

1 **Optical transmission damage of undoped and Ce doped $Y_3Al_5O_{12}$**
2 **scintillation crystals under 24 GeV protons high fluence**
3

4 **E. Auffray¹, A.Fedorov², V.Dormenev³, J. Houžvička⁴, M.Korjik², M.T.Lucchini¹,**
5 **V.Mechinsky², S. Ochesanu⁴**
6

7
8 *1-CERN, Geneva, Switzerland*
9

10 *2-Research Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus*

11 *3-Justus Liebig University, Giessen, Germany*
12

13 *4-CRYTUR, spol. s r.o., Palackého 175, 511 01 Turnov, Czech Republic*
14
15

16
17
18 *Abstract*— This report presents results on the optical transmission damage of undoped and Ce
19 doped $Y_3Al_5O_{12}$ scintillation crystals under high fluence of 24 GeV protons. We observed that,
20 similarly to other middle heavy scintillators, it possesses the unique radiation hardness at fluence
21 values as high as $5 \cdot 10^{14}$ p/cm² and it is thus promising for the application in the detectors at
22 High Luminosity LHC. The crystalline structure of the garnet scintillator allows to control and
23 further optimize its scintillation parameters, such as scintillation decay time and emission
24 wavelength, and shows a limited set of the radioisotopes after the irradiation with protons.

25
26 *Index Terms*— Experiments at colliders, inorganic scintillation material, radiation damage, γ -
27 quanta irradiation, proton irradiation
28

29 *Corresponding author:* Mikhail Korjik (Mikhail.Korjik@cern.ch), 220030, Belarus, Minsk,
30 Bobruiskaya str. 11.
31
32
33
34
35

1.1 Introduction

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66

Future physical programs at the LHC will require a significant increase of the accelerator instantaneous luminosity up to $L = 3 * 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and an integrated luminosity of 3000 fb^{-1} to be collected throughout the High Luminosity phase of LHC beyond 2025 [1]. At such beam luminosities, charged hadrons with fluences higher than 10^{14} p/cm^2 per year in the largest pseudo-rapidity regions of the detectors will have a non-negligible influence on the radiation damage of the materials. Moreover, with the increasing activation of the experimental equipment, it will become more difficult to periodically replace and maintain the detector components. Therefore, the selection of materials for new detectors in such high radiation environments requires more reliable assessment of the risks of detector failures due to severe radiation damage. During last few years we performed systematic studies of the damage effects in inorganic scintillation materials [2-9]. We concluded that mid-heavy, Ce-doped materials are very stable under γ -quanta and the most resistant in terms of the damage effects from high-energy protons. Hadron fluence values of the order of $5 * 10^{13} \text{ p/cm}^2$ do not appear to set limits to the use of the crystals such as orthosilicates and garnets in the future calorimeter designs. Ce doped garnets, in comparison with orthosilicates, have following advantages: their luminescence is shifted to the green-yellow spectral range which well matches the spectral sensitivity of Silicon Photo Multipliers (SiPMs), garnet structure allows a variety of technological possibilities to engineer the scintillation properties, particularly the scintillation kinetics and the wavelength of the scintillation maximum. The shift of the scintillation light towards the green-yellow spectral range also targets a further reduction of the induced absorption due to the fact that most of the spectral changes in a wide band gap oxide materials under irradiation with high energy protons occur in the UV and blue spectral ranges. For instance, among several colour centers which appear in $\text{Lu}_2\text{SiO}_5:\text{Ce}$ (LSO) after irradiation, two of them, having maxima near 390 nm (3.18 eV) and 470 nm (2.66 eV) respectively, overlap the scintillation band [4]. Since the discovery of scintillation properties of $\text{Y}_3\text{Al}_5\text{O}_{12}:\text{Ce}$ (YAG:Ce) crystals 40 years ago [10], these crystals have found a wide application in imaging techniques. The technology of YAG crystals was very well developed in the 90's [11]. It was shown that the presence of Ce^{3+} in the crystal prevents the appearance of colour centers in the visible range. More recently, a good radiation hardness of YAG:Ce crystals to γ -irradiation and 150 MeV protons also was confirmed [12,13]. Here we

67 investigated the change of the crystal optical transmission after the irradiation with 24 GeV
68 protons and a fluence $5 \cdot 10^{14}$ p/cm². The set of the radioisotopes after the irradiation with protons
69 is also described.

70

71

72 **1.2 Samples and experimental setup**

73

74 Studied crystals were produced by CRYTUR (Turnov, Czech Republic) [14] by the
75 Czochralski method. The samples were cut from the middle part of the ingots and had dimensions
76 $10 \times 10 \times 10 \text{ mm}^3$ with two opposite sides polished. The first crystal was undoped (#2962), the
77 second one (#2961, slightly doped) has trace of Ce³⁺ at the level less than 0.01 atomic % and the
78 third one (#2960) was a sample from a Ce doped ingot used for commercial production. An
79 additional YAG Ce doped sample of dimensions $10 \times 10 \times 10 \text{ mm}^3$ was used to measure the set of
80 radio-isotopes in the crystal after irradiation with 24 GeV protons to a fluence of $3 \cdot 10^{13}$ p/cm².
81 This sample become available for the radio-isotope set measurements with germanium detector at
82 CERN Radiation Protection Service in one month after irradiation.

83 The samples were irradiated with 24 GeV protons of the PS accelerator at CERN with the
84 10^9 p/cm²s flux in a plastic container. Fluence was measured by activation of Al foil. The
85 samples #2962, 2961, 2960 were irradiated to a fluence of $5 \cdot 10^{14}$ p/cm². Manipulation with
86 irradiated samples was performed by CERN Radiation Protection Service. When crystals become
87 available for measurements in three moth after irradiation, their optical transmission was
88 measured by Varian spectrophotometer at CERN authorized facilities allowing operations with
89 radioactive samples. All measurements were performed at room temperature. The details of the
90 irradiation procedure, the experimental methods and the spectra deconvolution analysis are
91 described elsewhere [2-4].

92

93 **1.3 Experimental results and discussion**

94

95 Figures 1-3 show the optical transmission spectra of the samples measured before the
96 irradiation and 3 months after the irradiation. The transmission curve of the doped crystal (#2960)
97 does not show significant changes in the spectral range of scintillation whereas the undoped
98 (#2962) and the slightly doped (#2961) samples demonstrate a more complex behavior over the
99 whole spectrum. Table 1 summarizes the colour centers observed in the studied YAG samples.

100 Figures 4-6 show the induced absorption spectra of the YAG samples after the proton
101 irradiation. To make an approximation by Gaussians, an energy scale in eV was used. At the
102 deconvolution of spectra we used prior information, such as peak position and band width, of
103 several bands of color centres which were observed in the YAG:Ce after γ -irradiation [15,16]
104 and Ce^{3+} ions as well. The Pearson's χ^2 chi-square criteria was used to obtain best approximation
105 of experimental curve with set of Gaussians.

106 The induced absorption spectrum of the undoped YAG crystal #2962 contains several
107 bands with maxima located in the vicinity of 747 nm (1.66 eV, C1), 480 nm (2.58 eV, C2), 409
108 nm (3.03 eV, C3), 348 nm (3.56 eV, C4), 277 nm (4.47 eV, C5). The center C1 was also detected
109 in the undoped as-grown crystals [11] and most probably appears due to crystal growth defects.
110 The colour center similar to C2 was previously observed in the γ -irradiated crystal [15]. The
111 drop of the induced absorption below zero in the vicinity of 277 nm is caused by the conversion
112 of the colour centers located in UV spectral range and having band maximum near 277 nm. Due
113 to their conversion a strong induced absorption band with maximum around 348 nm appears in
114 the induced absorption spectrum. It is worth to note that only the C1 and C2 colour center
115 absorption bands marginally overlap with the Ce^{3+} luminescence band in the crystal.

116 The crystal #2961 contains two weak Ce^{3+} bands with maxima near 460 and 345 nm and a
117 sharp band near 370 nm in the initial spectrum before irradiation. The last band is due to the F^+
118 colour center as described in article [16]. The effect of the conversion of the 277 nm band in the
119 induced absorption spectrum was not observed. It seems that the set of the colour centers which
120 appear in the crystal after the crystal growth is sensitive to the presence of Ce, even if in a small
121 amount (<0.01 atomic %). Moreover, the transmission spectrum in the range above 500 nm is
122 occupied by the color centers due to the defects of the crystal growth. We did not observe the F^+
123 centers causing 230 nm absorption band in the initial spectrum because of the large optical
124 density in that spectral region, however its presence became visible through its conversion after
125 the crystal was irradiated.

126 A strong peak with the maximum near 248 nm, which appears due to its conversion into
127 the F center, was detected. A conversion of the F^+ center having maximum 370 nm into the F
128 center is also observed similar to the irradiation with γ -quanta [16]. Due to the presence of the
129 F^+ centers and traces of Ce^{3+} , the deconvolution of the induced absorption spectrum becomes

130 more complicated. Nevertheless, similar to the undoped crystal, both C1 and C2 can be identified
131 in the slightly doped sample #2961.

132 The sample #2960 does not contain the colour centers related to the defects of the crystal
133 growth like C1 and C2. Obviously, the presence of the Ce activator improves the quality of the
134 crystal structure and minimizes the amount of oxygen defects in the crystal. Cerium ions can be
135 stabilized in a 3+ and 4+ valence states in oxides. When Ce^{3+} substitutes yttrium Y^{3+} in the
136 matrix host, no charge compensation is required. However, the stabilization of Ce^{4+} in the Y^{3+}
137 position introduces a non-compensated negative charge. This reduces the amount of the oxygen
138 vacancies, which introduce non-compensated positive charge in the crystal. In fact, two C^{4+} ions
139 compensate one oxygen vacancy. This assumption is proven by the absence of F^+ , F^- centers
140 absorption bands in the spectra before and after the irradiation of YAG:Ce. The spectral regions
141 2.5-2.8 and 3.5-3.8 eV are blocked by strong $f \rightarrow d$ Ce^{3+} electronic transitions, therefore precise
142 deconvolution of the induced absorption spectrum of the sample #2960 was difficult. We
143 observed induced absorption bands dedicated only to the C3, C4, C9, C0 centres. Moreover, as
144 seen from the deconvolution analysis, the peak maxima of the colour centers in the YAG:Ce
145 crystal are two times smaller than in the undoped samples. It indicates that these colour centers
146 are related to the defects of the crystal growth and their content is decreased due to the higher
147 concentration of Ce dopant. The only color center which can be attributed to the proton
148 irradiation damage is the C8 center, however its observation is masked by the strong absorption
149 band related to the interconfiguration $f \rightarrow d$ transition in the YAG:Ce sample.

150 We did not observe in the Ce doped crystal other new defects due to the irradiation with
151 protons. This indicates that the clusters and interstitials atoms produce the color centers whose
152 absorption bands are likely to be located in the spectral range above 5 eV. Given the presence of
153 Ce^{3+} ions, their observation by the standard optical spectroscopic methods is difficult. In
154 addition, a shift of the fundamental absorption cut-off due to the strong absorption of the C11
155 center in this spectral region was not observed.

156

157 **1.4 Irradiation-induced radio-isotopes**

158

159 Hadrons induce the nuclear reactions and produce the radioisotopes [8,9]. The
160 radioisotopes emit α -, β -, and γ -radiation, initiating scintillation process in the crystals and thus
161 producing a background light in the crystal. The higher the scintillator light yield is, the higher
162 the light background in the crystal from the activity of the radioisotopes occurs.

163 Table 2 reports the set of the radio-isotopes measured in YAG:Ce scintillation crystal one
164 month after the irradiation with protons. Besides the isotopes created by the nuclear reactions (p,
165 Y), the relatively long living ^{22}Na isotope due to (p,Al) reaction is also detected. ^{22}Na isotope,
166 is a 511 keV γ -quanta emitter. Considering the typical YAG:Ce light yield of about 20000
167 ph/MeV, this isotope could increase the flux of the parasitic photons due to radio-luminescence.
168 A comparison with $\text{Y}_2\text{SiO}_5\text{:Ce}$ crystal can be found in earlier study [17]. However, the density
169 and the effective charge Z_{eff} of YAG are relatively small in comparison with Lu_2SiO_5 or
170 $\text{Lu}_3\text{Al}_5\text{O}_{12}$ crystals [18], therefore a significant increase of the parasitic photons in the YAG:Ce
171 detecting elements with thickness of a few mm is not expected. We also note that the undoped
172 YAG crystal is an intrinsic scintillator with the emission wavelength located in the UV spectral
173 range due to the radiating recombination of self trapped excitons and self trapped holes [19].
174 These scintillation processes will be subject to a strong negative influence of the colour centers
175 C3-C9. Thereby, the undoped YAG is not an optimal candidate for application in a high dose
176 radiation environment.

177

178 1.5 Conclusions

179

180 The above analysis shows that the YAG:Ce scintillating crystals can be used in the
181 detectors of the ionizing radiation and can sustain heavy radiation loads without significant loss
182 of performance. Even the hadronic component of the ionizing radiation is unlikely to be a
183 limiting factor. Moreover, high-energy protons do not appear to create a large amount of new
184 colour centers in the crystal. In particular, the induced absorption coefficient in the spectral range
185 of scintillation (540 nm) remains below 1m^{-1} after irradiation with 24 GeV protons to a fluence of
186 $5 \cdot 10^{14}$ p/cm². Thus, material is bright and radiation tolerant scintillator to construct calorimetric
187 modules and segmented detector arrangements operating in harsh radiation environments at the
188 future high luminosity collider experiments, particularly at the High Luminosity LHC.

189

190 **Acknowledgement**

191 Authors are thankful to Dr. Martin Nikl for fruitful discussion of the colour centers in the
 192 studied crystal. We also acknowledge Maurice Glaser and Dr. Federico Ravotti who have been
 193 responsible for the proton irradiation at the CERN PS IRRAD facility.

194

195

196 Table 1. Colour centers observed in YAG crystals after crystal growth, irradiation 24 GeV
 197 protons.

198

| Sample | #2960 | #2961 | #2962 | Description of the defect |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| C1, nm (eV) / peak amplitude, m ⁻¹ | | 736 (1,69) / 3,7 | 747 (1.66) / 2,4 | Crystal growth defect |
| C2, nm (eV) / peak amplitude, m ⁻¹ | | 480 (2.58) / 1,2 | 480 (2.58) / 6,3 | Crystal growth defect |
| C3, nm (eV) / peak amplitude, m ⁻¹ | 405 (3,1) / 4 | | 409 (3.03) / 8,4 | Crystal growth defect |
| C4, nm (eV) / peak amplitude, m ⁻¹ | 367 (3,4) / 8 | | 348 (3.56) / 23,6 | F-center due to conversion of C5 |
| C5, nm (eV) / peak amplitude, m ⁻¹ | | | 277 (4.47) / -27.7 | F+ center on a base of crystal growth defect |
| C6, nm (eV) / peak amplitude, m ⁻¹ | | 386 (3,22) / 42 | | F-center due to conversion of C5 |
| *C7, nm (eV) / peak amplitude, m ⁻¹ | | 370 (3,35) / -68 | | F+ center on a base of crystal growth defect |
| C8, nm (eV) / peak | | 335 (3,7) / 25 | | |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|----------------------------------------------------|
| amplitude, m ⁻¹ | | | | |
| C9, nm (eV) / peak amplitude, m ⁻¹ | 307 (4,15) / 45 | 307 (4,04) / 90 | | Crystal growth defect |
| C10, nm (eV) / peak amplitude, m ⁻¹ | 248 (5) / 80 | 248 (5,0) / 210 | | F-center due to conversion of C10 |
| *C11, nm (eV) / peak amplitude, m ⁻¹ | | 230 (5,4) | | F+ center on a base of crystal growth defect |

* was observed in the crystal with the low Ce doping concentration [16]

199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209

Table 2. The set of the radio-isotopes and its activity measured in the YAG:Ce scintillation crystals 1 month after the irradiation with 24GeV protons with a fluence $3 \cdot 10^{13}$ p/cm². The volume of the sample was 1cm³. An error of the activity measurements with Germanium Detector was at the level of 20 Bq. The half-life time data are listed in the table to show that no long living radioisotopes have been created after proton irradiation.

| Y ₃ Al ₅ O ₁₂ :Ce | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Nuclide | Half-life, days | Activity, Bq |
| Be-7 | 5,31E+01 | 6,8E+02 |
| Na-22 | 926 | 2,1E+03 |
| Se-75 | 1,20E+02 | 2,7E+02 |
| Rb-83 | 8,62E+01 | 1,06E+03 |
| Rb-84 | 3,28E+01 | 5,6E+02 |
| Y-88 | 1,07E+02 | 3,74E+03 |
| Zr-88 | 8,34E+01 | 1,8E+02 |

210
211

Figure captions

212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232

Fig. 1. Optical transmission spectrum of crystal #2960 measured at room temperature before irradiation and 3 months after irradiation with 24 GeV protons to a fluence of $5 \cdot 10^{14}$ p/cm².

Fig. 2. Optical transmission spectrum of crystal #2961 measured at room temperature before irradiation and 3 months after irradiation with 24 GeV protons to a fluence of $5 \cdot 10^{14}$ p/cm².

Fig. 3. Optical transmission spectrum of crystal #2962 measured at room temperature before irradiation and 3 months after irradiation with 24 GeV protons to a fluence of $5 \cdot 10^{14}$ p/cm².

Fig. 4. Proton-irradiation-induced absorption spectrum of YAG sample #2962 and its approximation by a set of Gaussian type bands, O-experimental points. Pearson's chi-square test value $\chi^2 = 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$.

Fig. 5. Proton-irradiation-induced absorption spectrum of YAG:Ce sample #2961 and its approximation by a set of Gaussian type bands, O-experimental points. Pearson's chi-square test value $\chi^2 = 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$.

Fig. 6. Proton-irradiation-induced absorption spectrum of YAG:Ce sample #2960, O-experimental points. The inset shows the change of the induced absorption in the scintillation spectral range.

233 **References**

234

- 235 1. The CERN Large Hadron Collider: Accelerator and Experiments, Vol. 1-2, CERN,
236 Geneva, 2009
- 237 2. A.E. Borisevitch, V.I Dormenev, M.V. Korjik et.al., “Stimulation of Radiation Damage
238 Recovery of Lead Tungstate Scintillation Crystals Operating in a High Dose-Rate
239 Radiation Environment”, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. 60 (2013)1368 –
240 1372.
- 241 3. E. Auffray, “Experimental Study of the Lead Tungstate Scintillator Proton-Induced
242 Damage and Recovery”, proceedings International Conference “Inorganic Scintillators
243 and Their Applications”, SCINT 2011, Giessen, Germany, 11-16 September 2011.
- 244 4. E. Auffray A. Barysevich, A. Fedorov, M. Korjik, M.Koschan, M. Lucchini, V.
245 Mechinski, C. L. Melcher, A.Voitovich, “Radiation damage of LSO crystals under γ - and
246 24 GeV protons irradiation”, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
247 Section A, Vol. 721 (2013)76-82.
- 248 5. A. Barysevich, M. Korjik, A. Singovski et.al., “Radiation damage of heavy crystalline
249 detector materials by 24 GeV protons”, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics
250 Research Section A, Vol. 701 (2013) 231-234.
- 251 6. M. Korzhik, A. Barusevich, V. Dormenev, V. Mechinski, O. Missevitch, A. Fedorov,
252 “On the radiation hardness of the optical properties of scintillation crystals under high
253 energy protons”, proceedings of the National academy of sciences of Belarus, Vol. 54
254 (2010)53-57.
- 255 7. V. Dormenev, M. Korjik, T. Kuske, V. Mechinski, R. W. Novotny, “Comparison of
256 radiation damage effects in PWO crystals under 150 MeV and 24 GeV high fluence
257 proton irradiation”, proceedings International Conference “Inorganic Scintillators and
258 Their Applications”, SCINT 2013, Shanghai, China, 15-19 April 2013.
- 259 8. E. Auffray, A. Fedorov, M. Korjik, M. Lucchini, V. Mechinski, N. Naumenko,
260 A.Voitovich, “Radiation Damage of Oxy-Orthosilicate Scintillation Crystals Under
261 Gamma and High Energy Proton Irradiation”, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science,
262 Vol. 61 (2014), PP. 495-500.
- 263 9. E. Auffray, A. Fedorov, M. Korjik, D. Kozlov, M. Lucchini, V. Mechinski, “The impact
264 of proton induced radioactivity on the Lu₂SiO₅:Ce, Y₂SiO₅:Ce scintillation detectors”,
265 Presented at IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference, Seul,
266 Korea, 27 Oct. 2013.
- 267 10. R. Atrata, P. Shauer, Josef Kvapil, Jiri Kvapil, J.Physics,A single crystal of YAG-new
268 fast scintillator in SEM, Journal of Physics E, Vol. 11 (1978)707-708.
- 269 11. Josef Kvapil, Jiri Kvapil, B. Manek, B. Perner, R. Atrata, P.Shauer, Czochralski growth
270 of YAG:Ce in reducing protective atmosphere, Journal of Crystal Growth, Vol. 52
271 (1981)542-545.
- 272 12. K.-T. Brinkman, A. Borisevich, V. Dormenev, V. Kalinov, M. Korjik et al., “Radiation
273 damage and Recovery of medium heavy and light inorganic Crustalline, Glass and Glass
274 Ceramic materials after Irradiation with 150 MeV protons and 1.2 MeV gamma-rays’,
275 presented at IEEE 2014 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging
276 Conference, October 2014, USA .

- 277 13. M.T. Lucchini, K. Pauwels, K. Blazek, S. Ochesanu, E. Auffray, "Radiation Tolerance of
278 LuAG:Ce and YAG:Ce Crystals Under High Levels of Gamma- and Proton-Irradiation",
279 (2014), IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol.63(2016)586-590.
- 280 14. CRYTUR catalog: <http://www.crytur.cz>.
- 281 15. M. Nikl, E.Mihokova, V.Laguta, J. Pejchal, S.Baccaro, A.Vedda, Radiation damage
282 processes in complex-oxide scintillators, SPIE Conference Proceedings, Vol. 6586, P.
283 65860E-12.
- 284 16. M. Nikl, V. Laguta, A. Vedda, Complex oxide scintillators: Material defects and
285 scintillation performance, Physica status solidi (b), Vol. 254 (2008)1701-1722.
- 286 17. E. Auffray, A. Borisevich, A. Gektin, I. Gerasimov, M. Korjik, D. Kozlov, D.Kurstaev,
287 V. Mechinsky, O. Sidletskiy, R. Zoueuski,"Radiation damage effects in Y2SiO5:Ce
288 scintillation crystals under gamma-quanta and 24GeV protons", Nuclear Instruments and
289 Methods in Physics Research Section A, Vol. 783 (2015)117-120.
- 290 18. P. Lecoq, A. Annenkov, A. Gektin, M. Korzhik, C. Pedrini, Inorganic Scintillators for
291 Detector Systems, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- 292 19. M. Korzhik, Physics of scintillators on a base of oxide single crystals, Minsk, BSU, 2003,
293 P. 263 (in Russian).
- 294
- 295
- 296
- 297
- 298
- 299
- 300
- 301
- 302
- 303