sample generated with a different scheme for overlap removal. The uncertainties in modelling the $t\bar{t}$ and Wt processes are estimated by comparing the results from the different MC samples presented in Section 3.

The event characteristics of $e\mu$ final states from Drell-Yan production of τ -lepton pairs include an $e\mu$ invariant mass below the Z mass, and lower E_T^{miss} . In the Drell-Yan background control region, the $e\mu$ invariant mass is required to be $45 < m_{e\mu} < 80$ GeV, and either or both of the $E_{T,\text{Rel}}^{\text{miss}}$ and p_T^{miss} requirements are reversed to make the sample orthogonal to that in the signal region while all other selection requirements remain the same. The Drell-Yan control region has a purity of about 95%, and the Drell-Yan modelling uncertainties are taken into account by comparing different MC event generators, as discussed in Section 3.

Determining the background from $W + \text{jets}$ production requires good knowledge of the lepton misidentification rate, which is best derived from data. The yield from $W + \text{jets}$ production is estimated using data event samples that are selected with different lepton selection criteria: a *loose* lepton identification criterion is defined, leptons are selected using either the loose or the default (as used in the signal region) lepton identification criteria, and events are classified according to whether the leptons, that all satisfy the loose criteria, satisfy or not the default identification criteria. With the introduction of the efficiencies of the default lepton identification relative to the loose lepton identification for both real and misidentified leptons, a system of four equations can be solved to estimate the number of events meeting the default lepton identification criteria. This follows the same procedure as that described in Ref. [6]. For electrons, the loose identification corresponds to the *medium* criterion defined in Ref. [50] without isolation requirements. For muons, the loose identification is the same as the default one, except that the isolation requirement is omitted. The efficiencies for jet misidentification are determined for electrons and muons separately as a function of the lepton p_T and are cross-checked with a two-dimensional parameterisation in the lepton p_T and η . These efficiencies are measured using data in a control region with one lepton, at least one jet and requirements on the lepton- E_T^{miss} transverse mass and E_T^{miss} to suppress the prompt-lepton contribution from $W + \text{jet}$ production. The remaining $W + \text{jets}$ contribution in the selected control sample is subtracted using the MC prediction. The efficiencies for real leptons are determined from WW MC simulations with correction factors obtained by comparing $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ events in data and MC simulation. The systematic uncertainties for lepton misidentification include variations of the control region definition, the cross section uncertainties used for the subtraction of the contributions from real leptons, and the method bias (non-closure), which is estimated by comparing the prediction for the $W + \text{jet}$ background contribution from MC simulation with the result of the experimental method applied to the same MC sample.

The estimate of the diboson background from WZ , ZZ , $W\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ processes is based on MC simulation. The diboson back-

Table 2
Definition of the $WW \rightarrow e\mu$ fiducial phase space, where $\ell = e$ or μ .

Fiducial selection requirement	Cut value
p_T^ℓ	> 25 GeV
$ \eta_\ell $	< 2.5
$m_{e\mu}$	> 10 GeV
Number of jets with $p_T > 25(30)$ GeV, $ \eta < 2.5(4.5)$	0
$E_{T,\text{Rel}}^{\text{miss}}$	> 15 GeV
E_T^{miss}	> 20 GeV

ground uncertainty is estimated by comparing the yields of the dominant process, WZ , predicted by two different event generators, SHERPA and POWHEG-BOX, for which a difference of 30% is observed. Such uncertainty is then applied to the whole diboson contribution.

The observed numbers of events in the signal region, and the top-quark and Drell-Yan control regions, are shown later in Table 3.

6. Fiducial cross-section definition

The WW cross section is evaluated in the fiducial phase space of the $e\mu$ decay channel. The fiducial phase space is defined in Table 2 as selection criteria for MC events with no detector simulation. Electrons and muons are required at particle level to stem from one of the W bosons produced in the hard scatter and their respective momenta after QED final-state radiation are vectorially added to the momenta of photons emitted in a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.1$ around the lepton direction. Final-state particles with lifetime greater than 30 ps are clustered into jets (referred to as particle-level jets) using the same algorithm as for detector-level jets, i.e. with the anti- k_t algorithm with radius parameter $R = 0.4$. The selected charged leptons and neutrinos from W -boson decays are not included in the jet clustering. The fiducial phase space at particle level does not make any requirement on b -quark jets. The missing transverse momentum is defined at particle level as the transverse component of the vectorial sum of the neutrino momenta. In Table 2, the missing transverse momentum magnitude is denoted as E_T^{miss} , while its component perpendicular to the closest lepton in the $r\text{-}\phi$ plane is denoted as $E_{T,\text{Rel}}^{\text{miss}}$.

The fiducial cross section is defined as

$$\sigma_{WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}} = \frac{N_{\text{obs}} - N_{\text{bkg}}}{C \times \mathcal{L}}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{L} is the integrated luminosity, N_{obs} is the observed number of events, N_{bkg} is the estimated number of background events and C is a factor that accounts for detector inefficiencies and contributions from τ -lepton decays. The factor C is estimated in simulation as the ratio of the number of signal events with one electron and one muon (including those from τ decays) passing the selection requirements at detector level listed in Section 4 to those passing the fiducial selection (excluding $W \rightarrow \tau\nu$ decays) at particle level. Therefore C implicitly corrects for the contribution of $W \rightarrow \tau\nu$ decays, which is estimated in MC simulations to be 8%, based on their acceptance relative to the signal $WW \rightarrow e\mu$ channel and the relative branching fractions from the MC simulation.

7. Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties in the WW cross-section measurement in the fiducial phase space arise from the reconstruction of leptons and jets, the background determination, pile-up and luminosity uncertainties, and the procedures used to correct for detector effects.

The uncertainty in the C factor in Eq. (1) is dominated by experimental sources. Uncertainties in the lepton and jet reconstruction affect the signal acceptance in the fiducial phase space. The effects are estimated by varying the energy or momentum scale and the resolution of leptons and jets, and the correction factors for the trigger, reconstruction, identification and isolation efficiencies, within their uncertainties estimated in dedicated data analyses [50, 51, 54]. Uncertainties in the E_T^{miss} reconstruction and b -tagging are also taken into account based on the studies in Ref. [59] and Ref. [57] respectively. The impact of the hard-object uncertainties in the E_T^{miss} is estimated by individually varying each of their associated uncertainties and recalculating E_T^{miss} for each variation. In addition, uncertainties in the scale and resolution of the E_T^{miss} soft term are estimated using data as discussed in Refs. [59] and [60].

The full set of detector-related uncertainties is taken into account in the background estimation. The statistical uncertainties stemming from the size of the MC samples used for the background estimates and from the size of the data samples used for data-driven estimations in the control regions are also considered as systematic uncertainties. The uncertainties due to the modelling of background processes in the signal and control regions are estimated by comparing different event generators, as discussed in Sections 3 and 5.

The MC samples are reweighted to reproduce the distributions in data of the average number of interactions per bunch crossing, and additionally the number of reconstructed primary vertices per event. The uncertainty due to pile-up is estimated as the difference between the two. An uncertainty of 2.1% in the integrated luminosity affects the cross-section measurement and the MC-based estimate of backgrounds. It is determined following the same methodology as that detailed in Ref. [61] based on a calibration of the luminosity scale using x - y beam-separation scans performed in August 2015. The beam energy uncertainty of 0.66% (from Ref. [62]) gives a 1.7% uncertainty in the theoretical cross section, which is not accounted for in the predictions quoted in this Letter.

Uncertainties in the C factor due to theoretical sources are also included. The uncertainties associated with PDFs are taken as the largest of either the CT10 NLO eigenvector uncertainty band at 68% confidence level, or the difference among the central values of CT10 NLO, MSTW2008nlo [63] and NNPDF3.0 [64] PDFs. The uncertainty associated with higher-order QCD corrections is estimated by varying renormalisation (μ_R) and factorisation (μ_F) scales independently by factors of 2 and 0.5 with the constraint $0.5 \leq \mu_F/\mu_R \leq 2$. The effects of parton shower, hadronisation and underlying event models (referred to here as *parton shower* for simplicity) are accounted for by comparing the default MC prediction for WW production, which uses PYTHIA v8.210 for modelling of these effects, with the prediction obtained with the models implemented in HERWIG++.

A full list of systematic uncertainties and their impact on the cross-section measurement is given in Table 4.

8. The fiducial cross-section measurement

The fiducial cross section $\sigma_{WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}}$ is extracted by minimising a negative log-likelihood function, based on observed and expected numbers of events in the signal region, as defined by the signal event selection, and in the top-quark and Drell-Yan control regions, as defined in Section 5. The likelihood consists of a product of Poisson probability density functions for the orthogonal regions. This procedure allows a simultaneous measurement of the signal process cross section and of the contributions from the top-quark and Drell-Yan processes. Systematic uncertainties are taken into account as constrained nuisance parameters in the log-likelihood

Table 3

Observed number of events in data and estimated numbers of events from signal and background processes in the signal and control regions. The numbers of events from signal and background processes are the result of the simultaneous fit, i.e. are constrained to match the data in the signal and control regions. The quoted uncertainties account for statistical and systematic components on the number of events for each process and do not include the uncertainties in the C factor. The correlations among processes for common systematic uncertainties are accounted for in the total uncertainties.

Process	Signal region	Top-quark control region	Drell-Yan control region
WW signal	997 ± 69	49 ± 12	75.3 ± 5.4
Drell-Yan	62 ± 23	49 ± 29	1568 ± 45
$t\bar{t}$ + single top	177 ± 33	2057 ± 81	3.5 ± 1.6
$W + \text{jets/multi-jet}$	78 ± 41	70 ± 55	0 ± 17
Other dibosons	38 ± 12	6.3 ± 3.5	19.2 ± 6.1
Total	1351 ± 37	2232 ± 47	1666 ± 41
Data	1351	2232	1666

Table 4

Breakdown of the relative uncertainties in the fiducial cross-section measurement as a result of the simultaneous fit to signal and control regions. “Electron” and “Muon” uncertainties include contributions from trigger, energy/momentun reconstruction, identification and isolation.

Sources of uncertainty	Relative uncertainty for $\sigma_{WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}}$
Jet selection and energy scale & resolution	7.3%
b -tagging	1.3%
E_T^{miss} and p_T^{miss}	1.7%
Electron	1.0%
Muon	0.4%
Pile-up	0.9%
Luminosity	2.1%
Top-quark background theory	2.4%
Drell-Yan background theory	1.5%
$W + \text{jet}$ and multi-jet background	3.8%
Other diboson backgrounds	1.1%
Parton shower	3.1%
PDF	0.2%
QCD scale	0.2%
MC statistics	1.2%
Data statistics	3.7%
Total uncertainty	11%

function. The methodology accounts for uncertainties and their correlations across signal and background processes. It is found that the Drell-Yan and top-quark processes need to be scaled relative to their MC predictions by 1.03 ± 0.03 and 0.875 ± 0.035 respectively to match the observed data yields in the corresponding control regions. The uncertainties of the quoted scale factors are driven by the data statistics in the respective control regions and do not include modelling uncertainties on the respective processes. The number of events observed in data and the estimated numbers of signal and background events together with their total uncertainties are reported in Table 3. The correction factor C is calculated to be 0.60 ± 0.04 , where the uncertainty accounts for the systematic effects discussed in Section 7. The measured signal cross section is

$$\sigma_{WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}} = 529 \pm 20 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 50 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 11 \text{ (lumi.) fb.}$$

The total uncertainty is dominated by systematic sources, as described in Section 7, of which the largest contribution originates from the experimental jet selection and calibration. The correlations of the fit parameters in the signal and control regions are taken into account in the computation of the total uncertainties.




Fig. 1. Lepton and dilepton kinematic variables in the signal region. In the figure $m_{e\mu}$ and $p_T^{e\mu}$ are the invariant mass and the transverse momentum of the $e-\mu$ system respectively, and $\Delta\phi(e, \mu)$ is the azimuthal angle between the two leptons. Data are shown together with the MC and data-driven predictions for the signal and background production processes after the fit to the data in the signal and control regions. The last bin in each distribution is the overflow. In the legend, SM stands for the total contribution of the estimated SM processes and the uncertainty band includes the MC statistical and systematic uncertainties as a result of the fit.

The contributions to the relative uncertainty in the fiducial cross-section measurement are summarised in Table 4. Fig. 1 shows distributions of kinematic variables from data events in the signal region in comparison with the signal and background contributions estimated from the simultaneous fit to signal and control regions.

9. Theoretical predictions and ratio to the 8 TeV measurement

Theoretical predictions are calculated in the total phase space (σ_{WW}^{tot}) and include the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow WW$, the non-resonant $gg \rightarrow WW$, and the resonant $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$ sub-processes. The $q\bar{q} \rightarrow WW$ production cross section is known to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ (NNLO) [9, 13], the non-resonant gg sub-process is known to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ [33], and the resonant $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$ cross section is calculated to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^5)$ [65] taking into account the $H \rightarrow WW$ branching fraction [66]. The sum of these sub-processes is denoted by $n\text{NNLO}+H$ in the following. In its calculation, the interference between the three sub-processes is neglected. At the given orders of α_s listed above, the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow WW$ process does not interfere with either of the gg -induced processes and the interference between the

gg -induced processes has little contribution to the cross section in the measured phase space. As in the 8 TeV cross-section measurement, possible contributions from double parton interactions are not considered as their contribution is expected to be negligible [6].

The renormalisation and factorisation scales are set to the W boson mass for the $q\bar{q}$ and non-resonant gg processes, and to $m_H/2$ for $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$. The uncertainties in the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow WW$ cross section are estimated by varying the two scales independently by factors of 0.5 and 2 with the constraint $0.5 \leq \mu_F/\mu_R \leq 2$, while the uncertainties in the non-resonant and resonant gg cross sections are estimated by simultaneously varying μ_R and μ_F by factors of 0.5 and 2. The uncertainties in $gg \rightarrow WW$ and $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$ processes include a 3.2% contribution from PDF uncertainties computed in Ref. [67]. For the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow WW$ process, PDF uncertainties are estimated as the largest of either the CT10 NLO eigenvector uncertainty band (at 68% confidence level) or the difference among the central values of CT10 NLO, MSTW2008nlo and NNPDF3.0 PDFs, amounting to 1.8%. The uncertainties associated with the individual sub-processes are propa-

Table 5

Theoretical predictions for the WW cross-section sub-processes and their associated uncertainties in the full phase space (σ_{WW}^{tot}) calculated up to the given order in α_s together with the respective acceptance corrections (A) for the fiducial phase space and the fiducial cross sections ($\sigma_{WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}}$). The resonant $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$ is calculated up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^5)$ for σ_{WW}^{tot} and to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ for $\sigma_{WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}}$ and A . A correction is applied to $\sigma_{WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}}$ and A to account for non-perturbative effects. The quoted uncertainties include scale variations and PDF uncertainties, with the latter being evaluated at NLO. The scale uncertainties are treated as correlated, whereas PDF uncertainties are treated as uncorrelated between the $q\bar{q}$ and the gg -induced processes. The values of the branching ratio of leptonic W -boson decay used for each sub-process are those reported in their respective References, while for the resonant gg sub-process $B = 0.1083$ [69] is used.

$pp \rightarrow WW$ sub-process	Order of α_s	σ_{WW}^{tot} [pb]	A [%]	$\sigma_{WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}}$ [fb]
$q\bar{q}$ [9,13]	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$	111.1 ± 2.8	16.20 ± 0.13	422^{+12}_{-11}
gg (non-resonant) [33]	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$	$6.82^{+0.42}_{-0.55}$	$28.1^{+2.7}_{-2.3}$	44.9 ± 7.2
$gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$ [68][30]	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^5)$ tot. / $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ fid.	$10.45^{+0.61}_{-0.79}$	4.5 ± 0.6	11.0 ± 2.1
$q\bar{q} + gg$ (non-resonant) + $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$	nNNLO+H	$128.4^{+3.5}_{-3.8}$	$15.87^{+0.17}_{-0.14}$	478 ± 17

gated to the σ_{WW}^{tot} prediction for the nNNLO+H combination: scale uncertainties of different processes are added linearly, while PDF uncertainties are considered uncorrelated across processes. The $q\bar{q}$ production makes up 87% of the total cross section while the non-resonant and resonant gg production sub-processes account for 5% and 8% respectively.

For direct comparison to the experimental result, theoretical predictions are also calculated in the same phase space as the measurement ($\sigma_{WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}}$) for the $q\bar{q}$ and non-resonant gg processes. A correction of 0.972 ± 0.001 is applied to parton-level calculations for $\sigma_{WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}}$ to account for the contribution of non-perturbative effects due to multi-parton interactions and hadronisation. This correction was calculated by comparing the particle-level cross section as predicted by the MC simulation with one obtained with a dedicated event generation where these effects are disabled in PYTHIA v8.210. The uncertainty includes the MC statistical uncertainty and the systematic component estimated by comparing the above correction with the one estimated with the non-perturbative model implemented in the HERWIG++ MC event generator. The calculations reported here do not include high-order electroweak corrections. In Ref. [70] it is estimated that electroweak corrections up to NLO reduce the WW cross section by 3–4% in a phase space close to the one used in this analysis. The $q\bar{q}$ and non-resonant gg fiducial cross sections are calculated with the programs presented in Refs. [9,13] and [33] respectively. For the resonant $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$ process, no fiducial calculation is available at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^5)$. Therefore, this fiducial cross section is calculated by correcting the cross section in the full phase space (σ_{WW}^{tot}) by the geometrical and kinematic acceptance A as determined using the MC event generator POWHEG-BOX v2 interfaced to PYTHIA v8.210 for parton showering and non-perturbative effects and the branching ratio (B) for fully leptonic final states, $B = 0.1083$ [69]:

$$\sigma_{WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}} = 2 \times \sigma_{WW}^{\text{tot}} \times A \times B^2. \quad (2)$$

In this determination of the $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$ fiducial cross section, uncertainties from PDFs and scale uncertainties are considered for both A and σ_{WW}^{tot} , while parton shower uncertainties are also estimated for A . The $q\bar{q}$ and non-resonant gg acceptances are calculated using the ratios of the respective fiducial cross section to the total cross section. The uncertainties in the A factors for $q\bar{q}$ and non-resonant gg processes are estimated following the same methodology as for σ_{WW}^{tot} and considering both the scale and PDF uncertainties as correlated between $\sigma_{WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}}$ and σ_{WW}^{tot} . The total uncertainty in A in the nNNLO+H calculation is then determined from the propagation of the A factor uncertainties for the individual sub-processes. The PDF uncertainties are found to be dominant and to lead to an uncertainty of 2.5% and 3.2% on A for the $q\bar{q}$ and gg sub-processes respectively.




Fig. 2. The measured fiducial cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in comparison with the nNNLO+H prediction in the fiducial phase space with two different acceptance calculations. The vertical bands around the measurement indicate the statistical uncertainty (yellow) and the sum in quadrature of statistical, systematic and luminosity uncertainties (green). The beam energy uncertainty is not taken into account.

The theoretical cross-section predictions for each production sub-process and the nNNLO+H combination in the total and fiducial phase spaces as well as the A factors (corrected for non-perturbative effects) are given together with their estimated uncertainties in Table 5. Fig. 2 shows the comparison of the nNNLO+H prediction with the measurement presented in the previous section. Fig. 2 also reports, as an alternative prediction, the σ_{WW}^{tot} calculation for the nNNLO+H combination corrected by the acceptance A calculated using the MC event generator POWHEG-BOX v2 + PYTHIA v8.210 for the $q\bar{q}$ and resonant $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$ processes, and SHERPA v2.1.1 for the non-resonant gg process. In this calculation the acceptance factor is estimated to be $A = (16.4 \pm 0.9)\%$ where the uncertainty includes the parton shower modelling (taken as the difference between PYTHIA v8.210 and HERWIG++ showers), PDF uncertainty (estimated as the largest difference between the CT10 NLO eigenvector uncertainty band and the MSTW2008nlo and NNPDF3.0PDF central values), scale uncertainty associated with the jet veto requirement estimated as in Ref. [71] and the residual renormalisation and factorisation scale uncertainty (estimated by varying the two scales independently by factors of 2 and 0.5).

The nNNLO+H prediction agrees within uncertainties with the experimental cross-section measurement in the fiducial phase space.

The cross section in the full phase space (σ_{WW}^{tot}) is determined by extrapolating the measurement in the fiducial phase space by inverting Eq. (2) and using the acceptance value from the nNNLO+H calculation as in Table 5: $\sigma_{WW}^{\text{tot}} = 142 \pm 5$ (stat.) \pm




Fig. 3. Measurements of the ratios of cross sections at the two centre-of-mass energies of 13 and 8 TeV in the fiducial and total phase spaces. For the 8 TeV cross sections the results from Ref. [6] are used. The measurements are compared to the nNNLO+H predictions for the ratios of cross sections in the fiducial phase spaces of the two analyses at 13 and 8 TeV and in the total phase space, with their respective uncertainties. The beam energy uncertainty is not taken into account.

$13 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 3 \text{ (lumi.) pb}$. This is in agreement with the nNNLO+H prediction of $128.4^{+3.5}_{-3.8}$ pb.

Using the fiducial cross section measured for $WW \rightarrow e\mu$ production at 8 TeV centre-of-mass energy [6] in the fiducial phase space detailed in Ref. [6], the ratio of cross sections at the two centre-of-mass energies of 13 and 8 TeV is:

$$\frac{\sigma_{13 \text{ TeV}, WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}}}{\sigma_{8 \text{ TeV}, WW \rightarrow e\mu}^{\text{fid}}} = 1.41 \pm 0.06 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.16 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 0.04 \text{ (lumi.)}.$$

All uncertainties are treated as uncorrelated between the measurements at the two beam energies: no attempt is made to exploit the jet energy scale correlations between the two data-taking periods at different beam energies. The same ratio is calculated for the total cross sections at 13 and 8 TeV and is found to be 2.00 ± 0.08 (stat.) $^{+0.25}_{-0.24}$ (syst.) ± 0.06 (lumi.). Fig. 3 shows the measured ratios of cross sections in the fiducial and total phase spaces and the comparison with their respective nNNLO+H predictions with scale uncertainties treated as correlated between the two centre-of-mass energies, while the PDF uncertainties are considered uncorrelated. The predictions for the ratio in the fiducial and total phase spaces are 1.43 ± 0.05 and 1.98 ± 0.05 respectively, and are in agreement with the experimental results.

10. Conclusions

The cross section for production of W^+W^- pairs in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV is measured in a fiducial phase space of the $e\mu$ final state in which events with reconstructed jets are excluded. The data used in the analysis correspond to an integrated luminosity of 3.16 fb^{-1} collected by the ATLAS detector at the LHC in 2015. The measurement is made in a relatively pure signal region with the contamination from the dominant background processes estimated using data in dedicated control regions. The measured cross section is 529 ± 20 (stat.) ± 50 (syst.) ± 11 (lumi.) fb and is found to be consistent with the most up-to-date SM predictions that include high-order QCD effects. Furthermore, the ratio of the measured fiducial cross sections at 13 and 8 TeV centre-of-mass energies is compared to the theory predictions with reduced uncertainties, thanks to their cancellation in the ratio.

Acknowledgements

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhi, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTD, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DSM/IRFU, France; SRNSF, Georgia; BMBF, HGF, and MPG, Germany; GSRT, Greece; RGC, Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF, I-CORE and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and NRC KI, Russian Federation; JINR; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, the Canada Council, CANARIE, CRC, Compute Canada, FQRNT, and the Ontario Innovation Trust, Canada; EPLANET, ERC, ERDF, FP7, Horizon 2020 and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex and Idex, ANR, Région Auvergne and Fondation Partager le Savoir, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; BSF, GIF and Minerva, Israel; BRF, Norway; CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya, Generalitat Valenciana, Spain; the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in Ref. [72].

References

- [1] ALEPH, DELPHI, L3 and OPAL Collaborations, the LEP Electroweak Working Group, S. Schael, et al., Electroweak measurements in electron-positron collisions at W -boson-pair energies at LEP, Phys. Rep. 532 (2013) 119, arXiv:1302.3415 [hep-ex].
- [2] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe, et al., Observation of W^+W^- production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 4536.
- [3] CDF Collaboration, T. Aaltonen, et al., Measurement of the W^+W^- production cross section and search for anomalous $WW\gamma$ and WWZ couplings in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 201801, Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 019905, arXiv:0912.4500 [hep-ex].
- [4] D0 Collaboration, V.M. Abazov, et al., Measurement of the WW production cross section with dilepton final states in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV and limits on anomalous trilinear gauge couplings, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 191801, arXiv:0904.0673 [hep-ex].
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of W^+W^- production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous WWZ and $WW\gamma$ couplings, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 112001, Erratum: Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 079906, arXiv:1210.2979 [hep-ex].
- [6] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of total and differential W^+W^- production cross sections in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous triple-gauge-boson couplings, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2016) 029, arXiv:1603.01702 [hep-ex].
- [7] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the W^+W^- cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and limits on anomalous $WW\gamma$ and WWZ couplings, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2610, arXiv:1306.1126 [hep-ex].

- [8] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the W^+W^- cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and limits on anomalous gauge couplings, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 401, arXiv:1507.03268 [hep-ex].
- [9] T. Gehrmann, et al., W^+W^- production at hadron colliders in next to next to leading order QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 212001, arXiv:1408.5243 [hep-ph].
- [10] P. Meade, H. Ramani, M. Zeng, Transverse momentum resummation effects in W^+W^- measurements, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 114006, arXiv:1407.4481 [hep-ph].
- [11] P. Jaiswal, T. Okui, An explanation of the WW excess at the LHC by jet-veto resummation, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 073009, arXiv:1407.4537 [hep-ph].
- [12] P.F. Monni, G. Zanderighi, On the excess in the inclusive $W^+W^- \rightarrow l^+l^-\nu\bar{\nu}$ cross section, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2015) 013, arXiv:1410.4745 [hep-ph].
- [13] M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, S. Pozzorini, D. Rathlev, M. Wiesemann, W^+W^- production at the LHC: fiducial cross sections and distributions in NNLO QCD, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2016) 140, arXiv:1605.02716 [hep-ph].
- [14] S. Dawson, P. Jaiswal, Y. Li, H. Ramani, M. Zeng, Resummation of jet veto logarithms at $N^3LL_a + \text{NNLO}$ for W^+W^- production at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 114014, arXiv:1606.01034 [hep-ph].
- [15] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS experiment at the CERN large hadron collider, J. Instrum. 3 (2008) S08003.
- [16] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Insertable B-Layer Technical Design Report, ATLAS-TDR-19, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1291633>, 2010, ATLAS Insertable B-Layer Technical Design Report Addendum, ATLAS-TDR-19-ADD-1, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1451888>, 2012.
- [17] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2004) 040, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- [18] S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2007) 070, arXiv:0709.2092 [hep-ph].
- [19] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2010) 043, arXiv:1002.2581 [hep-ph].
- [20] T. Melia, P. Nason, R. Rontsch, G. Zanderighi, W^+W^- , WZ and ZZ production in the POWHEG BOX, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2011) 078, arXiv:1107.5051 [hep-ph].
- [21] P. Nason, G. Zanderighi, W^+W^- , WZ and ZZ production in the POWHEG-BOX-V2, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 2702, arXiv:1311.1365 [hep-ph].
- [22] H.-L. Lai, et al., New parton distributions for collider physics, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 074024, arXiv:1007.2241 [hep-ph].
- [23] T. Sjöstrand, et al., An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. 191 (2015) 159, arXiv:1410.3012 [hep-ph].
- [24] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the Z/γ^* boson transverse momentum distribution in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2014) 145, arXiv:1406.3660 [hep-ex].
- [25] J. Pumplin, et al., New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2002) 012, arXiv:hep-ph/0201195.
- [26] T. Gleisberg, et al., Event generation with SHERPA 1.1, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2009) 007, arXiv:0811.4622 [hep-ph].
- [27] M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, D. Rathlev, M. Wiesemann, $W^\pm Z$ production at hadron colliders in NNLO QCD, Phys. Lett. B 761 (2016) 179, arXiv:1604.08576 [hep-ph].
- [28] M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, D. Rathlev, ZZ production at the LHC: fiducial cross sections and distributions in NNLO QCD, Phys. Lett. B 750 (2015) 407, arXiv:1507.06257 [hep-ph].
- [29] F. Cascioli, et al., ZZ production at hadron colliders in NNLO QCD, Phys. Lett. B 735 (2014) 311, arXiv:1405.2219 [hep-ph].
- [30] E. Bagnaschi, G. Degrassi, P. Slavich, A. Vicini, Higgs production via gluon fusion in the POWHEG approach in the SM and in the MSSM, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2012) 088, arXiv:1111.2854 [hep-ph].
- [31] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, F. Herzog, B. Mistlberger, Higgs boson gluon-fusion production in QCD at three loops, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 212001, arXiv:1503.06056 [hep-ph].
- [32] F. Cascioli, et al., Precise Higgs-background predictions: merging NLO QCD and squared quark-loop corrections to four-lepton + 0.1 jet production, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2014) 046, arXiv:1309.0500 [hep-ph].
- [33] F. Caola, K. Melnikov, R. Röntsch, L. Tancredi, QCD corrections to W^+W^- production through gluon fusion, Phys. Lett. B 754 (2016) 275, arXiv:1511.08617 [hep-ph].
- [34] J. Alwall, et al., The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 079, arXiv:1405.0301 [hep-ph].
- [35] NNPDF Collaboration, R.D. Ball, et al., Parton distributions with LHC data, Nucl. Phys. B 867 (2013) 244, arXiv:1207.1303 [hep-ph].
- [36] P. Gonolka, Z. Was, PHOTOS Monte Carlo: a precision tool for QED corrections in Z and W decays, Eur. Phys. J. C 45 (2006) 97, arXiv:hep-ph/0506026.
- [37] C. Anastasiou, L.J. Dixon, K. Melnikov, F. Petriello, High precision QCD at hadron colliders: electroweak gauge boson rapidity distributions at next-to-next-to-leading order, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 094008, arXiv:hep-ph/0312266.
- [38] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, P. Nason, E. Re, Top-pair production and decay at NLO matched with parton showers, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2015) 114, arXiv:1412.1828 [hep-ph].
- [39] E. Re, Single-top Wt -channel production matched with parton showers using the POWHEG method, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1547, arXiv:1009.2450 [hep-ph].
- [40] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, P.Z. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2006) 026, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.
- [41] P.Z. Skands, Tuning Monte Carlo generators: the Perugia tunes, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 074018, arXiv:1005.3457 [hep-ph].
- [42] S. Gieseke, et al., Herwig++ 2.5 release note, arXiv:1102.1672 [hep-ph], 2011.
- [43] S. Frixione, E. Laenen, P. Motylinski, B.R. Webber, C.D. White, Single-top hadroproduction in association with a W boson, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2008) 029, arXiv:0805.3067 [hep-ph].
- [44] ATLAS Collaboration, Simulation of top-quark production for the ATLAS experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-004, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2120417>, 2016.
- [45] M. Czakon, A. Mitov, Top++: a program for the calculation of the top-pair cross-section at hadron colliders, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185 (2014) 2930, arXiv:1112.5675 [hep-ph].
- [46] N. Kidonakis, Two-loop soft anomalous dimensions for single top quark associated production with a W^- or H^- , Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 054018, arXiv:1005.4451 [hep-ph].
- [47] D.J. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 462 (2001) 152.
- [48] GEANT4 Collaboration, S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT4: a simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 506 (2003) 250.
- [49] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS simulation infrastructure, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 823, arXiv:1005.4568 [physics.ins-det].
- [50] ATLAS Collaboration, Electron efficiency measurements with the ATLAS detector using the 2015 LHC proton-proton collision data, ATLAS-CONF-2016-024, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2157687>, 2016.
- [51] ATLAS Collaboration, Muon reconstruction performance of the ATLAS detector in proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 292, arXiv:1603.05598 [hep-ex].
- [52] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2008) 063, arXiv:0802.1189 [hep-ph].
- [53] ATLAS Collaboration, Properties of jets and inputs to jet reconstruction and calibration with the ATLAS detector using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-036, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2044564>, 2015.
- [54] ATLAS Collaboration, Jet calibration and systematic uncertainties for jets reconstructed in the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-015, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2037613>, 2015.
- [55] ATLAS Collaboration, Pile-up subtraction and suppression for jets in ATLAS, ATLAS-CONF-2013-083, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1570994>, 2013.
- [56] ATLAS Collaboration, Tagging and suppression of pileup jets with the ATLAS detector, ATLAS-CONF-2014-018, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1700870>, 2014.
- [57] ATLAS Collaboration, Expected performance of the ATLAS b-tagging algorithms in Run-2, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-022, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2037697>, 2015.
- [58] ATLAS Collaboration, Commissioning of the ATLAS b-tagging algorithms using $t\bar{t}$ events in early Run 2 data, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-039, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2047871>, 2015.
- [59] ATLAS Collaboration, Expected performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction for the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-023, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2037700>, 2015.
- [60] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of algorithms that reconstruct missing transverse momentum in $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV proton-proton collisions in the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 241, arXiv:1609.09324 [hep-ex].
- [61] ATLAS Collaboration, Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 653, arXiv:1608.03953 [hep-ex].
- [62] J. Wenninger, Energy calibration of the LHC beams at 4 TeV, CERN-ATS-2013-040, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1546734>, 2013.
- [63] MSTW Collaboration, A.D. Martin, et al., Parton distributions for the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 63 (2009) 189, arXiv:0901.0002 [hep-ph].
- [64] NNPDF Collaboration, R.D. Ball, et al., Parton distributions for the LHC Run II, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2015) 040, arXiv:1410.8849 [hep-ph].
- [65] C. Anastasiou, et al., High precision determination of the gluon fusion Higgs boson cross-section at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2016) 058, arXiv:1602.00695 [hep-ph].
- [66] LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group, Handbook of LHC Higgs cross sections: 4. Deciphering the nature of the Higgs sector, arXiv:1610.07922 [hep-ph], 2016.
- [67] J. Butterworth, et al., PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II, J. Phys. G 43 (2016) 023001, arXiv:1510.03865 [hep-ph].
- [68] LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group, Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 3. Higgs properties, arXiv:1307.1347 [hep-ph], 2013.

- [69] ALEPH, DELPHI, L3 and OPAL Collaborations, the LEP Electroweak Working Group, J. Alcaraz, et al., A combination of preliminary electroweak measurements and constraints on the standard model, arXiv:hep-ex/0612034, 2006.
- [70] B. Biedermann, et al., Next-to-leading-order electroweak corrections to $pp \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow 4$ leptons at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2016) 065, arXiv: 1605.03419 [hep-ph].
- [71] I.W. Stewart, F.J. Tackmann, Theory uncertainties for Higgs and other searches using jet bins, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 034011, arXiv:1107.2117 [hep-ph].
- [72] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS computing acknowledgements 2016–2017, ATL-GEN-PUB-2016-002, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2202407>.

The ATLAS Collaboration

- M. Aaboud ^{137d}, G. Aad ⁸⁸, B. Abbott ¹¹⁵, J. Abdallah ⁸, O. Abdinov ¹², B. Abelsoos ¹¹⁹, R. Aben ¹⁰⁹, O.S. AbouZeid ¹³⁹, N.L. Abraham ¹⁵¹, H. Abramowicz ¹⁵⁵, H. Abreu ¹⁵⁴, R. Abreu ¹¹⁸, Y. Abulaiti ^{148a,148b}, B.S. Acharya ^{167a,167b,a}, S. Adachi ¹⁵⁷, L. Adamczyk ^{41a}, D.L. Adams ²⁷, J. Adelman ¹¹⁰, S. Adomeit ¹⁰², T. Adye ¹³³, A.A. Affolder ⁷⁷, T. Agatonovic-Jovin ¹⁴, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra ^{128a,128f}, S.P. Ahlen ²⁴, F. Ahmadov ^{68,b}, G. Aielli ^{135a,135b}, H. Akerstedt ^{148a,148b}, T.P.A. Åkesson ⁸⁴, A.V. Akimov ⁹⁸, G.L. Alberghi ^{22a,22b}, J. Albert ¹⁷², S. Albrand ⁵⁸, M.J. Alconada Verzini ⁷⁴, M. Aleksa ³², I.N. Aleksandrov ⁶⁸, C. Alexa ^{28b}, G. Alexander ¹⁵⁵, T. Alexopoulos ¹⁰, M. Alhroob ¹¹⁵, B. Ali ¹³⁰, M. Aliev ^{76a,76b}, G. Alimonti ^{94a}, J. Alison ³³, S.P. Alkire ³⁸, B.M.M. Allbrooke ¹⁵¹, B.W. Allen ¹¹⁸, P.P. Allport ¹⁹, A. Aloisio ^{106a,106b}, A. Alonso ³⁹, F. Alonso ⁷⁴, C. Alpigiani ¹⁴⁰, A.A. Alshehri ⁵⁶, M. Alstaty ⁸⁸, B. Alvarez Gonzalez ³², D. Álvarez Piqueras ¹⁷⁰, M.G. Alviggi ^{106a,106b}, B.T. Amadio ¹⁶, Y. Amaral Coutinho ^{26a}, C. Amelung ²⁵, D. Amidei ⁹², S.P. Amor Dos Santos ^{128a,128c}, A. Amorim ^{128a,128b}, S. Amoroso ³², G. Amundsen ²⁵, C. Anastopoulos ¹⁴¹, L.S. Ancu ⁵², N. Andari ¹⁹, T. Andeen ¹¹, C.F. Anders ^{60b}, G. Anders ³², J.K. Anders ⁷⁷, K.J. Anderson ³³, A. Andreatta ^{94a,94b}, V. Andrei ^{60a}, S. Angelidakis ⁹, I. Angelozzi ¹⁰⁹, A. Angerami ³⁸, F. Anghinolfi ³², A.V. Anisenkov ^{111,c}, N. Anjos ¹³, A. Annovi ^{126a,126b}, C. Antel ^{60a}, M. Antonelli ⁵⁰, A. Antonov ^{100,*}, D.J. Antrim ¹⁶⁶, F. Anulli ^{134a}, M. Aoki ⁶⁹, L. Aperio Bella ¹⁹, G. Arabidze ⁹³, Y. Arai ⁶⁹, J.P. Araque ^{128a}, A.T.H. Arce ⁴⁸, F.A. Arduh ⁷⁴, J-F. Arguin ⁹⁷, S. Argyropoulos ⁶⁶, M. Arik ^{20a}, A.J. Armbruster ¹⁴⁵, L.J. Armitage ⁷⁹, O. Arnaez ³², H. Arnold ⁵¹, M. Arratia ³⁰, O. Arslan ²³, A. Artamonov ⁹⁹, G. Artoni ¹²², S. Artz ⁸⁶, S. Asai ¹⁵⁷, N. Asbah ⁴⁵, A. Ashkenazi ¹⁵⁵, B. Åsman ^{148a,148b}, L. Asquith ¹⁵¹, K. Assamagan ²⁷, R. Astalos ^{146a}, M. Atkinson ¹⁶⁹, N.B. Atlay ¹⁴³, K. Augsten ¹³⁰, G. Avolio ³², B. Axen ¹⁶, M.K. Ayoub ¹¹⁹, G. Azuelos ^{97,d}, M.A. Baak ³², A.E. Baas ^{60a}, M.J. Baca ¹⁹, H. Bachacou ¹³⁸, K. Bachas ^{76a,76b}, M. Backes ¹²², M. Backhaus ³², P. Bagiacchi ^{134a,134b}, P. Bagnaia ^{134a,134b}, Y. Bai ^{35a}, J.T. Baines ¹³³, M. Bajic ³⁹, O.K. Baker ¹⁷⁹, E.M. Baldin ^{111,c}, P. Balek ¹⁷⁵, T. Balestri ¹⁵⁰, F. Balli ¹³⁸, W.K. Balunas ¹²⁴, E. Banas ⁴², Sw. Banerjee ^{176,e}, A.A.E. Bannoura ¹⁷⁸, L. Barak ³², E.L. Barberio ⁹¹, D. Barberis ^{53a,53b}, M. Barbero ⁸⁸, T. Barillari ¹⁰³, M-S Barisits ³², T. Barklow ¹⁴⁵, N. Barlow ³⁰, S.L. Barnes ⁸⁷, B.M. Barnett ¹³³, R.M. Barnett ¹⁶, Z. Barnovska-Blenessy ^{36a}, A. Baroncelli ^{136a}, G. Barone ²⁵, A.J. Barr ¹²², L. Barranco Navarro ¹⁷⁰, F. Barreiro ⁸⁵, J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa ^{35a}, R. Bartoldus ¹⁴⁵, A.E. Barton ⁷⁵, P. Bartos ^{146a}, A. Basalaev ¹²⁵, A. Bassalat ^{119,f}, R.L. Bates ⁵⁶, S.J. Batista ¹⁶¹, J.R. Batley ³⁰, M. Battaglia ¹³⁹, M. Baucé ^{134a,134b}, F. Bauer ¹³⁸, H.S. Bawa ^{145,g}, J.B. Beacham ¹¹³, M.D. Beattie ⁷⁵, T. Beau ⁸³, P.H. Beauchemin ¹⁶⁵, P. Bechtle ²³, H.P. Beck ^{18,h}, K. Becker ¹²², M. Becker ⁸⁶, M. Beckingham ¹⁷³, C. Becot ¹¹², A.J. Beddall ^{20e}, A. Beddall ^{20b}, V.A. Bednyakov ⁶⁸, M. Bedognetti ¹⁰⁹, C.P. Bee ¹⁵⁰, L.J. Beemster ¹⁰⁹, T.A. Beermann ³², M. Begel ²⁷, J.K. Behr ⁴⁵, A.S. Bell ⁸¹, G. Bella ¹⁵⁵, L. Bellagamba ^{22a}, A. Bellerive ³¹, M. Bellomo ⁸⁹, K. Belotskiy ¹⁰⁰, O. Beltramello ³², N.L. Belyaev ¹⁰⁰, O. Benary ^{155,*}, D. Benchekroun ^{137a}, M. Bender ¹⁰², K. Bendtz ^{148a,148b}, N. Benekos ¹⁰, Y. Benhammou ¹⁵⁵, E. Benhar Noccioli ¹⁷⁹, J. Benitez ⁶⁶, D.P. Benjamin ⁴⁸, J.R. Bensinger ²⁵, S. Bentvelsen ¹⁰⁹, L. Beresford ¹²², M. Beretta ⁵⁰, D. Berge ¹⁰⁹, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann ¹⁶⁸, N. Berger ⁵, J. Beringer ¹⁶, S. Berlendis ⁵⁸, N.R. Bernard ⁸⁹, C. Bernius ¹¹², F.U. Bernlochner ²³, T. Berry ⁸⁰, P. Berta ¹³¹, C. Bertella ⁸⁶, G. Bertoli ^{148a,148b}, F. Bertolucci ^{126a,126b}, I.A. Bertram ⁷⁵, C. Bertsche ⁴⁵, D. Bertsche ¹¹⁵, G.J. Besjes ³⁹, O. Bessidskaia Bylund ^{148a,148b}, M. Bessner ⁴⁵, N. Besson ¹³⁸, C. Betancourt ⁵¹, A. Bethani ⁵⁸, S. Bethke ¹⁰³, A.J. Bevan ⁷⁹, R.M. Bianchi ¹²⁷, M. Bianco ³², O. Biebel ¹⁰², D. Biedermann ¹⁷, R. Bielski ⁸⁷, N.V. Biesuz ^{126a,126b}, M. Biglietti ^{136a}, J. Bilbao De Mendizabal ⁵², T.R.V. Billoul ⁹⁷, H. Bilokon ⁵⁰, M. Bindi ⁵⁷, A. Bingul ^{20b}, C. Bini ^{134a,134b}, S. Biondi ^{22a,22b}, T. Bisanz ⁵⁷, D.M. Bjergaard ⁴⁸, C.W. Black ¹⁵², J.E. Black ¹⁴⁵, K.M. Black ²⁴, D. Blackburn ¹⁴⁰, R.E. Blair ⁶, T. Blazek ^{146a}, I. Bloch ⁴⁵, C. Blocker ²⁵, A. Blue ⁵⁶, W. Blum ^{86,*}, U. Blumenschein ⁵⁷, S. Blunier ^{34a}, G.J. Bobbink ¹⁰⁹, V.S. Bobrovnikov ^{111,c}, S.S. Bocchetta ⁸⁴, A. Bocci ⁴⁸, C. Bock ¹⁰², M. Boehler ⁵¹, D. Boerner ¹⁷⁸, J.A. Bogaerts ³², D. Bogavac ¹⁴, A.G. Bogdanchikov ¹¹¹, C. Bohm ^{148a}, V. Boisvert ⁸⁰, P. Bokan ¹⁴, T. Bold ^{41a}, A.S. Boldyrev ¹⁰¹, M. Bomben ⁸³, M. Bona ⁷⁹, M. Boonekamp ¹³⁸, A. Borisov ¹³², G. Borissov ⁷⁵, J. Bortfeldt ³²,

- D. Bortoletto 122, V. Bortolotto 62a, 62b, 62c, K. Bos 109, D. Boscherini 22a, M. Bosman 13, J.D. Bossio Sola 29, J. Boudreau 127, J. Bouffard 2, E.V. Bouhova-Thacker 75, D. Boumediene 37, C. Bourdarios 119, S.K. Boutle 56, A. Boveia 32, J. Boyd 32, I.R. Boyko 68, J. Bracinik 19, A. Brandt 8, G. Brandt 57, O. Brandt 60a, U. Bratzler 158, B. Brau 89, J.E. Brau 118, W.D. Breaden Madden 56, K. Brendlinger 124, A.J. Brennan 91, L. Brenner 109, R. Brenner 168, S. Bressler 175, T.M. Bristow 49, D. Britton 56, D. Britzger 45, F.M. Brochu 30, I. Brock 23, R. Brock 93, G. Brooijmans 38, T. Brooks 80, W.K. Brooks 34b, J. Brosamer 16, E. Brost 110, J.H. Broughton 19, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom 42, D. Bruncko 146b, R. Bruneliere 51, A. Bruni 22a, G. Bruni 22a, L.S. Bruni 109, BH Brunt 30, M. Bruschi 22a, N. Bruscino 23, P. Bryant 33, L. Bryngemark 84, T. Buanes 15, Q. Buat 144, P. Buchholz 143, A.G. Buckley 56, I.A. Budagov 68, F. Buehrer 51, M.K. Bugge 121, O. Bulekov 100, D. Bullock 8, H. Burckhart 32, S. Burdin 77, C.D. Burgard 51, A.M. Burger 5, B. Burghgrave 110, K. Burka 42, S. Burke 133, I. Burmeister 46, J.T.P. Burr 122, E. Busato 37, D. Büscher 51, V. Büscher 86, P. Bussey 56, J.M. Butler 24, C.M. Buttar 56, J.M. Butterworth 81, P. Butti 109, W. Buttlinger 27, A. Buzatu 56, A.R. Buzykaev 111,c, S. Cabrera Urbán 170, D. Caforio 130, V.M. Cairo 40a, 40b, O. Cakir 4a, N. Calace 52, P. Calafiura 16, A. Calandri 88, G. Calderini 83, P. Calfayan 64, G. Callea 40a, 40b, L.P. Caloba 26a, S. Calvente Lopez 85, D. Calvet 37, S. Calvet 37, T.P. Calvet 88, R. Camacho Toro 33, S. Camarda 32, P. Camarri 135a, 135b, D. Cameron 121, R. Caminal Armadans 169, C. Camincher 58, S. Campana 32, M. Campanelli 81, A. Camplani 94a, 94b, A. Campoverde 143, V. Canale 106a, 106b, A. Canepa 163a, M. Cano Bret 36c, J. Cantero 116, T. Cao 155, M.D.M. Capeans Garrido 32, I. Caprini 28b, M. Caprini 28b, M. Capua 40a, 40b, R.M. Carbone 38, R. Cardarelli 135a, F. Cardillo 51, I. Carli 131, T. Carli 32, G. Carlino 106a, L. Carminati 94a, 94b, R.M.D. Carney 148a, 148b, S. Caron 108, E. Carquin 34b, G.D. Carrillo-Montoya 32, J.R. Carter 30, J. Carvalho 128a, 128c, D. Casadei 19, M.P. Casado 13,i, M. Casolino 13, D.W. Casper 166, E. Castaneda-Miranda 147a, R. Castelijn 109, A. Castelli 109, V. Castillo Gimenez 170, N.F. Castro 128a,j, A. Catinaccio 32, J.R. Catmore 121, A. Cattai 32, J. Caudron 23, V. Cavalieri 169, E. Cavallaro 13, D. Cavalli 94a, M. Cavalli-Sforza 13, V. Cavasinni 126a, 126b, F. Ceradini 136a, 136b, L. Cerdá Alberich 170, A.S. Cerqueira 26b, A. Cerri 151, L. Cerrito 135a, 135b, F. Cerutti 16, A. Cervelli 18, S.A. Cetin 20d, A. Chafaq 137a, D. Chakraborty 110, S.K. Chan 59, Y.L. Chan 62a, P. Chang 169, J.D. Chapman 30, D.G. Charlton 19, A. Chatterjee 52, C.C. Chau 161, C.A. Chavez Barajas 151, S. Che 113, S. Cheatham 167a, 167c, A. Chegwidden 93, S. Chekanov 6, S.V. Chekulaev 163a, G.A. Chelkov 68,k, M.A. Chelstowska 92, C. Chen 67, H. Chen 27, K. Chen 150, S. Chen 35b, S. Chen 157, X. Chen 35c, Y. Chen 70, H.C. Cheng 92, H.J. Cheng 35a, Y. Cheng 33, A. Cheplakov 68, E. Cheremushkina 132, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli 137e, V. Chernyatin 27,*., E. Cheu 7, L. Chevalier 138, V. Chiarella 50, G. Chiarelli 126a, 126b, G. Chiodini 76a, A.S. Chisholm 32, A. Chitan 28b, M.V. Chizhov 68, K. Choi 64, A.R. Chomont 37, S. Chouridou 9, B.K.B. Chow 102, V. Christodoulou 81, D. Chromek-Burckhart 32, J. Chudoba 129, A.J. Chuinard 90, J.J. Chwastowski 42, L. Chytka 117, G. Ciapetti 134a, 134b, A.K. Ciftci 4a, D. Cinca 46, V. Cindro 78, I.A. Cioara 23, C. Ciocca 22a, 22b, A. Ciocio 16, F. Cirotto 106a, 106b, Z.H. Citron 175, M. Citterio 94a, M. Ciubancan 28b, A. Clark 52, B.L. Clark 59, M.R. Clark 38, P.J. Clark 49, R.N. Clarke 16, C. Clement 148a, 148b, Y. Coadou 88, M. Cobal 167a, 167c, A. Coccaro 52, J. Cochran 67, L. Colasurdo 108, B. Cole 38, A.P. Colijn 109, J. Collot 58, T. Colombo 166, G. Compostella 103, P. Conde Muiño 128a, 128b, E. Coniavitis 51, S.H. Connell 147b, I.A. Connolly 80, V. Consorti 51, S. Constantinescu 28b, G. Conti 32, F. Conventi 106a,l, M. Cooke 16, B.D. Cooper 81, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar 122, F. Cormier 171, K.J.R. Cormier 161, T. Cornelissen 178, M. Corradi 134a, 134b, F. Corriveau 90,m, A. Cortes-Gonzalez 32, G. Cortiana 103, G. Costa 94a, M.J. Costa 170, D. Costanzo 141, G. Cottin 30, G. Cowan 80, B.E. Cox 87, K. Cranmer 112, S.J. Crawley 56, G. Cree 31, S. Crépé-Renaudin 58, F. Crescioli 83, W.A. Cribbs 148a, 148b, M. Crispin Ortizar 122, M. Cristinziani 23, V. Croft 108, G. Crosetti 40a, 40b, A. Cueto 85, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann 141, J. Cummings 179, M. Curatolo 50, J. Cúth 86, H. Czirr 143, P. Czodrowski 3, G. D’amen 22a, 22b, S. D’Auria 56, M. D’Onofrio 77, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa 128a, 128b, C. Da Via 87, W. Dabrowski 41a, T. Dado 146a, T. Dai 92, O. Dale 15, F. Dallaire 97, C. Dallapiccola 89, M. Dam 39, J.R. Dandoy 33, N.P. Dang 51, A.C. Daniells 19, N.S. Dann 87, M. Danninger 171, M. Dano Hoffmann 138, V. Dao 51, G. Darbo 53a, S. Darmora 8, J. Dassoulas 3, A. Dattagupta 118, W. Davey 23, C. David 172, T. Davidek 131, M. Davies 155, P. Davison 81, E. Dawe 91, I. Dawson 141, K. De 8, R. de Asmundis 106a, A. De Benedetti 115, S. De Castro 22a, 22b, S. De Cecco 83, N. De Groot 108, P. de Jong 109, H. De la Torre 93, F. De Lorenzi 67, A. De Maria 57, D. De Pedis 134a, A. De Salvo 134a, U. De Sanctis 151, A. De Santo 151, J.B. De Vivie De Regie 119, W.J. Dearnaley 75, R. Debbe 27, C. Debenedetti 139, D.V. Dedovich 68,

- N. Dehghanian ³, I. Deigaard ¹⁰⁹, M. Del Gaudio ^{40a,40b}, J. Del Peso ⁸⁵, T. Del Prete ^{126a,126b}, D. Delgove ¹¹⁹, F. Deliot ¹³⁸, C.M. Delitzsch ⁵², A. Dell'Acqua ³², L. Dell'Asta ²⁴, M. Dell'Orso ^{126a,126b}, M. Della Pietra ^{106a,l}, D. della Volpe ⁵², M. Delmastro ⁵, P.A. Delsart ⁵⁸, D.A. DeMarco ¹⁶¹, S. Demers ¹⁷⁹, M. Demichev ⁶⁸, A. Demilly ⁸³, S.P. Denisov ¹³², D. Denysiuk ¹³⁸, D. Derendarz ⁴², J.E. Derkaoui ^{137d}, F. Derue ⁸³, P. Dervan ⁷⁷, K. Desch ²³, C. Deterre ⁴⁵, K. Dette ⁴⁶, P.O. Deviveiros ³², A. Dewhurst ¹³³, S. Dhaliwal ²⁵, A. Di Ciaccio ^{135a,135b}, L. Di Ciaccio ⁵, W.K. Di Clemente ¹²⁴, C. Di Donato ^{106a,106b}, A. Di Girolamo ³², B. Di Girolamo ³², B. Di Micco ^{136a,136b}, R. Di Nardo ³², A. Di Simone ⁵¹, R. Di Sipio ¹⁶¹, D. Di Valentino ³¹, C. Diaconu ⁸⁸, M. Diamond ¹⁶¹, F.A. Dias ⁴⁹, M.A. Diaz ^{34a}, E.B. Diehl ⁹², J. Dietrich ¹⁷, S. Díez Cornell ⁴⁵, A. Dimitrievska ¹⁴, J. Dingfelder ²³, P. Dita ^{28b}, S. Dita ^{28b}, F. Dittus ³², F. Djama ⁸⁸, T. Djobava ^{54b}, J.I. Djuvsland ^{60a}, M.A.B. do Vale ^{26c}, D. Dobos ³², M. Dobre ^{28b}, C. Doglioni ⁸⁴, J. Dolejsi ¹³¹, Z. Dolezal ¹³¹, M. Donadelli ^{26d}, S. Donati ^{126a,126b}, P. Dondero ^{123a,123b}, J. Donini ³⁷, J. Dopke ¹³³, A. Doria ^{106a}, M.T. Dova ⁷⁴, A.T. Doyle ⁵⁶, E. Drechsler ⁵⁷, M. Dris ¹⁰, Y. Du ^{36b}, J. Duarte-Campderros ¹⁵⁵, E. Duchovni ¹⁷⁵, G. Duckeck ¹⁰², O.A. Ducu ^{97,n}, D. Duda ¹⁰⁹, A. Dudarev ³², A. Chr. Dudder ⁸⁶, E.M. Duffield ¹⁶, L. Duflot ¹¹⁹, M. Dührssen ³², M. Dumancic ¹⁷⁵, A.K. Duncan ⁵⁶, M. Dunford ^{60a}, H. Duran Yildiz ^{4a}, M. Düren ⁵⁵, A. Durglishvili ^{54b}, D. Duschinger ⁴⁷, B. Dutta ⁴⁵, M. Dyndal ⁴⁵, C. Eckardt ⁴⁵, K.M. Ecker ¹⁰³, R.C. Edgar ⁹², N.C. Edwards ⁴⁹, T. Eifert ³², G. Eigen ¹⁵, K. Einsweiler ¹⁶, T. Ekelof ¹⁶⁸, M. El Kacimi ^{137c}, V. Ellajosyula ⁸⁸, M. Ellert ¹⁶⁸, S. Elles ⁵, F. Ellinghaus ¹⁷⁸, A.A. Elliot ¹⁷², N. Ellis ³², J. Elmsheuser ²⁷, M. Elsing ³², D. Emeliyanov ¹³³, Y. Enari ¹⁵⁷, O.C. Endner ⁸⁶, J.S. Ennis ¹⁷³, J. Erdmann ⁴⁶, A. Ereditato ¹⁸, G. Ernis ¹⁷⁸, J. Ernst ², M. Ernst ²⁷, S. Errede ¹⁶⁹, E. Ertel ⁸⁶, M. Escalier ¹¹⁹, H. Esch ⁴⁶, C. Escobar ¹²⁷, B. Esposito ⁵⁰, A.I. Etienvre ¹³⁸, E. Etzion ¹⁵⁵, H. Evans ⁶⁴, A. Ezhilov ¹²⁵, M. Ezzi ^{137e}, F. Fabbri ^{22a,22b}, L. Fabbri ^{22a,22b}, G. Facini ³³, R.M. Fakhrutdinov ¹³², S. Falciano ^{134a}, R.J. Falla ⁸¹, J. Faltova ³², Y. Fang ^{35a}, M. Fanti ^{94a,94b}, A. Farbin ⁸, A. Farilla ^{136a}, C. Farina ¹²⁷, E.M. Farina ^{123a,123b}, T. Farooque ¹³, S. Farrell ¹⁶, S.M. Farrington ¹⁷³, P. Farthouat ³², F. Fassi ^{137e}, P. Fassnacht ³², D. Fassouliotis ⁹, M. Faucci Giannelli ⁸⁰, A. Favareto ^{53a,53b}, W.J. Fawcett ¹²², L. Fayard ¹¹⁹, O.L. Fedin ^{125,o}, W. Fedorko ¹⁷¹, S. Feigl ¹²¹, L. Feligioni ⁸⁸, C. Feng ^{36b}, E.J. Feng ³², H. Feng ⁹², A.B. Fenyuk ¹³², L. Feremenga ⁸, P. Fernandez Martinez ¹⁷⁰, S. Fernandez Perez ¹³, J. Ferrando ⁴⁵, A. Ferrari ¹⁶⁸, P. Ferrari ¹⁰⁹, R. Ferrari ^{123a}, D.E. Ferreira de Lima ^{60b}, A. Ferrer ¹⁷⁰, D. Ferrere ⁵², C. Ferretti ⁹², F. Fiedler ⁸⁶, A. Filipčič ⁷⁸, M. Filipuzzi ⁴⁵, F. Filthaut ¹⁰⁸, M. Fincke-Keeler ¹⁷², K.D. Finelli ¹⁵², M.C.N. Fiolhais ^{128a,128c}, L. Fiorini ¹⁷⁰, A. Fischer ², C. Fischer ¹³, J. Fischer ¹⁷⁸, W.C. Fisher ⁹³, N. Flaschel ⁴⁵, I. Fleck ¹⁴³, P. Fleischmann ⁹², G.T. Fletcher ¹⁴¹, R.R.M. Fletcher ¹²⁴, T. Flick ¹⁷⁸, B.M. Flierl ¹⁰², L.R. Flores Castillo ^{62a}, M.J. Flowerdew ¹⁰³, G.T. Forcolin ⁸⁷, A. Formica ¹³⁸, A. Forti ⁸⁷, A.G. Foster ¹⁹, D. Fournier ¹¹⁹, H. Fox ⁷⁵, S. Fracchia ¹³, P. Francavilla ⁸³, M. Franchini ^{22a,22b}, D. Francis ³², L. Franconi ¹²¹, M. Franklin ⁵⁹, M. Frate ¹⁶⁶, M. Fraternali ^{123a,123b}, D. Freeborn ⁸¹, S.M. Fressard-Batraneanu ³², F. Friedrich ⁴⁷, D. Froidevaux ³², J.A. Frost ¹²², C. Fukunaga ¹⁵⁸, E. Fullana Torregrosa ⁸⁶, T. Fusayasu ¹⁰⁴, J. Fuster ¹⁷⁰, C. Gabaldon ⁵⁸, O. Gabizon ¹⁵⁴, A. Gabrielli ^{22a,22b}, A. Gabrielli ¹⁶, G.P. Gach ^{41a}, S. Gadatsch ³², G. Gagliardi ^{53a,53b}, L.G. Gagnon ⁹⁷, P. Gagnon ⁶⁴, C. Galea ¹⁰⁸, B. Galhardo ^{128a,128c}, E.J. Gallas ¹²², B.J. Gallop ¹³³, P. Gallus ¹³⁰, G. Galster ³⁹, K.K. Gan ¹¹³, S. Ganguly ³⁷, J. Gao ^{36a}, Y. Gao ⁴⁹, Y.S. Gao ^{145,g}, F.M. Garay Walls ⁴⁹, C. García ¹⁷⁰, J.E. García Navarro ¹⁷⁰, M. Garcia-Sciveres ¹⁶, R.W. Gardner ³³, N. Garelli ¹⁴⁵, V. Garonne ¹²¹, A. Gascon Bravo ⁴⁵, K. Gasnikova ⁴⁵, C. Gatti ⁵⁰, A. Gaudiello ^{53a,53b}, G. Gaudio ^{123a}, L. Gauthier ⁹⁷, I.L. Gavrilenco ⁹⁸, C. Gay ¹⁷¹, G. Gaycken ²³, E.N. Gazis ¹⁰, Z. Gecse ¹⁷¹, C.N.P. Gee ¹³³, Ch. Geich-Gimbel ²³, M. Geisen ⁸⁶, M.P. Geisler ^{60a}, K. Gellerstedt ^{148a,148b}, C. Gemme ^{53a}, M.H. Genest ⁵⁸, C. Geng ^{36a,p}, S. Gentile ^{134a,134b}, C. Gentsos ¹⁵⁶, S. George ⁸⁰, D. Gerbaudo ¹³, A. Gershon ¹⁵⁵, S. Ghasemi ¹⁴³, M. Ghneimat ²³, B. Giacobbe ^{22a}, S. Giagu ^{134a,134b}, P. Giannetti ^{126a,126b}, S.M. Gibson ⁸⁰, M. Gignac ¹⁷¹, M. Gilchriese ¹⁶, T.P.S. Gillam ³⁰, D. Gillberg ³¹, G. Gilles ¹⁷⁸, D.M. Gingrich ^{3,d}, N. Giokaris ^{9,*}, M.P. Giordani ^{167a,167c}, F.M. Giorgi ^{22a}, P.F. Giraud ¹³⁸, P. Giromini ⁵⁹, D. Giugni ^{94a}, F. Giulia ¹²², C. Giuliani ¹⁰³, M. Giulini ^{60b}, B.K. Gjelsten ¹²¹, S. Gkaitatzis ¹⁵⁶, I. Gkialas ¹⁵⁶, E.L. Gkougkousis ¹¹⁹, L.K. Gladilin ¹⁰¹, C. Glasman ⁸⁵, J. Glatzer ¹³, P.C.F. Glaysher ⁴⁹, A. Glazov ⁴⁵, M. Goblirsch-Kolb ²⁵, J. Godlewski ⁴², S. Goldfarb ⁹¹, T. Golling ⁵², D. Golubkov ¹³², A. Gomes ^{128a,128b,128d}, R. Gonçalo ^{128a}, J. Goncalves Pinto Firmino Da Costa ¹³⁸, G. Gonella ⁵¹, L. Gonella ¹⁹, A. Gongadze ⁶⁸, S. González de la Hoz ¹⁷⁰, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla ⁵², L. Goossens ³², P.A. Gorbounov ⁹⁹, H.A. Gordon ²⁷, I. Gorelov ¹⁰⁷, B. Gorini ³², E. Gorini ^{76a,76b}, A. Goršek ⁷⁸, E. Gornicki ⁴², A.T. Goshaw ⁴⁸, C. Gössling ⁴⁶, M.I. Gostkin ⁶⁸, C.R. Goudet ¹¹⁹, D. Goujdami ^{137c}, A.G. Goussiou ¹⁴⁰, N. Govender ^{147b,q}, E. Gozani ¹⁵⁴,

- L. Gruber 57, I. Grabowska-Bold 41a, P.O.J. Gradin 58, P. Grafström 22a,22b, J. Gramling 52, E. Gramstad 121, S. Grancagnolo 17, V. Gratchev 125, P.M. Gravila 28e, H.M. Gray 32, E. Graziani 136a, Z.D. Greenwood 82,r, C. Grefe 23, K. Gregersen 81, I.M. Gregor 45, P. Grenier 145, K. Grevtsov 5, J. Griffiths 8, A.A. Grillo 139, K. Grimm 75, S. Grinstein 13,s, Ph. Gris 37, J.-F. Grivaz 119, S. Groh 86, E. Gross 175, J. Grosse-Knetter 57, G.C. Grossi 82, Z.J. Grout 81, L. Guan 92, W. Guan 176, J. Guenther 65, F. Guescini 52, D. Guest 166, O. Gueta 155, B. Gui 113, E. Guido 53a,53b, T. Guillemin 5, S. Guindon 2, U. Gul 56, C. Gumpert 32, J. Guo 36c, Y. Guo 36a,p, R. Gupta 43, S. Gupta 122, G. Gustavino 134a,134b, P. Gutierrez 115, N.G. Gutierrez Ortiz, C. Gutschow 81, C. Guyot 138, C. Gwenlan 122, C.B. Gwilliam 77, A. Haas 112, C. Haber 16, H.K. Hadavand 8, N. Haddad 137e, A. Hadef 88, S. Hageböck 23, M. Hagihara 164, Z. Hajduk 42, H. Hakobyan 180,* , M. Haleem 45, J. Haley 116, G. Halladjian 93, G.D. Hallewell 88, K. Hamacher 178, P. Hamal 117, K. Hamano 172, A. Hamilton 147a, G.N. Hamity 141, P.G. Hamnett 45, L. Han 36a, K. Hanagaki 69,t, K. Hanawa 157, M. Hance 139, B. Haney 124, P. Hanke 60a, R. Hanna 138, J.B. Hansen 39, J.D. Hansen 39, M.C. Hansen 23, P.H. Hansen 39, K. Hara 164, A.S. Hard 176, T. Harenberg 178, F. Hariri 119, S. Harkusha 95, R.D. Harrington 49, P.F. Harrison 173, F. Hartjes 109, N.M. Hartmann 102, M. Hasegawa 70, Y. Hasegawa 142, A. Hasib 115, S. Hassani 138, S. Haug 18, R. Hauser 93, L. Hauswald 47, M. Havranek 129, C.M. Hawkes 19, R.J. Hawkings 32, D. Hayakawa 159, D. Hayden 93, C.P. Hays 122, J.M. Hays 79, H.S. Hayward 77, S.J. Haywood 133, S.J. Head 19, T. Heck 86, V. Hedberg 84, L. Heelan 8, S. Heim 124, T. Heim 16, B. Heinemann 16, J.J. Heinrich 102, L. Heinrich 112, C. Heinz 55, J. Hejbal 129, L. Helary 32, S. Hellman 148a,148b, C. Helsens 32, J. Henderson 122, R.C.W. Henderson 75, Y. Heng 176, S. Henkelmann 171, A.M. Henriques Correia 32, S. Henrot-Versille 119, G.H. Herbert 17, H. Herde 25, V. Herget 177, Y. Hernández Jiménez 147c, G. Herten 51, R. Hertenberger 102, L. Hervas 32, G.G. Hesketh 81, N.P. Hessey 109, J.W. Hetherly 43, E. Higón-Rodriguez 170, E. Hill 172, J.C. Hill 30, K.H. Hiller 45, S.J. Hillier 19, I. Hinchliffe 16, E. Hines 124, R.R. Hinman 16, M. Hirose 51, D. Hirschbuehl 178, X. Hoad 49, J. Hobbs 150, N. Hod 163a, M.C. Hodgkinson 141, P. Hodgson 141, A. Hoecker 32, M.R. Hoeferkamp 107, F. Hoenig 102, D. Hohn 23, T.R. Holmes 16, M. Homann 46, T. Honda 69, T.M. Hong 127, B.H. Hooberman 169, W.H. Hopkins 118, Y. Horii 105, A.J. Horton 144, J-Y. Hostachy 58, S. Hou 153, A. Hoummada 137a, J. Howarth 45, J. Hoya 74, M. Hrabovsky 117, I. Hristova 17, J. Hrivnac 119, T. Hrynn'ova 5, A. Hrynevich 96, P.J. Hsu 63, S.-C. Hsu 140, Q. Hu 36a, S. Hu 36c, Y. Huang 45, Z. Hubacek 130, F. Hubaut 88, F. Huegging 23, T.B. Huffman 122, E.W. Hughes 38, G. Hughes 75, M. Huhtinen 32, P. Huo 150, N. Huseynov 68,b, J. Huston 93, J. Huth 59, G. Iacobucci 52, G. Iakovidis 27, I. Ibragimov 143, L. Iconomidou-Fayard 119, E. Ideal 179, Z. Idrissi 137e, P. Iengo 32, O. Igolkina 109,u, T. Iizawa 174, Y. Ikegami 69, M. Ikeno 69, Y. Ilchenko 11,v, D. Iliadis 156, N. Ilic 145, G. Introzzi 123a,123b, P. Ioannou 9,* , M. Iodice 136a, K. Iordanidou 38, V. Ippolito 59, N. Ishijima 120, M. Ishino 157, M. Ishitsuka 159, R. Ishmukhametov 113, C. Issever 122, S. Isti 20a, F. Ito 164, J.M. Iturbe Ponce 87, R. Iuppa 162a,162b, W. Iwanski 65, H. Iwasaki 69, J.M. Izen 44, V. Izzo 106a, S. Jabbar 3, B. Jackson 124, P. Jackson 1, V. Jain 2, K.B. Jakobi 86, K. Jakobs 51, S. Jakobsen 32, T. Jakoubek 129, D.O. Jamin 116, D.K. Jana 82, R. Jansky 65, J. Janssen 23, M. Janus 57, P.A. Janus 41a, G. Jarlskog 84, N. Javadov 68,b, T. Javurek 51, M. Javurkova 51, F. Jeanneau 138, L. Jeanty 16, J. Jejelava 54a,w, G.-Y. Jeng 152, D. Jennens 91, P. Jenni 51,x, C. Jeske 173, S. Jézéquel 5, H. Ji 176, J. Jia 150, H. Jiang 67, Y. Jiang 36a, Z. Jiang 145, S. Jiggins 81, J. Jimenez Pena 170, S. Jin 35a, A. Jinaru 28b, O. Jinnouchi 159, H. Jivan 147c, P. Johansson 141, K.A. Johns 7, W.J. Johnson 140, K. Jon-And 148a,148b, G. Jones 173, R.W.L. Jones 75, S. Jones 7, T.J. Jones 77, J. Jongmanns 60a, P.M. Jorge 128a,128b, J. Jovicevic 163a, X. Ju 176, A. Juste Rozas 13,s, M.K. Köhler 175, A. Kaczmarśka 42, M. Kado 119, H. Kagan 113, M. Kagan 145, S.J. Kahn 88, T. Kaji 174, E. Kajomovitz 48, C.W. Kalderon 122, A. Kaluza 86, S. Kama 43, A. Kamenshchikov 132, N. Kanaya 157, S. Kaneti 30, L. Kanjur 78, V.A. Kantserov 100, J. Kanzaki 69, B. Kaplan 112, L.S. Kaplan 176, A. Kapliy 33, D. Kar 147c, K. Karakostas 10, A. Karamaoun 3, N. Karastathis 10, M.J. Kareem 57, E. Karentzos 10, M. Karnevskiy 86, S.N. Karpov 68, Z.M. Karpova 68, K. Karthik 112, V. Kartvelishvili 75, A.N. Karyukhin 132, K. Kasahara 164, L. Kashif 176, R.D. Kass 113, A. Kastanas 149, Y. Kataoka 157, C. Kato 157, A. Katre 52, J. Katzy 45, K. Kawade 105, K. Kawagoe 73, T. Kawamoto 157, G. Kawamura 57, V.F. Kazanin 111,c, R. Keeler 172, R. Kehoe 43, J.S. Keller 45, J.J. Kempster 80, H. Keoshkerian 161, O. Kepka 129, B.P. Kerševan 78, S. Kersten 178, R.A. Keyes 90, M. Khader 169, F. Khalil-zada 12, A. Khanov 116, A.G. Kharlamov 111,c, T. Kharlamova 111,c, T.J. Khoo 52, V. Khovanskiy 99, E. Khramov 68, J. Khubua 54b,y, S. Kido 70, C.R. Kilby 80, H.Y. Kim 8, S.H. Kim 164, Y.K. Kim 33, N. Kimura 156, O.M. Kind 17, B.T. King 77, M. King 170, J. Kirk 133, A.E. Kiryunin 103,

- T. Kishimoto 157, D. Kisielewska 41a, F. Kiss 51, K. Kiuchi 164, O. Kivernyk 138, E. Kladiva 146b, M.H. Klein 38, M. Klein 77, U. Klein 77, K. Kleinknecht 86, P. Klimek 110, A. Klimentov 27, R. Klingenberg 46, T. Klioutchnikova 32, E.-E. Kluge 60a, P. Kluit 109, S. Kluth 103, J. Knapik 42, E. Knerner 65, E.B.F.G. Knoops 88, A. Knue 103, A. Kobayashi 157, D. Kobayashi 159, T. Kobayashi 157, M. Kobel 47, M. Kocian 145, P. Kodys 131, T. Koffman 109, N.M. Köhler 103, T. Koi 145, H. Kolanoski 17, M. Kolb 60b, I. Koletsou 5, A.A. Komar 98,* Y. Komori 157, T. Kondo 69, N. Kondrashova 36c, K. Köneke 51, A.C. König 108, T. Kono 69,z, R. Konoplich 112,aa, N. Konstantinidis 81, R. Kopeliansky 64, S. Koperny 41a, L. Köpke 86, A.K. Kopp 51, K. Korcyl 42, K. Kordas 156, A. Korn 81, A.A. Korol 111,c, I. Korolkov 13, E.V. Korolkova 141, O. Kortner 103, S. Kortner 103, T. Kosek 131, V.V. Kostyukhin 23, A. Kotwal 48, A. Koulouris 10, A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi 123a,123b, C. Kourkoumelis 9, V. Kouskoura 27, A.B. Kowalewska 42, R. Kowalewski 172, T.Z. Kowalski 41a, C. Kozakai 157, W. Kozanecki 138, A.S. Kozhin 132, V.A. Kramarenko 101, G. Kramberger 78, D. Krasnoperovtsev 100, M.W. Krasny 83, A. Krasznahorkay 32, A. Kravchenko 27, M. Kretz 60c, J. Kretzschmar 77, K. Kreutzfeldt 55, P. Krieger 161, K. Krizka 33, K. Kroeninger 46, H. Kroha 103, J. Kroll 124, J. Kroeseberg 23, J. Krstic 14, U. Kruchonak 68, H. Krüger 23, N. Krumnack 67, M.C. Kruse 48, M. Kruskal 24, T. Kubota 91, H. Kucuk 81, S. Kuday 4b, J.T. Kuechler 178, S. Kuehn 51, A. Kugel 60c, F. Kuger 177, T. Kuhl 45, V. Kukhtin 68, R. Kukla 138, Y. Kulchitsky 95, S. Kuleshov 34b, M. Kuna 134a,134b, T. Kunigo 71, A. Kupco 129, H. Kurashige 70, L.L. Kurchaninov 163a, Y.A. Kurochkin 95, M.G. Kurth 44, V. Kus 129, E.S. Kuwertz 172, M. Kuze 159, J. Kvita 117, T. Kwan 172, D. Kyriazopoulos 141, A. La Rosa 103, J.L. La Rosa Navarro 26d, L. La Rotonda 40a,40b, C. Lacasta 170, F. Lacava 134a,134b, J. Lacey 31, H. Lacker 17, D. Lacour 83, V.R. Lacuesta 170, E. Ladygin 68, R. Lafaye 5, B. Laforge 83, T. Lagouri 179, S. Lai 57, S. Lammers 64, W. Lampl 7, E. Lançon 138, U. Landgraf 51, M.P.J. Landon 79, M.C. Lanfermann 52, V.S. Lang 60a, J.C. Lange 13, A.J. Lankford 166, F. Lanni 27, K. Lantzsch 23, A. Lanza 123a, S. Laplace 83, C. Lapoire 32, J.F. Laporte 138, T. Lari 94a, F. Lasagni Manghi 22a,22b, M. Lassnig 32, P. Laurelli 50, W. Lavrijsen 16, A.T. Law 139, P. Laycock 77, T. Lazovich 59, M. Lazzaroni 94a,94b, B. Le 91, O. Le Dortz 83, E. Le Guirriec 88, E.P. Le Quilleuc 138, M. LeBlanc 172, T. LeCompte 6, F. Ledroit-Guillon 58, C.A. Lee 27, S.C. Lee 153, L. Lee 1, B. Lefebvre 90, G. Lefebvre 83, M. Lefebvre 172, F. Legger 102, C. Leggett 16, A. Lehan 77, G. Lehmann Miotto 32, X. Lei 7, W.A. Leight 31, A.G. Leister 179, M.A.L. Leite 26d, R. Leitner 131, D. Lellouch 175, B. Lemmer 57, K.J.C. Leney 81, T. Lenz 23, B. Lenzi 32, R. Leone 7, S. Leone 126a,126b, C. Leonidopoulos 49, S. Leontsinis 10, G. Lerner 151, C. Leroy 97, A.A.J. Lesage 138, C.G. Lester 30, M. Levchenko 125, J. Levêque 5, D. Levin 92, L.J. Levinson 175, M. Levy 19, D. Lewis 79, M. Leyton 44, B. Li 36a,p, C. Li 36a, H. Li 150, L. Li 48, L. Li 36c, Q. Li 35a, S. Li 48, X. Li 87, Y. Li 143, Z. Liang 35a, B. Liberti 135a, A. Liblong 161, P. Lichard 32, K. Lie 169, J. Liebal 23, W. Liebig 15, A. Limosani 152, S.C. Lin 153,ab, T.H. Lin 86, B.E. Lindquist 150, A.E. Lioni 52, E. Lipeles 124, A. Lipniacka 15, M. Lisovyi 60b, T.M. Liss 169, A. Lister 171, A.M. Litke 139, B. Liu 153,ac, D. Liu 153, H. Liu 92, H. Liu 27, J. Liu 36b, J.B. Liu 36a, K. Liu 88, L. Liu 169, M. Liu 36a, Y.L. Liu 36a, Y. Liu 36a, M. Livan 123a,123b, A. Lleres 58, J. Llorente Merino 35a, S.L. Lloyd 79, F. Lo Sterzo 153, E.M. Lobodzinska 45, P. Loch 7, F.K. Loebinger 87, K.M. Loew 25, A. Loginov 179,* T. Lohse 17, K. Lohwasser 45, M. Lokajicek 129, B.A. Long 24, J.D. Long 169, R.E. Long 75, L. Longo 76a,76b, K.A.Looper 113, J.A. Lopez 34b, D. Lopez Mateos 59, B. Lopez Paredes 141, I. Lopez Paz 13, A. Lopez Solis 83, J. Lorenz 102, N. Lorenzo Martinez 64, M. Losada 21, P.J. Lösel 102, X. Lou 35a, A. Lounis 119, J. Love 6, P.A. Love 75, H. Lu 62a, N. Lu 92, H.J. Lubatti 140, C. Luci 134a,134b, A. Lucotte 58, C. Luedtke 51, F. Luehring 64, W. Lukas 65, L. Luminari 134a, O. Lundberg 148a,148b, B. Lund-Jensen 149, P.M. Luzi 83, D. Lynn 27, R. Lysak 129, E. Lytken 84, V. Lyubushkin 68, H. Ma 27, L.L. Ma 36b, Y. Ma 36b, G. Maccarrone 50, A. Macchiolo 103, C.M. Macdonald 141, B. Maček 78, J. Machado Miguens 124,128b, D. Madaffari 88, R. Madar 37, H.J. Maddocks 168, W.F. Mader 47, A. Madsen 45, J. Maeda 70, S. Maeland 15, T. Maeno 27, A. Maevskiy 101, E. Magradze 57, J. Mahlstedt 109, C. Maiani 119, C. Maidantchik 26a, A.A. Maier 103, T. Maier 102, A. Maio 128a,128b,128d, S. Majewski 118, Y. Makida 69, N. Makovec 119, B. Malaescu 83, Pa. Malecki 42, V.P. Maleev 125, F. Malek 58, U. Mallik 66, D. Malon 6, C. Malone 145, C. Malone 30, S. Maltezos 10, S. Malyukov 32, J. Mamuzic 170, G. Mancini 50, L. Mandelli 94a, I. Mandić 78, J. Maneira 128a,128b, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho 26b, J. Manjarres Ramos 163b, A. Mann 102, A. Manousos 32, B. Mansoulie 138, J.D. Mansour 35a, R. Mantifel 90, M. Mantoani 57, S. Manzoni 94a,94b, L. Mapelli 32, G. Marceca 29, L. March 52, G. Marchiori 83, M. Marcisovsky 129, M. Marjanovic 14, D.E. Marley 92, F. Marroquim 26a, S.P. Marsden 87, Z. Marshall 16, S. Marti-Garcia 170, B. Martin 93,

- T.A. Martin ¹⁷³, V.J. Martin ⁴⁹, B. Martin dit Latour ¹⁵, M. Martinez ^{13,s}, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn ¹⁶⁹, S. Martin-Haugh ¹³³, V.S. Martoiu ^{28b}, A.C. Martyniuk ⁸¹, A. Marzin ³², L. Masetti ⁸⁶, T. Mashimo ¹⁵⁷, R. Mashinistov ⁹⁸, J. Masik ⁸⁷, A.L. Maslennikov ^{111,c}, I. Massa ^{22a,22b}, L. Massa ^{22a,22b}, P. Mastrandrea ⁵, A. Mastroberardino ^{40a,40b}, T. Masubuchi ¹⁵⁷, P. Mättig ¹⁷⁸, J. Mattmann ⁸⁶, J. Maurer ^{28b}, S.J. Maxfield ⁷⁷, D.A. Maximov ^{111,c}, R. Mazini ¹⁵³, I. Maznas ¹⁵⁶, S.M. Mazza ^{94a,94b}, N.C. Mc Fadden ¹⁰⁷, G. Mc Goldrick ¹⁶¹, S.P. Mc Kee ⁹², A. McCarn ⁹², R.L. McCarthy ¹⁵⁰, T.G. McCarthy ¹⁰³, L.I. McClymont ⁸¹, E.F. McDonald ⁹¹, J.A. McFayden ⁸¹, G. Mchedlidze ⁵⁷, S.J. McMahon ¹³³, R.A. McPherson ^{172,m}, M. Medinnis ⁴⁵, S. Meehan ¹⁴⁰, S. Mehlhase ¹⁰², A. Mehta ⁷⁷, K. Meier ^{60a}, C. Meineck ¹⁰², B. Meirose ⁴⁴, D. Melini ^{170,ad}, B.R. Mellado Garcia ^{147c}, M. Melo ^{146a}, F. Meloni ¹⁸, S.B. Menary ⁸⁷, L. Meng ⁷⁷, X.T. Meng ⁹², A. Mengarelli ^{22a,22b}, S. Menke ¹⁰³, E. Meoni ¹⁶⁵, S. Mergelmeyer ¹⁷, P. Mermod ⁵², L. Merola ^{106a,106b}, C. Meroni ^{94a}, F.S. Merritt ³³, A. Messina ^{134a,134b}, J. Metcalfe ⁶, A.S. Mete ¹⁶⁶, C. Meyer ⁸⁶, C. Meyer ¹²⁴, J-P. Meyer ¹³⁸, J. Meyer ¹⁰⁹, H. Meyer Zu Theenhausen ^{60a}, F. Miano ¹⁵¹, R.P. Middleton ¹³³, S. Miglioranzi ^{53a,53b}, L. Mijović ⁴⁹, G. Mikenberg ¹⁷⁵, M. Mikestikova ¹²⁹, M. Mikuž ⁷⁸, M. Milesi ⁹¹, A. Milic ²⁷, D.W. Miller ³³, C. Mills ⁴⁹, A. Milov ¹⁷⁵, D.A. Milstead ^{148a,148b}, A.A. Minaenko ¹³², Y. Minami ¹⁵⁷, I.A. Minashvili ⁶⁸, A.I. Mincer ¹¹², B. Mindur ^{41a}, M. Mineev ⁶⁸, Y. Minegishi ¹⁵⁷, Y. Ming ¹⁷⁶, L.M. Mir ¹³, K.P. Mistry ¹²⁴, T. Mitani ¹⁷⁴, J. Mitrevski ¹⁰², V.A. Mitsou ¹⁷⁰, A. Miucci ¹⁸, P.S. Miyagawa ¹⁴¹, A. Mizukami ⁶⁹, J.U. Mjörnmark ⁸⁴, M. Mlynarikova ¹³¹, T. Moa ^{148a,148b}, K. Mochizuki ⁹⁷, P. Mogg ⁵¹, S. Mohapatra ³⁸, S. Molander ^{148a,148b}, R. Moles-Valls ²³, R. Monden ⁷¹, M.C. Mondragon ⁹³, K. Mönig ⁴⁵, J. Monk ³⁹, E. Monnier ⁸⁸, A. Montalbano ¹⁵⁰, J. Montejo Berlingen ³², F. Monticelli ⁷⁴, S. Monzani ^{94a,94b}, R.W. Moore ³, N. Morange ¹¹⁹, D. Moreno ²¹, M. Moreno Llácer ⁵⁷, P. Morettini ^{53a}, S. Morgenstern ³², D. Mori ¹⁴⁴, T. Mori ¹⁵⁷, M. Morii ⁵⁹, M. Morinaga ¹⁵⁷, V. Morisbak ¹²¹, S. Moritz ⁸⁶, A.K. Morley ¹⁵², G. Mornacchi ³², J.D. Morris ⁷⁹, S.S. Mortensen ³⁹, L. Morvaj ¹⁵⁰, P. Moschovakos ¹⁰, M. Mosidze ^{54b}, H.J. Moss ¹⁴¹, J. Moss ^{145,ae}, K. Motohashi ¹⁵⁹, R. Mount ¹⁴⁵, E. Mountricha ²⁷, E.J.W. Moyse ⁸⁹, S. Muanza ⁸⁸, R.D. Mudd ¹⁹, F. Mueller ¹⁰³, J. Mueller ¹²⁷, R.S.P. Mueller ¹⁰², T. Mueller ³⁰, D. Muenstermann ⁷⁵, P. Mullen ⁵⁶, G.A. Mullier ¹⁸, F.J. Munoz Sanchez ⁸⁷, J.A. Murillo Quijada ¹⁹, W.J. Murray ^{173,133}, H. Musheghyan ⁵⁷, M. Muškinja ⁷⁸, A.G. Myagkov ^{132,af}, M. Myska ¹³⁰, B.P. Nachman ¹⁴⁵, O. Nackenhorst ⁵², K. Nagai ¹²², R. Nagai ^{69,z}, K. Nagano ⁶⁹, Y. Nagasaka ⁶¹, K. Nagata ¹⁶⁴, M. Nagel ⁵¹, E. Nagy ⁸⁸, A.M. Nairz ³², Y. Nakahama ¹⁰⁵, K. Nakamura ⁶⁹, T. Nakamura ¹⁵⁷, I. Nakano ¹¹⁴, R.F. Naranjo Garcia ⁴⁵, R. Narayan ¹¹, D.I. Narriás Villar ^{60a}, I. Naryshkin ¹²⁵, T. Naumann ⁴⁵, G. Navarro ²¹, R. Nayyar ⁷, H.A. Neal ⁹², P.Yu. Nechaeva ⁹⁸, T.J. Neep ⁸⁷, A. Negri ^{123a,123b}, M. Negrini ^{22a}, S. Nektarijevic ¹⁰⁸, C. Nellist ¹¹⁹, A. Nelson ¹⁶⁶, S. Nemecek ¹²⁹, P. Nemethy ¹¹², A.A. Nepomuceno ^{26a}, M. Nessi ^{32,ag}, M.S. Neubauer ¹⁶⁹, M. Neumann ¹⁷⁸, R.M. Neves ¹¹², P. Nevski ²⁷, P.R. Newman ¹⁹, D.H. Nguyen ⁶, T. Nguyen Manh ⁹⁷, R.B. Nickerson ¹²², R. Nicolaïdou ¹³⁸, J. Nielsen ¹³⁹, A. Nikiforov ¹⁷, V. Nikolaenko ^{132,af}, I. Nikolic-Audit ⁸³, K. Nikolopoulos ¹⁹, J.K. Nilsen ¹²¹, P. Nilsson ²⁷, Y. Ninomiya ¹⁵⁷, A. Nisati ^{134a}, R. Nisius ¹⁰³, T. Nobe ¹⁵⁷, M. Nomachi ¹²⁰, I. Nomidis ³¹, T. Nooney ⁷⁹, S. Norberg ¹¹⁵, M. Nordberg ³², N. Norjoharuddeen ¹²², O. Novgorodova ⁴⁷, S. Nowak ¹⁰³, M. Nozaki ⁶⁹, L. Nozka ¹¹⁷, K. Ntekas ¹⁶⁶, E. Nurse ⁸¹, F. Nuti ⁹¹, F. O'grady ⁷, D.C. O'Neil ¹⁴⁴, A.A. O'Rourke ⁴⁵, V. O'Shea ⁵⁶, F.G. Oakham ^{31,d}, H. Oberlack ¹⁰³, T. Obermann ²³, J. Ocariz ⁸³, A. Ochi ⁷⁰, I. Ochoa ³⁸, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux ^{34a}, S. Oda ⁷³, S. Odaka ⁶⁹, H. Ogren ⁶⁴, A. Oh ⁸⁷, S.H. Oh ⁴⁸, C.C. Ohm ¹⁶, H. Ohman ¹⁶⁸, H. Oide ^{53a,53b}, H. Okawa ¹⁶⁴, Y. Okumura ¹⁵⁷, T. Okuyama ⁶⁹, A. Olariu ^{28b}, L.F. Oleiro Seabra ^{128a}, S.A. Olivares Pino ⁴⁹, D. Oliveira Damazio ²⁷, A. Olszewski ⁴², J. Olszowska ⁴², A. Onofre ^{128a,128e}, K. Onogi ¹⁰⁵, P.U.E. Onyisi ^{11,v}, M.J. Oreglia ³³, Y. Oren ¹⁵⁵, D. Orestano ^{136a,136b}, N. Orlando ^{62b}, R.S. Orr ¹⁶¹, B. Osculati ^{53a,53b,*}, R. Ospanov ⁸⁷, G. Otero y Garzon ²⁹, H. Otono ⁷³, M. Ouchrif ^{137d}, F. Ould-Saada ¹²¹, A. Ouraou ¹³⁸, K.P. Oussoren ¹⁰⁹, Q. Ouyang ^{35a}, M. Owen ⁵⁶, R.E. Owen ¹⁹, V.E. Ozcan ^{20a}, N. Ozturk ⁸, K. Pachal ¹⁴⁴, A. Pacheco Pages ¹³, L. Pacheco Rodriguez ¹³⁸, C. Padilla Aranda ¹³, S. Pagan Griso ¹⁶, M. Paganini ¹⁷⁹, F. Paige ²⁷, P. Pais ⁸⁹, K. Pajchel ¹²¹, G. Palacino ⁶⁴, S. Palazzo ^{40a,40b}, S. Palestini ³², M. Palka ^{41b}, D. Pallin ³⁷, E. St. Panagiotopoulou ¹⁰, I. Panagoulias ¹⁰, C.E. Pandini ⁸³, J.G. Panduro Vazquez ⁸⁰, P. Pani ^{148a,148b}, S. Panitkin ²⁷, D. Pantea ^{28b}, L. Paolozzi ⁵², Th.D. Papadopoulou ¹⁰, K. Papageorgiou ¹⁵⁶, A. Paramonov ⁶, D. Paredes Hernandez ¹⁷⁹, A.J. Parker ⁷⁵, M.A. Parker ³⁰, K.A. Parker ¹⁴¹, F. Parodi ^{53a,53b}, J.A. Parsons ³⁸, U. Parzefall ⁵¹, V.R. Pascuzzi ¹⁶¹, E. Pasqualucci ^{134a}, S. Passaggio ^{53a}, Fr. Pastore ⁸⁰, G. Pásztor ^{31,ah}, S. Pataraia ¹⁷⁸, J.R. Pater ⁸⁷, T. Pauly ³², J. Pearce ¹⁷², B. Pearson ¹¹⁵, L.E. Pedersen ³⁹, M. Pedersen ¹²¹, S. Pedraza Lopez ¹⁷⁰, R. Pedro ^{128a,128b}, S.V. Peleganchuk ^{111,c}, O. Penc ¹²⁹, C. Peng ^{35a},

- H. Peng ^{36a}, J. Penwell ⁶⁴, B.S. Peralva ^{26b}, M.M. Perego ¹³⁸, D.V. Perepelitsa ²⁷, E. Perez Codina ^{163a},
 L. Perini ^{94a,94b}, H. Pernegger ³², S. Perrella ^{106a,106b}, R. Peschke ⁴⁵, V.D. Peshekhonov ⁶⁸, K. Peters ⁴⁵,
 R.F.Y. Peters ⁸⁷, B.A. Petersen ³², T.C. Petersen ³⁹, E. Petit ⁵⁸, A. Petridis ¹, C. Petridou ¹⁵⁶, P. Petroff ¹¹⁹,
 E. Petrolo ^{134a}, M. Petrov ¹²², F. Petrucci ^{136a,136b}, N.E. Pettersson ⁸⁹, A. Peyaud ¹³⁸, R. Pezoa ^{34b},
 P.W. Phillips ¹³³, G. Piacquadio ^{145,ai}, E. Pianori ¹⁷³, A. Picazio ⁸⁹, E. Piccaro ⁷⁹, M. Piccinini ^{22a,22b},
 M.A. Pickering ¹²², R. Piegaia ²⁹, J.E. Pilcher ³³, A.D. Pilkington ⁸⁷, A.W.J. Pin ⁸⁷, M. Pinamonti ^{167a,167c,aj},
 J.L. Pinfold ³, A. Pingel ³⁹, S. Pires ⁸³, H. Pirumov ⁴⁵, M. Pitt ¹⁷⁵, L. Plazak ^{146a}, M.-A. Pleier ²⁷, V. Pleskot ⁸⁶,
 E. Plotnikova ⁶⁸, D. Pluth ⁶⁷, R. Poettgen ^{148a,148b}, L. Poggioli ¹¹⁹, D. Pohl ²³, G. Polesello ^{123a}, A. Poley ⁴⁵,
 A. Policicchio ^{40a,40b}, R. Polifka ¹⁶¹, A. Polini ^{22a}, C.S. Pollard ⁵⁶, V. Polychronakos ²⁷, K. Pommès ³²,
 L. Pontecorvo ^{134a}, B.G. Pope ⁹³, G.A. Popeneiciu ^{28c}, A. Poppleton ³², S. Pospisil ¹³⁰, K. Potamianos ¹⁶,
 I.N. Potrap ⁶⁸, C.J. Potter ³⁰, C.T. Potter ¹¹⁸, G. Poulard ³², J. Poveda ³², V. Pozdnyakov ⁶⁸,
 M.E. Pozo Astigarraga ³², P. Pralavorio ⁸⁸, A. Pranko ¹⁶, S. Prell ⁶⁷, D. Price ⁸⁷, L.E. Price ⁶, M. Primavera ^{76a},
 S. Prince ⁹⁰, K. Prokofiev ^{62c}, F. Prokoshin ^{34b}, S. Protopopescu ²⁷, J. Proudfoot ⁶, M. Przybycien ^{41a},
 D. Puddu ^{136a,136b}, M. Purohit ^{27,ak}, P. Puzo ¹¹⁹, J. Qian ⁹², G. Qin ⁵⁶, Y. Qin ⁸⁷, A. Quadt ⁵⁷,
 W.B. Quayle ^{167a,167b}, M. Queitsch-Maitland ⁴⁵, D. Quilty ⁵⁶, S. Raddum ¹²¹, V. Radeka ²⁷, V. Radescu ¹²²,
 S.K. Radhakrishnan ¹⁵⁰, P. Radloff ¹¹⁸, P. Rados ⁹¹, F. Ragusa ^{94a,94b}, G. Rahal ¹⁸¹, J.A. Raine ⁸⁷,
 S. Rajagopalan ²⁷, M. Rammensee ³², C. Rangel-Smith ¹⁶⁸, M.G. Ratti ^{94a,94b}, D.M. Rauch ⁴⁵, F. Rauscher ¹⁰²,
 S. Rave ⁸⁶, T. Ravenscroft ⁵⁶, I. Ravinovich ¹⁷⁵, M. Raymond ³², A.L. Read ¹²¹, N.P. Readioff ⁷⁷,
 M. Reale ^{76a,76b}, D.M. Rebuzzi ^{123a,123b}, A. Redelbach ¹⁷⁷, G. Redlinger ²⁷, R. Reece ¹³⁹, R.G. Reed ^{147c},
 K. Reeves ⁴⁴, L. Rehnisch ¹⁷, J. Reichert ¹²⁴, A. Reiss ⁸⁶, C. Rembser ³², H. Ren ^{35a}, M. Rescigno ^{134a},
 S. Resconi ^{94a}, O.L. Rezanova ^{111,c}, P. Reznicek ¹³¹, R. Rezvani ⁹⁷, R. Richter ¹⁰³, S. Richter ⁸¹,
 E. Richter-Was ^{41b}, O. Ricken ²³, M. Ridel ⁸³, P. Rieck ¹⁷, C.J. Riegel ¹⁷⁸, J. Rieger ⁵⁷, O. Rifki ¹¹⁵,
 M. Rijssenbeek ¹⁵⁰, A. Rimoldi ^{123a,123b}, M. Rimoldi ¹⁸, L. Rinaldi ^{22a}, B. Ristić ⁵², E. Ritsch ³², I. Riu ¹³,
 F. Rizatdinova ¹¹⁶, E. Rizvi ⁷⁹, C. Rizzi ¹³, S.H. Robertson ^{90,m}, A. Robichaud-Veronneau ⁹⁰, D. Robinson ³⁰,
 J.E.M. Robinson ⁴⁵, A. Robson ⁵⁶, C. Roda ^{126a,126b}, Y. Rodina ^{88,al}, A. Rodriguez Perez ¹³,
 D. Rodriguez Rodriguez ¹⁷⁰, S. Roe ³², C.S. Rogan ⁵⁹, O. Røhne ¹²¹, J. Roloff ⁵⁹, A. Romanouk ¹⁰⁰,
 M. Romano ^{22a,22b}, S.M. Romano Saez ³⁷, E. Romero Adam ¹⁷⁰, N. Rompotis ¹⁴⁰, M. Ronzani ⁵¹, L. Roos ⁸³,
 E. Ros ¹⁷⁰, S. Rosati ^{134a}, K. Rosbach ⁵¹, P. Rose ¹³⁹, N.-A. Rosien ⁵⁷, V. Rossetti ^{148a,148b}, E. Rossi ^{106a,106b},
 L.P. Rossi ^{53a}, J.H.N. Rosten ³⁰, R. Rosten ¹⁴⁰, M. Rotaru ^{28b}, I. Roth ¹⁷⁵, J. Rothberg ¹⁴⁰, D. Rousseau ¹¹⁹,
 A. Rozanov ⁸⁸, Y. Rozen ¹⁵⁴, X. Ruan ^{147c}, F. Rubbo ¹⁴⁵, M.S. Rudolph ¹⁶¹, F. Rühr ⁵¹, A. Ruiz-Martinez ³¹,
 Z. Rurikova ⁵¹, N.A. Rusakovich ⁶⁸, A. Ruschke ¹⁰², H.L. Russell ¹⁴⁰, J.P. Rutherford ⁷, N. Ruthmann ³²,
 Y.F. Ryabov ¹²⁵, M. Rybar ¹⁶⁹, G. Rybkin ¹¹⁹, S. Ryu ⁶, A. Ryzhov ¹³², G.F. Rzeborz ⁵⁷, A.F. Saavedra ¹⁵²,
 G. Sabato ¹⁰⁹, S. Sacerdoti ²⁹, H.F.-W. Sadrozinski ¹³⁹, R. Sadykov ⁶⁸, F. Safai Tehrani ^{134a}, P. Saha ¹¹⁰,
 M. Sahinsoy ^{60a}, M. Saimpert ¹³⁸, T. Saito ¹⁵⁷, H. Sakamoto ¹⁵⁷, Y. Sakurai ¹⁷⁴, G. Salamanna ^{136a,136b},
 A. Salamon ^{135a,135b}, J.E. Salazar Loyola ^{34b}, D. Salek ¹⁰⁹, P.H. Sales De Bruin ¹⁴⁰, D. Salihagic ¹⁰³,
 A. Salnikov ¹⁴⁵, J. Salt ¹⁷⁰, D. Salvatore ^{40a,40b}, F. Salvatore ¹⁵¹, A. Salvucci ^{62a,62b,62c}, A. Salzburger ³²,
 D. Sammel ⁵¹, D. Sampsonidis ¹⁵⁶, J. Sánchez ¹⁷⁰, V. Sanchez Martinez ¹⁷⁰, A. Sanchez Pineda ^{106a,106b},
 H. Sandaker ¹²¹, R.L. Sandbach ⁷⁹, M. Sandhoff ¹⁷⁸, C. Sandoval ²¹, D.P.C. Sankey ¹³³, M. Sannino ^{53a,53b},
 A. Sansoni ⁵⁰, C. Santoni ³⁷, R. Santonico ^{135a,135b}, H. Santos ^{128a}, I. Santoyo Castillo ¹⁵¹, K. Sapp ¹²⁷,
 A. Sapronov ⁶⁸, J.G. Saraiva ^{128a,128d}, B. Sarrazin ²³, O. Sasaki ⁶⁹, K. Sato ¹⁶⁴, E. Sauvan ⁵, G. Savage ⁸⁰,
 P. Savard ^{161,d}, N. Savic ¹⁰³, C. Sawyer ¹³³, L. Sawyer ^{82,r}, J. Saxon ³³, C. Sbarra ^{22a}, A. Sbrizzi ^{22a,22b},
 T. Scanlon ⁸¹, D.A. Scannicchio ¹⁶⁶, M. Scarcella ¹⁵², V. Scarfone ^{40a,40b}, J. Schaarschmidt ¹⁷⁵, P. Schacht ¹⁰³,
 B.M. Schachtner ¹⁰², D. Schaefer ³², L. Schaefer ¹²⁴, R. Schaefer ⁴⁵, J. Schaeffer ⁸⁶, S. Schaepe ²³,
 S. Schaetzl ^{60b}, U. Schäfer ⁸⁶, A.C. Schaffer ¹¹⁹, D. Schaile ¹⁰², R.D. Schamberger ¹⁵⁰, V. Scharf ^{60a},
 V.A. Schegelsky ¹²⁵, D. Scheirich ¹³¹, M. Schernau ¹⁶⁶, C. Schiavi ^{53a,53b}, S. Schier ¹³⁹, C. Schillo ⁵¹,
 M. Schioppa ^{40a,40b}, S. Schlenker ³², K.R. Schmidt-Sommerfeld ¹⁰³, K. Schmieden ³², C. Schmitt ⁸⁶,
 S. Schmitt ⁴⁵, S. Schmitz ⁸⁶, B. Schneider ^{163a}, U. Schnoor ⁵¹, L. Schoeffel ¹³⁸, A. Schoening ^{60b},
 B.D. Schoenrock ⁹³, E. Schopf ²³, M. Schott ⁸⁶, J.F.P. Schouwenberg ¹⁰⁸, J. Schovancova ⁸, S. Schramm ⁵²,
 M. Schreyer ¹⁷⁷, N. Schuh ⁸⁶, A. Schulte ⁸⁶, M.J. Schultens ²³, H.-C. Schultz-Coulon ^{60a}, H. Schulz ¹⁷,
 M. Schumacher ⁵¹, B.A. Schumm ¹³⁹, Ph. Schune ¹³⁸, A. Schwartzman ¹⁴⁵, T.A. Schwarz ⁹², H. Schweiger ⁸⁷,
 Ph. Schwemling ¹³⁸, R. Schwienhorst ⁹³, J. Schwindling ¹³⁸, T. Schwindt ²³, G. Sciolla ²⁵, F. Scuri ^{126a,126b},
 F. Scutti ⁹¹, J. Searcy ⁹², P. Seema ²³, S.C. Seidel ¹⁰⁷, A. Seiden ¹³⁹, F. Seifert ¹³⁰, J.M. Seixas ^{26a},

- G. Sekhniaidze 106a, K. Sekhon 92, S.J. Sekula 43, D.M. Seliverstov 125,* N. Semprini-Cesari 22a,22b,
 C. Serfon 121, L. Serin 119, L. Serkin 167a,167b, M. Sessa 136a,136b, R. Seuster 172, H. Severini 115, T. Sfiligoj 78,
 F. Sforza 32, A. Sfyrla 52, E. Shabalina 57, N.W. Shaikh 148a,148b, L.Y. Shan 35a, R. Shang 169, J.T. Shank 24,
 M. Shapiro 16, P.B. Shatalov 99, K. Shaw 167a,167b, S.M. Shaw 87, A. Shcherbakova 148a,148b, C.Y. Shehu 151,
 P. Sherwood 81, L. Shi 153,am, S. Shimizu 70, C.O. Shimmin 166, M. Shimojima 104, S. Shirabe 73,
 M. Shiyakova 68,an, A. Shmeleva 98, D. Shoaleh Saadi 97, M.J. Shochet 33, S. Shojaei 94a, D.R. Shope 115,
 S. Shrestha 113, E. Shulga 100, M.A. Shupe 7, P. Sicho 129, A.M. Sickles 169, P.E. Sidebo 149,
 E. Sideras Haddad 147c, O. Sidiropoulou 177, D. Sidorov 116, A. Sidoti 22a,22b, F. Siegert 47, Dj. Sijacki 14,
 J. Silva 128a,128d, S.B. Silverstein 148a, V. Simak 130, Lj. Simic 14, S. Simion 119, E. Simioni 86, B. Simmons 81,
 D. Simon 37, M. Simon 86, P. Sinervo 161, N.B. Sinev 118, M. Sioli 22a,22b, G. Siragusa 177, S.Yu. Sivoklokov 101,
 J. Sjölin 148a,148b, M.B. Skinner 75, H.P. Skottowe 59, P. Skubic 115, M. Slater 19, T. Slavicek 130,
 M. Slawinska 109, K. Sliwa 165, R. Slovak 131, V. Smakhtin 175, B.H. Smart 5, L. Smestad 15, J. Smiesko 146a,
 S.Yu. Smirnov 100, Y. Smirnov 100, L.N. Smirnova 101,ao, O. Smirnova 84, J.W. Smith 57, M.N.K. Smith 38,
 R.W. Smith 38, M. Smizanska 75, K. Smolek 130, A.A. Snesarev 98, I.M. Snyder 118, S. Snyder 27,
 R. Sobie 172,m, F. Socher 47, A. Soffer 155, D.A. Soh 153, G. Sokhrannyi 78, C.A. Solans Sanchez 32,
 M. Solar 130, E.Yu. Soldatov 100, U. Soldevila 170, A.A. Solodkov 132, A. Soloshenko 68, O.V. Solovyanov 132,
 V. Solovyev 125, P. Sommer 51, H. Son 165, H.Y. Song 36a,ap, A. Sood 16, A. Sopczak 130, V. Sopko 130,
 V. Sorin 13, D. Sosa 60b, C.L. Sotiropoulou 126a,126b, R. Soualah 167a,167c, A.M. Soukharev 111,c, D. South 45,
 B.C. Sowden 80, S. Spagnolo 76a,76b, M. Spalla 126a,126b, M. Spangenberg 173, F. Spanò 80, D. Sperlich 17,
 F. Spettel 103, R. Spighi 22a, G. Spigo 32, L.A. Spiller 91, M. Spousta 131, R.D. St. Denis 56,* A. Stabile 94a,
 R. Stamen 60a, S. Stamm 17, E. Stanecka 42, R.W. Stanek 6, C. Stanescu 136a, M. Stanescu-Bellu 45,
 M.M. Stanitzki 45, S. Stapnes 121, E.A. Starchenko 132, G.H. Stark 33, J. Stark 58, P. Staroba 129,
 P. Starovoitov 60a, S. Stärz 32, R. Staszewski 42, P. Steinberg 27, B. Stelzer 144, H.J. Stelzer 32,
 O. Stelzer-Chilton 163a, H. Stenzel 55, G.A. Stewart 56, J.A. Stillings 23, M.C. Stockton 90, M. Stoebe 90,
 G. Stoica 28b, P. Stolte 57, S. Stonjek 103, A.R. Stradling 8, A. Straessner 47, M.E. Stramaglia 18,
 J. Strandberg 149, S. Strandberg 148a,148b, A. Strandlie 121, M. Strauss 115, P. Strizenec 146b, R. Ströhmer 177,
 D.M. Strom 118, R. Stroynowski 43, A. Strubig 108, S.A. Stucci 27, B. Stugu 15, N.A. Styles 45, D. Su 145,
 J. Su 127, S. Suchek 60a, Y. Sugaya 120, M. Suk 130, V.V. Sulin 98, S. Sultansoy 4c, T. Sumida 71, S. Sun 59,
 X. Sun 35a, J.E. Sundermann 51, K. Suruliz 151, C.J.E. Suster 152, M.R. Sutton 151, S. Suzuki 69, M. Svatos 129,
 M. Swiatlowski 33, S.P. Swift 2, I. Sykora 146a, T. Sykora 131, D. Ta 51, C. Taccini 136a,136b, K. Tackmann 45,
 J. Taenzer 155, A. Taffard 166, R. Tafirout 163a, N. Taiblum 155, H. Takai 27, R. Takashima 72, T. Takeshita 142,
 Y. Takubo 69, M. Talby 88, A.A. Talyshев 111,c, K.G. Tan 91, J. Tanaka 157, M. Tanaka 159, R. Tanaka 119,
 S. Tanaka 69, R. Tanioka 70, B.B. Tannenwald 113, S. Tapia Araya 34b, S. Tapprogge 86, S. Tarem 154,
 G.F. Tartarelli 94a, P. Tas 131, M. Tasevsky 129, T. Tashiro 71, E. Tassi 40a,40b, A. Tavares Delgado 128a,128b,
 Y. Tayalati 137e, A.C. Taylor 107, G.N. Taylor 91, P.T.E. Taylor 91, W. Taylor 163b, F.A. Teischinger 32,
 P. Teixeira-Dias 80, K.K. Temming 51, D. Temple 144, H. Ten Kate 32, P.K. Teng 153, J.J. Teoh 120, F. Tepel 178,
 S. Terada 69, K. Terashi 157, J. Terron 85, S. Terzo 13, M. Testa 50, R.J. Teuscher 161,m, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer 88,
 J.P. Thomas 19, J. Thomas-Wilsker 80, P.D. Thompson 19, A.S. Thompson 56, L.A. Thomsen 179,
 E. Thomson 124, M.J. Tibbetts 16, R.E. Ticse Torres 88, V.O. Tikhomirov 98,qq, Yu.A. Tikhonov 111,c,
 S. Timoshenko 100, P. Tipton 179, S. Tisserant 88, K. Todome 159, T. Todorov 5,* S. Todorova-Nova 131,
 J. Tojo 73, S. Tokár 146a, K. Tokushuku 69, E. Tolley 59, L. Tomlinson 87, M. Tomoto 105, L. Tompkins 145,ar,
 K. Toms 107, B. Tong 59, P. Tornambe 51, E. Torrence 118, H. Torres 144, E. Torró Pastor 140, J. Toth 88,as,
 F. Touchard 88, D.R. Tovey 141, T. Trefzger 177, A. Tricoli 27, I.M. Trigger 163a, S. Trincaz-Duvold 83,
 M.F. Tripiana 13, W. Trischuk 161, B. Trocmé 58, A. Trofymov 45, C. Troncon 94a, M. Trottier-McDonald 16,
 M. Trovatelli 172, L. Truong 167a,167c, M. Trzebinski 42, A. Trzupek 42, J.-C.-L. Tseng 122, P.V. Tsiareshka 95,
 G. Tsipolitis 10, N. Tsirintanis 9, S. Tsiskaridze 13, V. Tsiskaridze 51, E.G. Tskhadadze 54a, K.M. Tsui 62a,
 I.I. Tsukerman 99, V. Tsulaia 16, S. Tsuno 69, D. Tsybychev 150, Y. Tu 62b, A. Tudorache 28b, V. Tudorache 28b,
 T.T. Tulbure 28a, A.N. Tuna 59, S.A. Tupputi 22a,22b, S. Turchikhin 68, D. Turgeman 175, I. Turk Cakir 4b,at,
 R. Turra 94a,94b, P.M. Tuts 38, G. Ucchielli 22a,22b, I. Ueda 157, M. Ughetto 148a,148b, F. Ukegawa 164,
 G. Unal 32, A. Undrus 27, G. Unel 166, F.C. Ungaro 91, Y. Unno 69, C. Unverdorben 102, J. Urban 146b,
 P. Urquijo 91, P. Urrejola 86, G. Usai 8, J. Usui 69, L. Vacavant 88, V. Vacek 130, B. Vachon 90, C. Valderanis 102,
 E. Valdes Santurio 148a,148b, N. Valencic 109, S. Valentinetti 22a,22b, A. Valero 170, L. Valery 13, S. Valkar 131,

- J.A. Valls Ferrer ¹⁷⁰, W. Van Den Wollenberg ¹⁰⁹, P.C. Van Der Deijl ¹⁰⁹, H. van der Graaf ¹⁰⁹,
 N. van Eldik ¹⁵⁴, P. van Gemmeren ⁶, J. Van Nieuwkoop ¹⁴⁴, I. van Vulpen ¹⁰⁹, M.C. van Woerden ¹⁰⁹,
 M. Vanadia ^{134a,134b}, W. Vandelli ³², R. Vanguri ¹²⁴, A. Vaniachine ¹⁶⁰, P. Vankov ¹⁰⁹, G. Vardanyan ¹⁸⁰,
 R. Vari ^{134a}, E.W. Varnes ⁷, T. Varol ⁴³, D. Varouchas ⁸³, A. Vartapetian ⁸, K.E. Varvell ¹⁵², J.G. Vasquez ¹⁷⁹,
 G.A. Vasquez ^{34b}, F. Vazeille ³⁷, T. Vazquez Schroeder ⁹⁰, J. Veatch ⁵⁷, V. Veeraraghavan ⁷, L.M. Veloce ¹⁶¹,
 F. Veloso ^{128a,128c}, S. Veneziano ^{134a}, A. Ventura ^{76a,76b}, M. Venturi ¹⁷², N. Venturi ¹⁶¹, A. Venturini ²⁵,
 V. Vercesi ^{123a}, M. Verducci ^{134a,134b}, W. Verkerke ¹⁰⁹, J.C. Vermeulen ¹⁰⁹, A. Vest ^{47,au}, M.C. Vetterli ^{144,d},
 O. Viazlo ⁸⁴, I. Vichou ^{169,*}, T. Vickey ¹⁴¹, O.E. Vickey Boeriu ¹⁴¹, G.H.A. Viehauser ¹²², S. Viel ¹⁶,
 L. Vigani ¹²², M. Villa ^{22a,22b}, M. Villaplana Perez ^{94a,94b}, E. Vilucchi ⁵⁰, M.G. Vinchter ³¹, V.B. Vinogradov ⁶⁸,
 C. Vittori ^{22a,22b}, I. Vivarelli ¹⁵¹, S. Vlachos ¹⁰, M. Vlasak ¹³⁰, M. Vogel ¹⁷⁸, P. Vokac ¹³⁰, G. Volpi ^{126a,126b},
 M. Volpi ⁹¹, H. von der Schmitt ¹⁰³, E. von Toerne ²³, V. Vorobel ¹³¹, K. Vorobev ¹⁰⁰, M. Vos ¹⁷⁰, R. Voss ³²,
 J.H. Vossebeld ⁷⁷, N. Vranjes ¹⁴, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic ¹⁴, V. Vrba ¹²⁹, M. Vreeswijk ¹⁰⁹, R. Vuillermet ³²,
 I. Vukotic ³³, P. Wagner ²³, W. Wagner ¹⁷⁸, H. Wahlberg ⁷⁴, S. Wahrmund ⁴⁷, J. Wakabayashi ¹⁰⁵,
 J. Walder ⁷⁵, R. Walker ¹⁰², W. Walkowiak ¹⁴³, V. Wallangen ^{148a,148b}, C. Wang ^{35b}, C. Wang ^{36b,av},
 F. Wang ¹⁷⁶, H. Wang ¹⁶, H. Wang ⁴³, J. Wang ⁴⁵, J. Wang ¹⁵², K. Wang ⁹⁰, R. Wang ⁶, S.M. Wang ¹⁵³,
 T. Wang ³⁸, W. Wang ^{36a}, C. Wanotayaroj ¹¹⁸, A. Warburton ⁹⁰, C.P. Ward ³⁰, D.R. Wardrope ⁸¹,
 A. Washbrook ⁴⁹, P.M. Watkins ¹⁹, A.T. Watson ¹⁹, M.F. Watson ¹⁹, G. Watts ¹⁴⁰, S. Watts ⁸⁷, B.M. Waugh ⁸¹,
 S. Webb ⁸⁶, M.S. Weber ¹⁸, S.W. Weber ¹⁷⁷, S.A. Weber ³¹, J.S. Webster ⁶, A.R. Weidberg ¹²², B. Weinert ⁶⁴,
 J. Weingarten ⁵⁷, C. Weiser ⁵¹, H. Weits ¹⁰⁹, P.S. Wells ³², T. Wenaus ²⁷, T. Wengler ³², S. Wenig ³²,
 N. Wermes ²³, M.D. Werner ⁶⁷, P. Werner ³², M. Wessels ^{60a}, J. Wetter ¹⁶⁵, K. Whalen ¹¹⁸, N.L. Whallon ¹⁴⁰,
 A.M. Wharton ⁷⁵, A. White ⁸, M.J. White ¹, R. White ^{34b}, D. Whiteson ¹⁶⁶, F.J. Wickens ¹³³,
 W. Wiedenmann ¹⁷⁶, M. Wielers ¹³³, C. Wiglesworth ³⁹, L.A.M. Wiik-Fuchs ²³, A. Wildauer ¹⁰³, F. Wilk ⁸⁷,
 H.G. Wilkens ³², H.H. Williams ¹²⁴, S. Williams ¹⁰⁹, C. Willis ⁹³, S. Willocq ⁸⁹, J.A. Wilson ¹⁹,
 I. Wingerter-Seez ⁵, F. Winklmeier ¹¹⁸, O.J. Winston ¹⁵¹, B.T. Winter ²³, M. Wittgen ¹⁴⁵, T.M.H. Wolf ¹⁰⁹,
 R. Wolff ⁸⁸, M.W. Wolter ⁴², H. Wolters ^{128a,128c}, S.D. Worm ¹³³, B.K. Wosiek ⁴², J. Wotschack ³²,
 M.J. Woudstra ⁸⁷, K.W. Wozniak ⁴², M. Wu ⁵⁸, M. Wu ³³, S.L. Wu ¹⁷⁶, X. Wu ⁵², Y. Wu ⁹², T.R. Wyatt ⁸⁷,
 B.M. Wynne ⁴⁹, S. Xella ³⁹, Z. Xi ⁹², D. Xu ^{35a}, L. Xu ²⁷, B. Yabsley ¹⁵², S. Yacoob ^{147a}, D. Yamaguchi ¹⁵⁹,
 Y. Yamaguchi ¹²⁰, A. Yamamoto ⁶⁹, S. Yamamoto ¹⁵⁷, T. Yamanaka ¹⁵⁷, K. Yamauchi ¹⁰⁵, Y. Yamazaki ⁷⁰,
 Z. Yan ²⁴, H. Yang ^{36c}, H. Yang ¹⁷⁶, Y. Yang ¹⁵³, Z. Yang ¹⁵, W-M. Yao ¹⁶, Y.C. Yap ⁸³, Y. Yasu ⁶⁹, E. Yatsenko ⁵,
 K.H. Yau Wong ²³, J. Ye ⁴³, S. Ye ²⁷, I. Yeletskikh ⁶⁸, E. Yildirim ⁸⁶, K. Yorita ¹⁷⁴, R. Yoshida ⁶,
 K. Yoshihara ¹²⁴, C. Young ¹⁴⁵, C.J.S. Young ³², S. Youssef ²⁴, D.R. Yu ¹⁶, J. Yu ⁸, J.M. Yu ⁹², J. Yu ⁶⁷, L. Yuan ⁷⁰,
 S.P.Y. Yuen ²³, I. Yusuff ^{30,aw}, B. Zabinski ⁴², G. Zacharis ¹⁰, R. Zaidan ⁶⁶, A.M. Zaitsev ^{132,af},
 N. Zakharchuk ⁴⁵, J. Zalieckas ¹⁵, A. Zaman ¹⁵⁰, S. Zambito ⁵⁹, L. Zanello ^{134a,134b}, D. Zanzi ⁹¹,
 C. Zeitnitz ¹⁷⁸, M. Zeman ¹³⁰, A. Zemla ^{41a}, J.C. Zeng ¹⁶⁹, Q. Zeng ¹⁴⁵, O. Zenin ¹³², T. Ženiš ^{146a},
 D. Zerwas ¹¹⁹, D. Zhang ⁹², F. Zhang ¹⁷⁶, G. Zhang ^{36a,ap}, H. Zhang ^{35b}, J. Zhang ⁶, L. Zhang ⁵¹, L. Zhang ^{36a},
 M. Zhang ¹⁶⁹, R. Zhang ²³, R. Zhang ^{36a,av}, X. Zhang ^{36b}, Z. Zhang ¹¹⁹, X. Zhao ⁴³, Y. Zhao ^{36b,ax}, Z. Zhao ^{36a},
 A. Zhemchugov ⁶⁸, J. Zhong ¹²², B. Zhou ⁹², C. Zhou ¹⁷⁶, L. Zhou ³⁸, L. Zhou ⁴³, M. Zhou ¹⁵⁰, N. Zhou ^{35c},
 C.G. Zhu ^{36b}, H. Zhu ^{35a}, J. Zhu ⁹², Y. Zhu ^{36a}, X. Zhuang ^{35a}, K. Zhukov ⁹⁸, A. Zibell ¹⁷⁷, D. Ziemska ⁶⁴,
 N.I. Zimine ⁶⁸, C. Zimmermann ⁸⁶, S. Zimmermann ⁵¹, Z. Zinonos ⁵⁷, M. Zinser ⁸⁶, M. Ziolkowski ¹⁴³,
 L. Živković ¹⁴, G. Zobernig ¹⁷⁶, A. Zoccoli ^{22a,22b}, M. zur Nedden ¹⁷, L. Zwalski ³²

¹ Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany NY, United States³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB, Canada⁴ ^(a) Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; ^(b) Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul; ^(c) Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey⁵ LAPP, CNRS/IN2P3 and Université Savoie Mont Blanc, Annecy-le-Vieux, France⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne IL, United States⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ, United States⁸ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington TX, United States⁹ Physics Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece¹¹ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, United States¹² Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan¹³ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain¹⁴ Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia¹⁵ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway¹⁶ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley CA, United States

- ¹⁷ Department of Physics, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany
¹⁸ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
¹⁹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
²⁰ ^(a) Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul; ^(b) Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep; ^(d) Istanbul Bilgi University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey; ^(e) Bahcesehir University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey
²¹ Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Narino, Bogota, Colombia
²² ^(a) INFN Sezione di Bologna; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
²³ Physikalisches Institut, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany
²⁴ Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston MA, United States
²⁵ Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham MA, United States
²⁶ ^(a) Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro; ^(b) Electrical Circuits Department, Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; ^(c) Federal University of Sao Joao del Rei (UFSJ), Sao Joao del Rei; ^(d) Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
²⁷ Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY, United States
²⁸ ^(a) Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov, Romania; ^(b) Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; ^(c) National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj Napoca; ^(d) University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest; ^(e) West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania
²⁹ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina
³⁰ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
³¹ Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa ON, Canada
³² CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
³³ Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago IL, United States
³⁴ ^(a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; ^(b) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile
³⁵ ^(a) Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; ^(b) Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu; ^(c) Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
³⁶ ^(a) Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui; ^(b) School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong; ^(c) Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China ^{ay}
³⁷ Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Université Clermont Auvergne, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France
³⁸ Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington NY, United States
³⁹ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
⁴⁰ ^(a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende, Italy
⁴¹ ^(a) AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow; ^(b) Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland
⁴² Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland
⁴³ Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX, United States
⁴⁴ Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson TX, United States
⁴⁵ DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany
⁴⁶ Lehrstuhl für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany
⁴⁷ Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
⁴⁸ Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham NC, United States
⁴⁹ SUPA – School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
⁵⁰ INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
⁵¹ Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany
⁵² Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland
⁵³ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Genova; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genoa, Italy
⁵⁴ ^(a) E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; ^(b) High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
⁵⁵ II Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany
⁵⁶ SUPA – School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom
⁵⁷ II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany
⁵⁸ Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble, France
⁵⁹ Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA, United States
⁶⁰ ^(a) Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; ^(b) Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; ^(c) ZITI Institut für technische Informatik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany
⁶¹ Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan
⁶² ^(a) Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; ^(b) Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; ^(c) Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China
⁶³ Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan, Taiwan
⁶⁴ Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington IN, United States
⁶⁵ Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria
⁶⁶ University of Iowa, Iowa City IA, United States
⁶⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA, United States
⁶⁸ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, JINR Dubna, Dubna, Russia
⁶⁹ KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan
⁷⁰ Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan
⁷¹ Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
⁷² Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan
⁷³ Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
⁷⁴ Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina
⁷⁵ Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom
⁷⁶ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Lecce; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy
⁷⁷ Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
⁷⁸ Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
⁷⁹ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom
⁸⁰ Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Surrey, United Kingdom
⁸¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, United Kingdom
⁸² Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States
⁸³ Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, UPMC and Université Paris-Diderot and CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
⁸⁴ Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden
⁸⁵ Departamento de Fisica Teorica C-15, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
⁸⁶ Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany

- ⁸⁷ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
⁸⁸ CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
⁸⁹ Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA, United States
⁹⁰ Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal QC, Canada
⁹¹ School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
⁹² Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States
⁹³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI, United States
⁹⁴ (a) INFN Sezione di Milano; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy
⁹⁵ B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus
⁹⁶ Research Institute for Nuclear Problems of Byelorussian State University, Minsk, Belarus
⁹⁷ Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal QC, Canada
⁹⁸ P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
⁹⁹ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia
¹⁰⁰ National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
¹⁰¹ D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
¹⁰² Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany
¹⁰³ Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München, Germany
¹⁰⁴ Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
¹⁰⁵ Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
¹⁰⁶ (a) INFN Sezione di Napoli; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli, Italy
¹⁰⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM, United States
¹⁰⁸ Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
¹⁰⁹ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
¹¹⁰ Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL, United States
¹¹¹ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia
¹¹² Department of Physics, New York University, New York NY, United States
¹¹³ Ohio State University, Columbus OH, United States
¹¹⁴ Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
¹¹⁵ Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK, United States
¹¹⁶ Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater OK, United States
¹¹⁷ Palacky University, RCPMT, Olomouc, Czech Republic
¹¹⁸ Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR, United States
¹¹⁹ LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France
¹²⁰ Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
¹²¹ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
¹²² Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom
¹²³ (a) INFN Sezione di Pavia; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
¹²⁴ Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA, United States
¹²⁵ National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute" B.P. Konstantinov Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
¹²⁶ (a) INFN Sezione di Pisa; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
¹²⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA, United States
¹²⁸ (a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas – LIP, Lisboa; (b) Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; (c) Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Coimbra; (d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; (e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; (f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos and CAFPE, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); (g) Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciencias e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal
¹²⁹ Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, Czech Republic
¹³⁰ Czech Technical University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
¹³¹ Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
¹³² State Research Center Institute for High Energy Physics (Protvino), NRC KI, Russia
¹³³ Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
¹³⁴ (a) INFN Sezione di Roma; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
¹³⁵ (a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
¹³⁶ (a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; (b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma, Italy
¹³⁷ (a) Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies – Université Hassan II, Casablanca; (b) Centre National de l'Energie des Sciences Techniques Nucléaires, Rabat; (c) Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech; (d) Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda; (e) Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco
¹³⁸ DSM/IRFU (Institut de Recherches sur les Lois Fondamentales de l'Univers), CEA Saclay (Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives), Gif-sur-Yvette, France
¹³⁹ Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA, United States
¹⁴⁰ Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle WA, United States
¹⁴¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom
¹⁴² Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan
¹⁴³ Fachbereich Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany
¹⁴⁴ Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC, Canada
¹⁴⁵ SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA, United States
¹⁴⁶ (a) Faculty of Mathematics, Physics & Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; (b) Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic
¹⁴⁷ (a) Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town; (b) Department of Physics, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; (c) School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
¹⁴⁸ (a) Department of Physics, Stockholm University; (b) The Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden
¹⁴⁹ Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
¹⁵⁰ Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY, United States
¹⁵¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom
¹⁵² School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
¹⁵³ Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
¹⁵⁴ Department of Physics, Technion: Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel
¹⁵⁵ Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
¹⁵⁶ Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
¹⁵⁷ International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁵⁸ Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁵⁹ Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan

- 160 Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia
 161 Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto ON, Canada
 162 (a) INFN-TIFPA; (b) University of Trento, Trento, Italy
 163 (a) TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; (b) Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto ON, Canada
 164 Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, and Center for Integrated Research in Fundamental Science and Engineering, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
 165 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford MA, United States
 166 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine CA, United States
 167 (a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine; (b) ICTP, Trieste; (c) Dipartimento di Chimica, Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy
 168 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden
 169 Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana IL, United States
 170 Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC) and Departamento de Física Atómica, Molecular y Nuclear and Departamento de Ingeniería Electrónica and Instituto de Microelectrónica de Barcelona (IMB-CNM), University of Valencia and CSIC, Valencia, Spain
 171 Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC, Canada
 172 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC, Canada
 173 Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
 174 Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan
 175 Department of Particle Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
 176 Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI, United States
 177 Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität, Würzburg, Germany
 178 Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany
 179 Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven CT, United States
 180 Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
 181 Centre de Calcul de l’Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France

^a Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London, United Kingdom.

^b Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan.

^c Also at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia.

^d Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC, Canada.

^e Also at Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, United States of America.

^f Also at Physics Department, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine.

^g Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno CA, United States of America.

^h Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland.

ⁱ Also at Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

^j Also at Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciencias, Universidade do Porto, Portugal.

^k Also at Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia, Russia.

^l Also at Universita di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy.

^m Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Canada.

ⁿ Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania.

^o Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.

^p Also at Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States of America.

^q Also at Centre for High Performance Computing, CSIR Campus, Rosebank, Cape Town, South Africa.

^r Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States of America.

^s Also at Institut Català de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain.

^t Also at Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan.

^u Also at Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands.

^v Also at Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, United States of America.

^w Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.

^x Also at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.

^y Also at Georgian Technical University (GTU), Tbilisi, Georgia.

^z Also at Ochanomizu Academic Production, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, Japan.

^{aa} Also at Manhattan College, New York NY, United States of America.

^{ab} Also at Academia Sinica Grid Computing, Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.

^{ac} Also at School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong, China.

^{ad} Also at Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos and CAFPE, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain), Portugal.

^{ae} Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento CA, United States of America.

^{af} Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia.

^{ag} Also at Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland.

^{ah} Also at Eötvos Lorand University, Budapest, Hungary.

^{ai} Also at Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY, United States of America.

^{aj} Also at International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA), Trieste, Italy.

^{ak} Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of South Carolina, Columbia SC, United States of America.

^{al} Also at Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain.

^{am} Also at School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China.

^{an} Also at Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy (INRNE) of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria.

^{ao} Also at Faculty of Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.

^{ap} Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.

^{aq} Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia.

^{ar} Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA, United States of America.

^{as} Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.

^{at} Also at Giresun University, Faculty of Engineering, Turkey.

^{au} Also at Flensburg University of Applied Sciences, Flensburg, Germany.

^{av} Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France.

^{aw} Also at University of Malaya, Department of Physics, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

^{ax} Also at LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France.

^{ay} Also affiliated with PKU-CHEP.

* Deceased.