
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКАЯ ЛОГИКА, АЛГЕБРА И ТЕОРИЯ ЧИСЕЛ

MATHEMATICAL LOGIC, ALGEBRA AND NUMBER THEORY

УДК 512.542

О НЕКОТОРЫХ СВОЙСТВАХ РЕШЕТКИ ТОТАЛЬНО σ -ЛОКАЛЬНЫХ ФОРМАЦИЙ КОНЕЧНЫХ ГРУПП

И. Н. САФОНОВА¹⁾, В. Г. САФОНОВ¹⁾

¹⁾Белорусский государственный университет, пр. Независимости, 4, 220030, г. Минск, Беларусь

Все рассматриваемые в статье группы являются конечными. Пусть $\sigma = \{\sigma_i | i \in I\}$ – некоторое разбиение множества всех простых чисел \mathbb{P} . Если n – целое число, G – группа и \mathfrak{F} – класс групп, то $\sigma(n) = \{\sigma_i | \sigma_i \cap \pi(n) \neq \emptyset\}$, $\sigma(G) = \sigma(|G|)$ и $\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = \bigcup_{G \in \mathfrak{F}} \sigma(G)$. Функция f вида $f: \sigma \rightarrow \{\text{формации групп}\}$ называется формационной σ -функцией. Для всякой формационной σ -функции f класс $LF_\sigma(f)$ определяется следующим образом:

$$LF_\sigma(f) = \{G | G = 1 \text{ или } G \neq 1 \text{ и } G/O_{\sigma'_i, \sigma_i}(G) \in f(\sigma_i) \text{ для всех } \sigma_i \in \sigma(G)\}.$$

Если для некоторой формационной σ -функции f имеет место $\mathfrak{F} = LF_\sigma(f)$, то класс \mathfrak{F} называют σ -локальным, а формационную σ -функцию f – σ -локальным определением \mathfrak{F} . Всякую формацию считают 0-кратно σ -локальной.

Образец цитирования:

Сафонова И.Н., Сафонов В.Г. О некоторых свойствах решетки totally σ -local formations finite groups. *Журнал Белорусского государственного университета. Математика. Информатика*. 2020;3:6–16 (на англ.).
<https://doi.org/10.33581/2520-6508-2020-3-6-16>

For citation:

Safonova IN, Safonov VG. On some properties of the lattice of totally σ -local formations of finite groups. *Journal of the Belarusian State University. Mathematics and Informatics*. 2020; 3:6–16.
<https://doi.org/10.33581/2520-6508-2020-3-6-16>

Авторы:

Инна Николаевна Сафонова – кандидат физико-математических наук, доцент; заместитель декана по научной работе факультета прикладной математики и информатики.
Василий Григорьевич Сафонов – доктор физико-математических наук, профессор; проректор по научной работе, профессор кафедры высшей алгебры и защиты информации механико-математического факультета.

Authors:

Inna N. Safonova, PhD (physics and mathematics), docent; deputy dean for scientific affairs, faculty of applied mathematics and computer science.
safonova@bsu.by
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6896-7208>
Vasily G. Safonov, doctor of science (physics and mathematics), full professor; vice-rector for scientific affairs and professor at the department of higher algebra and information security, faculty of mechanics and mathematics.
vgsafonov@bsu.by
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0682-3107>

При $n > 0$ формацию \mathfrak{F} называют n -кратно σ -локальной, если $\mathfrak{F} = (1)$ – класс всех единичных групп или $\mathfrak{F} = LF_{\sigma}(f)$, где $f(\sigma_i)$ является $(n-1)$ -кратно σ -локальной формацией для всех $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$. Формацию называют totally σ -локальной, если она n -кратно σ -локальна для всякого целого неотрицательного числа n . Цель данной работы – изучение свойств решетки totally σ -локальных формаций. В частности, мы доказываем, что решетка всех totally σ -локальных формаций является алгебраической и дистрибутивной.

Ключевые слова: конечная группа; формационная σ -функция; формация конечных групп; totally σ -локальная формация; решетка формаций.

ON SOME PROPERTIES OF THE LATTICE OF TOTALLY σ -LOCAL FORMATIONS OF FINITE GROUPS

I. N. SAFONOVA^a, V. G. SAFONOV^a

^aBelarusian State University, 4 Niezaliežnasci Avenue, Minsk 220030, Belarus

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite. Let $\sigma = \{\sigma_i \mid i \in I\}$ be some partition of the set of all primes \mathbb{P} . If n is an integer, G is a group, and \mathfrak{F} is a class of groups, then $\sigma(n) = \{\sigma_i \mid \sigma_i \cap \pi(n) \neq \emptyset\}$, $\sigma(G) = \sigma(|G|)$, and $\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = \bigcup_{G \in \mathfrak{F}} \sigma(G)$. A function f of the form $f: \sigma \rightarrow \{\text{formations of groups}\}$ is called a formation σ -function. For any formation σ -function f the class $LF_{\sigma}(f)$ is defined as follows:

$$LF_{\sigma}(f) = \{G \text{ is a group} \mid G = 1 \text{ or } G \neq 1 \text{ and } G/O_{\sigma_i, \sigma_i}(G) \in f(\sigma_i) \text{ for all } \sigma_i \in \sigma(G)\}.$$

If for some formation σ -function f we have $\mathfrak{F} = LF_{\sigma}(f)$, then the class \mathfrak{F} is called σ -local and f is called a σ -local definition of \mathfrak{F} . Every formation is called 0-multiply σ -local. For $n > 0$, a formation \mathfrak{F} is called n -multiply σ -local provided either $\mathfrak{F} = (1)$ is the class of all identity groups or $\mathfrak{F} = LF_{\sigma}(f)$, where $f(\sigma_i)$ is $(n-1)$ -multiply σ -local for all $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$. A formation is called totally σ -local if it is n -multiply σ -local for all non-negative integer n . The aim of this paper is to study properties of the lattice of totally σ -local formations. In particular, we prove that the lattice of all totally σ -local formations is algebraic and distributive.

Keywords: finite group; formation σ -function; formation of finite groups; totally σ -local formation; lattice of formations.

Introduction

All groups under consideration are finite. The notations and definitions we use are borrowed from [1–3]. The basic properties and various applications of σ -local formations can be found in the articles [4–10].

A. Skiba presented [4] the concept of generalised locality or σ -locality of formations as a tool for studying the σ -properties of groups, i. e. properties depending on some partition σ of the set of all primes. In [4], using σ -local formations, A. Skiba studied (weakly) S_i^{σ} -closed and (weakly) M_i^{σ} -closed classes of finite groups. Some general properties of σ -local formations as well as their applications for studying Σ_i^{σ} -closed classes of meta- σ -nilpotent groups [5] and (weakly) Γ_i^{σ} -closed classes of finite groups [6], were obtained Ch. Zhang and A. Skiba. Applications of the theory of σ -local formations were obtained by A. Skiba [7] for a lattice characterization of σ -soluble $P\sigma T$ -groups, and also for constructing new sublattices of the lattice of all subgroups of the group generated by formation Fitting sets [10].

In [8; 9] Ch. Zhang, V. Safonov and A. Skiba described some general properties and examples of n -multiply σ -local formations and also consider one application of such formations in the theory of finite factorisable groups. In particular, in their paper [9] it was proved that the lattice of all n -multiply σ -local formations of finite groups is algebraic and modular.

A. Tsarev [11] proved that every law of the lattice of all formations is fulfilled in the lattice of all n -multiply σ -local formations of finite groups and that the lattice of all n -multiply σ -local formations of finite groups is modular but is not distributive for any non-negative integer n .

At the same time, the question on the algebraicity, modularity or distributivity of the lattice of all totally σ -local formations was an open problem. Note that the question on the distributivity or modularity of the lattice of all totally σ -local formations of finite groups was discussed by A. Tsarev in [11, question 3.2].

In this paper we will prove that the set l_∞^σ of all totally σ -local formations of finite groups is a complete algebraic and distributive lattice. In the work, we study also some general properties of totally σ -local formations of finite groups.

We also note that the concept of generalised locality of formations was developed in papers [12; 13], where the main properties and some examples of Baer- σ -local formations were considered.

Definitions and notations

The basic definitions, notations and general properties of σ -local formations were discussed in the papers [4–10]. Recall some of the basic concepts of the theory of σ -local formations.

Let $\sigma = \{\sigma_i | i \in I\}$ be some partition of the set of all primes \mathbb{P} . If n is an integer, G is a group, and \mathfrak{F} is a class of groups, then $\sigma(n) = \{\sigma_i | \sigma_i \cap \pi(n) \neq \emptyset\}$, $\sigma(G) = \sigma(|G|)$, and $\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = \cup_{G \in \mathfrak{F}} \sigma(G)$.

A group G is called [14]: σ -primary if G is a σ_i -group for some i ; σ -nilpotent if every chief factor H/K of G is σ -central in G , that is, the semidirect product $(H/K) \rtimes (G/C_G(H/K))$ is σ -primary; σ -soluble if $G = 1$ or $G \neq 1$ and every chief factor of G is σ -primary.

We write \mathfrak{S}_σ to denote the class of all σ -soluble groups and \mathfrak{N}_σ to denote the class of all σ -nilpotent groups. A class of groups \mathfrak{F} is called a formation if: (1) $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$ whenever $G \in \mathfrak{F}$, and (2) $G/N \cap R \in \mathfrak{F}$ whenever $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $G/R \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Any function f of the form $f: \sigma \rightarrow \{\text{formations of groups}\}$ is called a formation σ -function. For any formation σ -function f the class $LF_\sigma(f)$ is defined as follows:

$$LF_\sigma(f) = \{G \text{ is a group} | G = 1 \text{ or } G \neq 1 \text{ and } G/O_{\sigma_i, \sigma_i}(G) \in f(\sigma_i) \text{ for all } \sigma_i \in \sigma(G)\}.$$

If for some formation σ -function f we have $\mathfrak{F} = LF_\sigma(f)$, then the class \mathfrak{F} is called σ -local and f is called a σ -local definition of \mathfrak{F} . We write $F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G)$ instead of $O_{\sigma_i, \sigma_i}(G) = G_{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i}}$.

Every formation is called 0-multiply σ -local. For $n > 0$, the formation \mathfrak{F} is called n -multiply σ -local provided either $\mathfrak{F} = (1)$ is the class of all identity groups or $\mathfrak{F} = LF_\sigma(f)$, where $f(\sigma_i)$ is $(n-1)$ -multiply σ -local for all $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$. A formation is called totally σ -local if it is n -multiply σ -local for all non-negative integer n .

The symbol l_∞^σ denotes the set of all totally σ -local formations. Formations from l_∞^σ are called l_∞^σ -formations.

For any collection of groups \mathfrak{X} , $l_\infty^\sigma \text{ form } (\mathfrak{X})$ denotes the totally σ -local formation generated by \mathfrak{X} , i. e. $l_\infty^\sigma \text{ form } (\mathfrak{X})$ is the intersection of all totally σ -local formations containing the collection of groups \mathfrak{X} . If $\mathfrak{X} = \{G\}$ for some group G , then $\mathfrak{F} = l_\infty^\sigma \text{ form } (G)$ is called a one-generated totally σ -local formation. For any two classes of groups \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{N} we put $\mathfrak{M} \vee_\infty^\sigma \mathfrak{N} = l_\infty^\sigma \text{ form } (\mathfrak{M} \cup \mathfrak{N})$.

If f is a formation σ -function, then the symbol $\text{Supp}(f)$ denotes the support of f , that is, the set of all σ_i such that $f(\sigma_i) \neq \emptyset$. A formation σ -function f is called l_∞^σ -valued if $f(\sigma_i)$ is a totally σ -local formation for every $\sigma_i \in \text{Supp}(f)$; integrated if $f(\sigma_i) \subseteq LF_\sigma(f)$ for all i .

If m and h are l_∞^σ -valued formation σ -functions, then $m \vee_\infty^\sigma h$ is a formation σ -function such that $(m \vee_\infty^\sigma h)(\sigma_i) = m(\sigma_i) \vee_\infty^\sigma h(\sigma_i)$ for all i ; we use also $m \cap h$ to denote the formation σ -function such that $(m \cap h)(\sigma_i) = m(\sigma_i) \cap h(\sigma_i)$ for all i .

Every sequence $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ from σ is called a σ -sequence. For any σ -sequence $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ and for any collection of groups \mathfrak{X} , the class of groups $\mathfrak{X}(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n)$ is defined recursively in the following way:

$$(1) \mathfrak{X}(\alpha_1) = \{G/F_{\{\alpha_1\}}(G) | G \in \mathfrak{X}\}; (2) \mathfrak{X}(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n) = \{G/F_{\{\alpha_n\}}(G) | G \in \mathfrak{X}(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{n-1})\}.$$

For any l_∞^σ -formation \mathfrak{F} , we set $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) = l_\infty^\sigma \text{ form } (\mathfrak{F}(\sigma_i))$, if $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$, and $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) = \emptyset$, if $\sigma_i \notin \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$.

If $\mathfrak{F} \in l_\infty^\sigma$, then the symbol $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma$ denotes the smallest l_∞^σ -valued definition of \mathfrak{F} , i. e. $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma = \cap_{j \in J} f_j$, where $\{f_j | j \in J\}$ is the set of all l_∞^σ -valued definitions of \mathfrak{F} .

We say that a σ -sequence $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ is suitable for \mathfrak{F} (or \mathfrak{F} -suitable), if $\alpha_1 \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ and for any $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ we have $\alpha_j \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F}(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{j-1}))$.

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be an \mathfrak{F} -suitable σ -sequence. Then the l_∞^σ -valued σ -function $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$ is defined recursively as follows: (1) $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 = (\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma(\alpha_1))_\infty^\sigma$; (2) $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n = (\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1}(\alpha_n))_\infty^\sigma$.

For any group G and a non-empty formation \mathfrak{F} by $G^\mathfrak{F}$ denote the \mathfrak{F} -residual of G , i. e. the intersection of all subgroups N of G such that $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$. If \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{H} are formations, then $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{H} = \{G \mid G^\mathfrak{H} \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ is called the Gaschütz product of formations \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{H} .

Auxiliary results

We need some well-known results, which we present in the form of the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 [9]. *If the class of groups \mathfrak{F}_j is an n -multiply σ -local formation for all $j \in J$, then the class $\cap_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j$ is also n -multiply σ -local formation.*

Recall that if f is a formation σ -function, then the symbol $\text{Supp}(f)$ denotes the support of f , that is, the set of all σ_i such that $f(\sigma_i) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 2 [5; 9]. *Let f and h be formation σ -functions and let $\Pi = \text{Supp}(f)$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{F} = LF_\sigma(f) = LF_\sigma(h)$.*

(1) $\Pi = \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$.

(2) $\mathfrak{F} = (\cap_{\sigma_i \in \Pi} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} f(\sigma_i)) \cap \mathfrak{G}_\Pi$. Hence \mathfrak{F} is a saturated formation.

(3) *If every group in \mathfrak{F} is σ -soluble, then $\mathfrak{F} = (\cap_{\sigma_i \in \Pi} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} f(\sigma_i)) \cap \mathfrak{G}_\Pi$.*

(4) *If $\sigma_i \in \Pi$, then $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}(f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}) = \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}(h(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$.*

(5) $\mathfrak{F} = LF_\sigma(F)$, where F is the unique formation σ -function such that $F(\sigma_i) = \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} F(\sigma_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ for all $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ and $F(\sigma_i) = \emptyset$ for all $\sigma_i \in \Pi'$. Moreover, $F(\sigma_i) = \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}(f(\sigma_i)) \cap \mathfrak{F}$ for all i .

Lemma 3 [9]. *If \mathfrak{F} is a non-empty formation and $f(\sigma_i) = \mathfrak{F}$ for all i , then $LF_\sigma(f) = \mathfrak{N}_\sigma \mathfrak{F}$.*

Lemma 4 [9]. *If $\mathfrak{F} = \cap_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j$ and $\mathfrak{F}_j = LF_\sigma(f_j)$ for all $j \in J$, then $\mathfrak{F} = LF_\sigma(f)$, where $f(\sigma_i) = \cap_{j \in J} f_j(\sigma_i)$ for all $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = \cap_{j \in J} \sigma(\mathfrak{F}_j)$ and $f(\sigma_i) = \emptyset$ for all $\sigma_i \in \sigma \setminus \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$. Moreover, if f_j is integrated for all $j \in J$, then f is also integrated.*

Lemma 5 [2, p. 41]. *Let A be a monolithic group and let $\text{Soc}(A)$ be a non-abelian group. Let \mathfrak{M} be some homomorph. If $A \in l_n \text{ form } \mathfrak{M}$, then $A \in \mathfrak{M}$.*

Lemma 6 [2, p. 152]. *Let G be a group such that $O_p(G) = 1$, let $N_1 \times \dots \times N_k = \text{Soc}(G)$, where N_i is a minimal normal subgroup of G ($k \geq 2$). Let M_i denote a maximal normal subgroup of G , which contains $N_1 \times \dots \times N_{i-1} \times N_{i+1} \times \dots \times N_k$ and does not contain N_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then*

(a) *the group G/M_i is a monolithic and $\text{Soc}(G/M_i) = N_i M_i / N_i$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$;*

(b) *$N_i M_i / N_i$ is G -isomorphic to N_i ;*

(c) *$O_p(G/M_i) = 1$;*

(d) *$M_1 \cap \dots \cap M_k = 1$.*

The main results

Let \mathfrak{X} be some collection of groups, $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{X})$, then the class of groups $\mathfrak{X}(\sigma_i)$ is defined as follows: $\mathfrak{X}(\sigma_i) = (G/F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{X})$.

Lemma 7. *Let $\mathfrak{F} = l_\infty^\sigma \text{ form } (\mathfrak{X}) = LF_\sigma(f)$ be the totally σ -local formation generated by \mathfrak{X} , where f is an l_∞^σ -valued definition of \mathfrak{F} , and let $\Pi = \sigma(\mathfrak{X})$. Let h be the formation σ -function such that $h(\sigma_i) = l_\infty^\sigma \text{ form } (\mathfrak{X}(\sigma_i))$ for all $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ and $h(\sigma_i) = \emptyset$ for all $\sigma_i \in \Pi'$. Then*

(1) $\Pi = \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$;

(2) *h is an l_∞^σ -valued definition of \mathfrak{F} ;*

(3) *$h(\sigma_i) \subseteq f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}$ and for all i .*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ we have $\Pi \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$. In view of [9, remark 2.4 (ii)], the class of all Π -groups \mathfrak{G}_Π is a totally σ -local formation. Hence $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_\Pi$. Therefore, $\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) \subseteq \Pi$ and statement (1) holds.

Let $\mathfrak{H} = LF_{\sigma}(h)$. Then it is clear that $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$. On the other hand, since h is an I_{∞}^{σ} -valued, which implies that \mathfrak{H} is a totally σ -local formation. Therefore, $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$.

Since $\mathfrak{X}(\sigma_i) \subseteq f(\sigma_i)$ and the formation $f(\sigma_i)$ is totally σ -local we have $h(\sigma_i) \subseteq f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}$ for all $\sigma_i \in \sigma$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$. Hence $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{H}$ and so statements (2) and (3) hold. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 8. Let $\mathfrak{F}_j = LF_{\sigma}(f_j)$ be a totally σ -local formation, where f_j is the smallest I_{∞}^{σ} -valued definition of \mathfrak{F}_j , $j \in J$. Then $\vee_{\infty}^{\sigma}(f_j | j \in J)$ is the smallest I_{∞}^{σ} -valued definition of $\mathfrak{F} = \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma}(\mathfrak{F}_j | j \in J)$.

Proof. Let l be the smallest I_{∞}^{σ} -valued definition of \mathfrak{F} , $f = \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma}(f_j | j \in J)$, and $\Pi = \sigma(\cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j) = \cup_{j \in J} \sigma(\mathfrak{F}_j)$. Then $\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = \Pi$ by lemma 7 (1). Now we show that $l(\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_i)$ for all $\sigma_i \in \sigma$.

Let $\sigma_i \in \sigma \setminus \Pi$. Then for any $j \in J$ we have $f_j(\sigma_i) = \emptyset$ by lemma 7. Hence $f(\sigma_i) = \emptyset$. Similarly, in view of lemma 7, $l(\sigma_i) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $l(\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_i)$.

Now suppose that $\sigma_i \in \Pi$. Then there exists $j_i \in J$ such that $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F}_{j_i})$. From lemma 7 it follows that $f_{j_i}(\sigma_i) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\begin{aligned} l(\sigma_i) &= I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } \left(G/F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G) \mid G \in \cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j \right) = I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } \left(\cup_{j \in J} I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } \left(G/F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{F}_j \right) \right) = \\ &= I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } \left(\cup_{j \in J} f_j(\sigma_i) \mid j \in J \right) = \left(\vee_{\infty}^{\sigma}(f_j | j \in J) \right)(\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $l(\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_i)$ for all $\sigma_i \in \Pi$. Thus, $l = f$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 9. Let $\mathfrak{H}_j = LF_{\sigma}(h_j)$, where h_j is integrated I_{∞}^{σ} -valued definition of \mathfrak{H}_j , $j = 1, 2$. Then $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_1 \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{H}_2 = LF_{\sigma}(h)$, where $h = h_1 \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} h_2$ is integrated.

Proof. Let l be the smallest I_{∞}^{σ} -valued definition of \mathfrak{H} and let H be the canonical σ -local definition of \mathfrak{H} . Let l_j be the smallest I_{∞}^{σ} -valued definition of \mathfrak{H}_j and let H_j be the canonical σ -local definition of \mathfrak{H}_j , $j = 1, 2$. In view of lemmas 2 (5) and 7 we have $l_j(\sigma_i) \subseteq h_j(\sigma_i) \subseteq H_j(\sigma_i)$ for all σ_i . Besides, lemmas 2 (5) and 7 imply also that

$$\begin{aligned} l(\sigma_i) &= I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } ((\mathfrak{H}_1 \cup \mathfrak{H}_2)(\sigma_i)) = I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\mathfrak{H}_1(\sigma_i) \cup \mathfrak{H}_2(\sigma_i)) = I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (l_1(\sigma_i) \cup l_2(\sigma_i)) \subseteq \\ &\subseteq I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (h_1(\sigma_i) \cup h_2(\sigma_i)) = h(\sigma_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} l(\sigma_i) = H(\sigma_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $l(\sigma_i) \subseteq h(\sigma_i) \subseteq H(\sigma_i)$ for all σ_i . Therefore, $\mathfrak{H} = LF_{\sigma}(h)$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 10. Let \mathfrak{F} be a non-empty formation. Then the formation $\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma}\mathfrak{F}$ is totally σ -local.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma}\mathfrak{F}$. By lemma 3 the formation $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}\mathfrak{M}$ is σ -local and $\mathfrak{H} = LF_{\sigma}(h)$, where $h(\sigma_i) = \mathfrak{M}$ for all $\sigma_i \in \sigma$. Since the Gaschütz product of formations is associative,

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma}\mathfrak{F}) = (\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma})\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma}\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{M}.$$

Therefore \mathfrak{M} is a σ -local formation. On the other hand, $h(\sigma_i) = \mathfrak{M}$ for all $\sigma_i \in \sigma$. Hence $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{H} = LF_{\sigma}(h)$ is 2-multiply σ -local. Therefore, the formation \mathfrak{M} is n -multiply σ -local for any positive integer n . Consequently \mathfrak{M} is totally σ -local. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 11. If $\mathfrak{F} = LF_{\sigma}(f)$ and $G/O_{\sigma_i}(G) \in f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}$ for some $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$, then $G \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Proof. Since $G/O_{\sigma_i}(G) \in f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}$, we have $f(\sigma_i) \neq \emptyset$. But then $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ by lemma 2 (1). Moreover, $G/O_{\sigma_i}(G) \in f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}$ imply also that $G^{f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}} \subseteq O_{\sigma_i}(G) \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$. Hence $G \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}(f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F})$. In view of lemma 2 (4) we have $G \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}(f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 12. Let $\mathfrak{F}_j = LF_{\sigma}(f_j)$, where f_j is an integrated I_{∞}^{σ} -valued definition of \mathfrak{F}_j , $j \in J$. If $\mathfrak{F} = \cap(\mathfrak{F}_j | j \in J)$, then $f = \cap(f_j | j \in J)$ is an integrated I_{∞}^{σ} -valued definition of \mathfrak{F} .

Proof. First note that \mathfrak{F}_j is an n -multiply σ -local formation for all positive integer n . Then in view of lemma 1, $\cap_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j$ is an n -multiply σ -local formation for all positive integer n . Therefore $\cap_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j$ is totally σ -local. Besides, since $f = \cap(f_j | j \in J)$ and f_j is an I_{∞}^{σ} -valued definition of \mathfrak{F}_j , we see that f is an I_{∞}^{σ} -valued σ -function.

Let $\mathfrak{H} = LF_{\sigma}(f)$. Now we show that $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{F}$. First assume that $G \in \mathfrak{H}$ and let $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$. Then $G/F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G) \in f(\sigma_i) = \cap_{j \in J} f_j(\sigma_i)$. Hence $G/F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G) \in f_j(\sigma_i)$ for all $j \in J$. Therefore for all $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$ we obtain $G/F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G) \in f_j(\sigma_i)$. But then $G \in \mathfrak{F}_j$ for all $j \in J$ and consequently, $G \in \cap_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j = \mathfrak{F}$. Thus $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$.

Now suppose that $G \in \mathfrak{F} = \cap_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j$ and let $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$. Then $G \in \mathfrak{F}_j$ for all $j \in J$. Therefore, $G/F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G) \in f_j(\sigma_i)$ for all $j \in J$. Hence $G/F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G) \in \cap_{j \in J} f_j(\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_i)$ for all $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$. Consequently, $G \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$.

Finally, since f_j is integrated for all $j \in J$, we have $f(\sigma_i) = \cap_{j \in J} f_j(\sigma_i) \subseteq f_j(\sigma_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_j$ for all $\sigma_i \in \sigma$. Hence $f(\sigma_i) \subseteq \cap_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j = \mathfrak{F}$ and f is an integrated I_{∞}^{σ} -valued definition of \mathfrak{F} . The lemma is proved.

Algebraicity of the lattice of all totally σ -local formations

Theorem 1. *The set I_{∞}^{σ} of all totally σ -local formations is a complete algebraic lattice in which, for any set $\{\mathfrak{F}_j \mid j \in J\} \subseteq I_{\infty}^{\sigma}$, the intersection $\cap_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j$ is the greatest lower bound and $I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j)$ is the smallest upper bound of $\{\mathfrak{F}_j \mid j \in J\}$ in I_{∞}^{σ} .*

Proof. It is clear that the set I_{∞}^{σ} is partially ordered with respect to set inclusion. Since by [9, remark 2.4 (ii)], the formation of all groups \mathfrak{G} is totally σ -local we have \mathfrak{G} is the largest element in I_{∞}^{σ} . It follows from lemma 12 that $\cap_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j \in I_{\infty}^{\sigma}$. Therefore, $\cap_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j$ is the greatest lower bound of $\{\mathfrak{F}_j \mid j \in J\}$ in I_{∞}^{σ} , which implies that $I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j)$ is the smallest upper bound of $\{\mathfrak{F}_j \mid j \in J\}$ in I_{∞}^{σ} .

Now we show that for every group A the one-generated totally σ -local formation $I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (A)$ is a compact element in I_{∞}^{σ} . Let A is counterexample minimal order and

$$\mathfrak{F} = I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (A) \subseteq I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j),$$

where \mathfrak{F}_j is a totally σ -local formation, $j \in J$. If A is a σ_i -group for some i , then $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$. Since $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j)$, we have $\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) \subseteq \sigma(\cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j) = \cup_{j \in J} \sigma(\mathfrak{F}_j)$ by lemma 7. Therefore, there is j_s such that $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F}_{j_s})$. But then $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_{j_s}$ by lemma 2. This contradiction shows that A is not σ -primary.

Now we show that A is monolithic. Suppose that it is false and let N_1, N_2 be minimal normal subgroups of A , where $N_1 \neq N_2$. Let $\mathfrak{L} = I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (A/N_1)$, $\mathfrak{M} = I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (A/N_2)$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{L} \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{M}$. By inductive hypothesis for groups A/N_1 and A/N_2 our statement is true. Then since

$$\mathfrak{L} = I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (A/N_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \subseteq I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j), \quad \mathfrak{M} = I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (A/N_2) \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \subseteq I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j),$$

there are j_1, \dots, j_k and s_1, \dots, s_n such that

$$\mathfrak{L} \subseteq I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\mathfrak{F}_{j_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{F}_{j_k}) \text{ and } \mathfrak{M} \subseteq I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\mathfrak{F}_{s_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{F}_{s_n}).$$

But then we have

$$\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{L} \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{M} \subseteq I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\mathfrak{F}_{j_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{F}_{j_k} \cup \mathfrak{F}_{s_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{F}_{s_n}),$$

a contradiction. Hence A is a monolithic group.

Let $P = \text{Soc}(A)$. Assume that P is not a σ -primary group. Since $A \in I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j)$ we have $A \in \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma} \text{ form } (\cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j)$ by lemma 10. But P is not a σ -primary group, therefore, $A \in \text{form } (\cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j)$. Using lemma 5, we obtain $A \in \cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j$. Hence there is $j_m \in J$ such that $A \in \mathfrak{F}_{j_m}$. This contradiction shows that P is a σ_i -group for some i . Therefore, $F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(A) = O_{\sigma_i}(A)$.

Let f, f, h are smallest I_{∞}^{σ} -valued definitions of formations $\mathfrak{F}_j, \mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{H} = I_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\cup_{j \in J} \mathfrak{F}_j)$, respectively. In view of lemma 8 we have $h = \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} (f_j \mid j \in J)$. Since $O_{\sigma_i}(A) = F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(A)$ and $A \in \mathfrak{H}$, we have

$$A/O_{\sigma_i}(A) = A/F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(A) \in h(\sigma_i) = \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} (f_j(\sigma_i) \mid j \in J).$$

Since $|A/O_{\sigma_i}(A)| < |A|$, by our inductive hypothesis there are $j_1, \dots, j_t \in J$ such that

$$l_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (A/O_{\sigma_i}(A)) \subseteq f_{j_i}(\sigma_i) \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \dots \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} f_{j_i}(\sigma_i).$$

By lemma 8, $l = f_{j_1} \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \dots \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} f_{j_i}$ is the smallest l_{∞}^{σ} -valued definition of $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{F}_{j_1} \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \dots \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{F}_{j_i}$. But then $A/O_{\sigma_i}(A) \in l(\sigma_i) = f_{j_i}(\sigma_i) \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \dots \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} f_{j_i}(\sigma_i)$. Since l is integrated, $A \in \mathcal{L}$ by lemma 11.

Thus, $\mathfrak{F} = l_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (A) \subseteq \mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{F}_{j_1} \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \dots \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{F}_{j_i}$. This contradiction shows that every one-generated totally σ -local formation $l_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (A)$ is a compact element in l_{∞}^{σ} .

It is clear that for any totally σ -local formation \mathfrak{F} we have $\mathfrak{F} = l_{\infty}^{\sigma} \text{ form } (\cup_{t \in T} \mathfrak{F}_t)$, where $\{\mathfrak{F}_t | t \in T\}$ is the set of all one-generated totally σ -local formations contained in \mathfrak{F} . Hence the lattice l_{∞}^{σ} is algebraic. The theorem is proved.

In the classical case, when $\sigma = \sigma^1 = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \dots\}$ we get from theorem 1 the following known results.

Corollary 1 [15]. *The lattice l_{∞} of all totally local formations is algebraic.*

Corollary 2 [2, p. 180]. *The lattice of all soluble totally local formations is algebraic.*

Distributivity of the lattice of all totally σ -local formations

Recall that if \mathfrak{F} is a totally σ -local formation, then $\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma}$ denotes the smallest l_{∞}^{σ} -valued definition of \mathfrak{F} . If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ is an \mathfrak{F} -suitable σ -sequence, then the l_{∞}^{σ} -valued σ -function $\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$ is defined recursively as follows: (1) $\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 = (\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma}(\alpha_1))_{\infty}^{\sigma}$; (2) $\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n = (\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1}(\alpha_n))_{\infty}^{\sigma}$.

Let $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{M}$, and \mathfrak{X} be totally σ -local formations. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be some suitable σ -sequence for $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{M}$, and \mathfrak{X} . Then by $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ we denotes formation σ -functions such that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} = (\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma}) \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} (\mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma}), \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} = (\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma}) \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 = (\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1) \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} (\mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1), \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 = (\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1) \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1,$$

...

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n = (\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n) \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} (\mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n),$$

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n = (\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n) \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n.$$

Lemma 13. *Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F})$, $\mathfrak{H} = (\mathfrak{X} \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{M}) \cap \mathfrak{F}$, where $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{X}$, and \mathfrak{F} are totally σ -local formations. Then*

(1) $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) = \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$;

(2) if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is a suitable σ -sequence for $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{M}$, and \mathfrak{F} , then the formation σ -functions

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$$

are integrated l_{∞}^{σ} -valued definitions of the formations

$$\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{H}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma}(\alpha_1), \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma}(\alpha_1), \dots, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1}(\alpha_n), \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1}(\alpha_n),$$

respectively.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{X} \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{M}$, $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathfrak{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}$, $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F}$, $h_1 = \mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma}$, $l_1 = \mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma}$, and $l_2 = \mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma}$. By lemmas 8 and 12, it follows that $\mathfrak{H}_1 = LF_{\sigma}(h_1)$, $\mathcal{L}_1 = LF_{\sigma}(l_1)$, $\mathcal{L}_2 = LF_{\sigma}(l_2)$, and h_1, l_1, l_2 are integrated l_{∞}^{σ} -valued σ -functions.

(1) Since the inclusion $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ is obvious, we obtain $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$. Let $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{H}) \setminus \sigma(\mathcal{L})$. Since $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{H}_1)$, we have $h_1(\sigma_i) \neq \emptyset$ by lemma 7. If $\sigma_i \notin \sigma(\mathfrak{X}) \cup \sigma(\mathfrak{M})$, then it follows from lemma 7 that $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma}(\sigma_i) = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma}(\sigma_i) = \emptyset$. Consequently, $h_1(\sigma_i) = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Hence $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{X}) \cup \sigma(\mathfrak{M})$. But in this case $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \cup \sigma(\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F}) = \sigma(\mathcal{L})$. Thus, $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) = \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$.

(2) Since $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathcal{L}_2$ and $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_1 \cap \mathfrak{F}$, it follows from lemmas 9 and 12, that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} = l_1 \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} l_2 = (\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma}) \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} (\mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma}), \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} = h_1 \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma} = (\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma}) \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\infty}^{\sigma}$$

are integrated l_{∞}^{σ} -valued definitions of the formations \mathcal{L} and \mathfrak{H} , respectively.

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a suitable sequence for \mathfrak{X} , \mathfrak{M} , and \mathfrak{F} . Since by the definition $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j$, $\mathfrak{M}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j$, $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j$ are smallest I_∞^σ -valued definitions of the formations

$$\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-1}(\alpha_j), \mathfrak{M}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-1}(\alpha_j), \text{ and } \mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-1}(\alpha_j)$$

($j = \overline{1, n}$), respectively, it follows from lemmas 9 and 12 that

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_j = (\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_j \cap \mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_j) \vee_\infty^\sigma (\mathfrak{M}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_j \cap \mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_j),$$

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_j = (\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_j \vee_\infty^\sigma \mathfrak{M}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_j) \cap \mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_j$$

are integrated I_∞^σ -valued definitions of the formations

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-1}(\alpha_j) \text{ and } \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-1}(\alpha_j),$$

respectively. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 14. *Let \mathfrak{M} , \mathfrak{X} , and \mathfrak{F} be totally σ -local formations. Let G be a monolithic group and $\text{Soc}(G)$ is not σ -primary. If $G \in \mathfrak{F} \cap (\mathfrak{X} \vee_\infty^\sigma \mathfrak{M})$, then $G \in (\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \vee_\infty^\sigma (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F})$.*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathfrak{F} \cap (\mathfrak{X} \vee_\infty^\sigma \mathfrak{M})$. It follows from lemma 10 that $\mathfrak{S}_\sigma \text{ form}(\mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{M})$ is a totally σ -local formation. Therefore, $I_\infty^\sigma \text{ form}(\mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_\sigma \text{ form}(\mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{M})$. Since G is a monolithic group and $\text{Soc}(G)$ is not a σ -primary group, we have $G \in \text{form}(\mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{M})$ and $\text{Soc}(G)$ is a non-abelian group. But then by lemma 5, it follows that $G \in \mathfrak{X} \cup \mathfrak{M}$. Since $G \in \mathfrak{F}$, we obtain $G \in (\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \cup (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F})$. Hence,

$$G \in I_\infty^\sigma \text{ form}((\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \cup (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F})) = (\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \vee_\infty^\sigma (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F}).$$

The lemma is proved.

Theorem 2. *The lattice I_∞^σ of all totally σ -local formations is distributive.*

Proof. Suppose that this theorem is false. Then there exist totally σ -local formations \mathfrak{M} , \mathfrak{X} , and \mathfrak{F} such that

$$(\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \vee_\infty^\sigma (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \neq (\mathfrak{X} \vee_\infty^\sigma \mathfrak{M}) \cap \mathfrak{F}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{L} = (\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \vee_\infty^\sigma (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F})$ and $\mathfrak{H} = (\mathfrak{X} \vee_\infty^\sigma \mathfrak{M}) \cap \mathfrak{F}$. Since the inclusion $\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ is obvious, we obtain $\mathfrak{H} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{L}$. Let G be a group of minimal order in $\mathfrak{H} \setminus \mathfrak{L}$. In view that \mathfrak{L} is a σ -local formation, we see that G is a monolithic group with a unique minimal normal subgroup $P = G^\mathfrak{L}$.

If P is not σ -primary, then $G \in \mathfrak{L}$ by lemma 14. This contradiction shows that P is a σ_i -group for some $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$. It follows from lemma 13 that $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{L})$, $\mathfrak{L} = LF_\sigma(\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma)$, $\mathfrak{H} = LF_\sigma(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma)$, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma$ are integrated I_∞^σ -valued definitions such that

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) = (\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)) \vee_\infty^\sigma (\mathfrak{M}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)), \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) = (\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \vee_\infty^\sigma \mathfrak{M}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)) \cap \mathfrak{F}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i).$$

Since \mathfrak{L} is a σ -local formation and $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{L})$, we see that G is not a σ_i -group and $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, since P is a σ_i -group, $O_{\sigma_i'}(G) = 1$ and $F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G) = O_{\sigma_i}(G)$.

Since $\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ we have $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)$ and since $G \in \mathfrak{H} \setminus \mathfrak{L}$, we claim that $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)$. Indeed, by lemma 2, it follows that $G/F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G) \in \mathfrak{H}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)$. If $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) = \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)$, then

$$G/O_{\sigma_i}(G) = G/F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G) \in \mathfrak{H}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) = \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)$$

and $G \in \mathfrak{L}$ by lemma 11. It is a contradiction. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)$. Note also that the condition $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)$ implies $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{M}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \neq \emptyset$, since otherwise $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) = \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)$. Hence $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{X}) \cap \sigma(\mathfrak{M})$. Thus,

$$G_1 = G/F_{\{\sigma_i\}}(G) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i), \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \neq \emptyset.$$

It follows from lemma 13 that

$$\sigma(\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)) = \sigma(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)), \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) = LF_\sigma(\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i), \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) = LF_\sigma(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i),$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i$ are integrated I_∞^σ -valued definitions such that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i = (\mathcal{X}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i) \vee_\infty^\sigma (\mathcal{M}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i = (\mathcal{X}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \vee_\infty^\sigma \mathcal{M}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i) \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i.$$

Since $G_1 \notin \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)$, there exist $\alpha_1 \in \sigma(G_1)$ such that

$$G_1/F_{\{\alpha_1\}}(G_1) \notin \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1).$$

Note that since $\alpha_1 \in \sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i))$, we have $\alpha_1 \in \sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i))$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) \neq \emptyset$. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) &= (\mathcal{X}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1)) \vee_\infty^\sigma (\mathcal{M}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1)) \subseteq \\ &\subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) = (\mathcal{X}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) \vee_\infty^\sigma \mathcal{M}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1)) \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1). \end{aligned}$$

Besides, since $G_1/F_{\{\alpha_1\}}(G_1) \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1)$, we have $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{X}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{M}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\alpha_1 \in \sigma(\mathcal{X}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)) \cap \sigma(\mathcal{M}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i))$.

Suppose that $F_{\{\alpha_1\}}(G_1) = 1$ and let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G_1 . Then N is not σ -primary. If G_1 is a monolithic group, then since

$$G_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) = (\mathcal{X}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \vee_\infty^\sigma \mathcal{M}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)) \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i),$$

by lemma 14, it follows that

$$G_1 \in (\mathcal{X}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)) \vee_\infty^\sigma (\mathcal{M}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i).$$

This contradiction shows that the group G_1 is not monolithic.

Let $\text{Soc}(G_1) = N_1 \times \dots \times N_k$, where N_j is a minimal normal subgroup of G_1 and let M_j denote a maximal normal subgroup of G_1 such that M_j contains $N_1 \times \dots \times N_{j-1} \times N_{j+1} \times \dots \times N_k$ and does not contain N_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. By lemma 6, it follows that G_1/M_j is a monolithic group with a non- σ -primary minimal normal subgroup $N_j M_j / N_j$ and $N_j M_j / N_j$ is G_1 -isomorphic to N_j . Set $B_j = G_1/M_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Since

$$B_j \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) = (\mathcal{X}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i) \vee_\infty^\sigma \mathcal{M}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)) \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i),$$

we have $B_j \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)$ by lemma 14. It follows from lemma 6 (d) that G_1 is a subdirect product of B_1, \dots, B_k .

Hence $G_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma(\sigma_i)$. This contradiction shows that $F_{\{\alpha_1\}}(G_1) \neq 1$.

On the other hand $F_{\{\alpha_1\}}(G_1) \neq G_1$, since otherwise $G_1/F_{\{\alpha_1\}}(G_1) \simeq 1 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) \neq \emptyset$.

Thus,

$$G_1/F_{\{\alpha_1\}}(G_1) \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) \neq \emptyset, \quad 1 \neq F_{\{\alpha_1\}}(G_1) \subset G_1.$$

Let $G_2 = G_1/F_{\{\alpha_1\}}(G_1)$. It follows from lemma 13 that

$$\sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1)) = \sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma p(\alpha_1)),$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) = LF_\sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1) = LF_\sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1),$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1$ are integrated I_∞^σ -valued definitions such that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1 = (\mathcal{X}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1) \vee_\infty^\sigma (\mathcal{M}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1),$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1 = (\mathcal{X}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1 \vee_\infty^\sigma \mathcal{M}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1) \cap \mathcal{F}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1.$$

Since $G_2 \notin \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i(\alpha_1)$, there exists $\alpha_2 \in \sigma(G_2)$ such that

$$G_2/F_{\{\alpha_2\}}(G_2) \notin \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty^\sigma \sigma_i \alpha_1(\alpha_2).$$

Hence,

$$G_2/F_{\{\alpha_2\}}(G_2) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1(\alpha_2) \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1(\alpha_2).$$

Considering G_2 in the same way as the group G_1 , we obtain

$$\alpha_2 \in \sigma(\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i(\alpha_1)) \cap \sigma(\mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i(\alpha_1)), \quad G_2/F_{\{\alpha_2\}}(G_2) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1(\alpha_2) \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1(\alpha_2),$$

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1(\alpha_2) \neq \emptyset, \text{ and } 1 \neq F_{\{\alpha_2\}}(G_2) \subset G_2.$$

Put $G_3 = G_2/F_{\{\alpha_2\}}(G_2)$. According to the same argument, we see that the group G_3 satisfies the analogous conditions: there exists

$$\alpha_3 \in \sigma(\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1(\alpha_2)) \cap \sigma(\mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1(\alpha_2))$$

such that

$$G_3/F_{\{\alpha_3\}}(G_3) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1 \alpha_2(\alpha_3) \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1 \alpha_2(\alpha_3),$$

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1 \alpha_2(\alpha_3) \neq \emptyset, \text{ and } 1 \neq F_{\{\alpha_3\}}(G_3) \subset G_3.$$

Continuing this line of reasoning, we construct the groups

$$G_4 = G_3/F_{\{\alpha_3\}}(G_3), \dots, G_n = G_{n-1}/F_{\{\alpha_{n-1}\}}(G_{n-1}), \dots$$

such that for any j the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\alpha_{j-1} \in \sigma(\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-3}(\alpha_{j-2})) \cap \sigma(\mathfrak{M}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-3}(\alpha_{j-2})),$$

$$G_j = G_{j-1}/F_{\{\alpha_{j-1}\}}(G_{j-1}) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-2}(\alpha_{j-1}) \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-2}(\alpha_{j-1}),$$

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\infty}^{\sigma} \sigma_i \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-2}(\alpha_{j-1}) \neq \emptyset, \text{ and } 1 \neq F_{\{\alpha_{j-1}\}}(G_{j-1}) \subset G_{j-1}.$$

Since $F_{\{\alpha_{j-1}\}}(G_{j-1}) \neq 1$, we see that for the constructed sequence of the groups

$$G, G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots, G_n, \dots$$

it follows that

$$|G| > |G_1| > |G_2| > |G_3| > \dots > |G_n| > \dots$$

Since the group G is finite, we obtain $G_k = 1$ for some number k . But

$$G_k = G_{k-1}/F_{\{\alpha_{k-1}\}}(G_{k-1}).$$

This implies that $F_{\{\alpha_{k-1}\}}(G_{k-1}) = G_{k-1}$, a contradiction.

Thus, our assumption is not true and $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$. Hence $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{L}$. The theorem is proved.

Note that theorem 2 gives an affirmative answer to question of A. Tzarev on distributivity of the lattice of all totally σ -local formations of finite groups [11, question 3.2].

Let \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{M} be totally σ -local formations such that $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$, then $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{M}$ denotes the lattice of all totally σ -local formations between \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{F} .

Corollary 3. *Let \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{H} be totally σ -local formations. Then the lattice isomorphism holds*

$$\mathfrak{F} \vee_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{H} /_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{F} /_{\infty}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}.$$

In the case when $\sigma = \sigma_1$, we get from theorem 2 the following known results.

Corollary 4 [16]. *The lattice l_{∞} of all totally local formations is distributive.*

Corollary 5 [2, p. 169]. *The lattice of all soluble totally local formations is distributive.*

Corollary 6 [17]. *The lattice l_{∞} of all totally local formations is modular.*

Recall that if \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{M} are totally local formations, $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$, then by the symbol $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty} \mathfrak{M}$ denotes the lattice of all totally local formations between \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{F} .

Corollary 7 [17]. *Let \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{M} be totally local formations. Then we have*

$$\mathfrak{F} \vee_{\infty} \mathfrak{M} /_{\infty} \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F} /_{\infty} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{M}.$$

Библиографические ссылки

1. Шеметков ЛА. *Формации конечных групп*. Москва: Наука; 1978. 272 с.
2. Скиба АН. *Алгебра формаций*. Минск: Беларуская навука; 1997. 240 с.
3. Doerk K, Hawkes TO. *Finite soluble groups*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter; 1992. 910 p. (De Gruyter expositions in mathematics). DOI: 10.1515/9783110870138.
4. Skiba AN. On one generalization of the local formations. *Problems of Physics, Mathematics and Technics*. 2018;34(1):79–82.
5. Zhang Chi, Skiba AN. On Σ_i^σ -closed classes of finite groups. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*. 2019;70(12):1966–1977. DOI: 10.1007/s11253-019-01619-6.
6. Chi Z, Skiba AN. A generalization of Kramer's theory. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*. 2019;158(1):87–99. DOI: 10.1007/s10474-018-00902-5.
7. Skiba AN. On some classes of sublattices of the subgroup lattice. *Journal of the Belarusian State University. Mathematics and Informatics*. 2019;3:35–47. DOI: 10.33581/2520-6508-2019-3-35-47.
8. Zhang Chi, Safonov VG, Skiba AN. On one application of the theory of n -multiply σ -local formations of finite groups. *Problems of Physics, Mathematics and Technics*. 2018;35(2):85–88.
9. Zhang Chi, Safonov VG, Skiba AN. On n -multiply σ -local formations of finite groups. *Communications in Algebra*. 2019;47(3):957–968. DOI: 10.1080/00927872.2018.1498875.
10. Skiba AN. On sublattices of the subgroup lattice defined by formation Fitting sets. *Journal of Algebra*. 2020;550:69–85. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.12.013.
11. Tsarev A. Laws of the lattices of σ -local formations of finite groups. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*. 2020;17(3):75. DOI: 10.1007/s00009-020-01510-w.
12. Safonov VG, Safonova IN, Skiba AN. On one generalization of σ -local and Baer-local formations. *Problems of Physics, Mathematics and Technics*. 2019;41(4):65–69.
13. Safonov VG, Safonova IN, Skiba AN. On Baer- σ -local formations of finite groups. *Communications in Algebra*. 2020;48(9):4002–4012. DOI: 10.1080/00927872.2020.1753760.
14. Skiba AN. On σ -subnormal and σ -permutable subgroups of finite groups. *Journal of Algebra*. 2015;436:1–16. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.04.010.
15. Safonov VG. The property of being algebraic for the lattice of all τ -closed totally saturated formations. *Algebra and Logic*. 2006;45(5):353–356. DOI: 10.1007/s10469-006-0032-5.
16. Safonov VG. On a question of the theory of totally saturated formations of finite groups. *Algebra Colloquium*. 2008;15(1):119–128. DOI: 10.1142/S1005386708000126.
17. Safonov VG. On modularity of the lattice of totally saturated formations of finite groups. *Communications in Algebra*. 2007;35(11):3495–3502. DOI: 10.1080/00927870701509354.

References

1. Shemetkov LA. *Formatsii konechnykh grupp* [Formations of finite groups]. Moscow: Nauka; 1978. 272 p. Russian.
2. Skiba AN. *Algebra formatsii* [Algebra of formations]. Minsk: Belaruskaya navuka; 1997. 240 p. Russian.
3. Doerk K, Hawkes TO. *Finite soluble groups*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter; 1992. 910 p. (De Gruyter expositions in mathematics). DOI: 10.1515/9783110870138.
4. Skiba AN. On one generalization of the local formations. *Problems of Physics, Mathematics and Technics*. 2018;34(1):79–82.
5. Zhang Chi, Skiba AN. On Σ_i^σ -closed classes of finite groups. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*. 2019;70(12):1966–1977. DOI: 10.1007/s11253-019-01619-6.
6. Chi Z, Skiba AN. A generalization of Kramer's theory. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*. 2019;158(1):87–99. DOI: 10.1007/s10474-018-00902-5.
7. Skiba AN. On some classes of sublattices of the subgroup lattice. *Journal of the Belarusian State University. Mathematics and Informatics*. 2019;3:35–47. DOI: 10.33581/2520-6508-2019-3-35-47.
8. Zhang Chi, Safonov VG, Skiba AN. On one application of the theory of n -multiply σ -local formations of finite groups. *Problems of Physics, Mathematics and Technics*. 2018;35(2):85–88.
9. Zhang Chi, Safonov VG, Skiba AN. On n -multiply σ -local formations of finite groups. *Communications in Algebra*. 2019;47(3):957–968. DOI: 10.1080/00927872.2018.1498875.
10. Skiba AN. On sublattices of the subgroup lattice defined by formation Fitting sets. *Journal of Algebra*. 2020;550:69–85. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.12.013.
11. Tsarev A. Laws of the lattices of σ -local formations of finite groups. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*. 2020;17(3):75. DOI: 10.1007/s00009-020-01510-w.
12. Safonov VG, Safonova IN, Skiba AN. On one generalization of σ -local and Baer-local formations. *Problems of Physics, Mathematics and Technics*. 2019;41(4):65–69.
13. Safonov VG, Safonova IN, Skiba AN. On Baer- σ -local formations of finite groups. *Communications in Algebra*. 2020;48(9):4002–4012. DOI: 10.1080/00927872.2020.1753760.
14. Skiba AN. On σ -subnormal and σ -permutable subgroups of finite groups. *Journal of Algebra*. 2015;436:1–16. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.04.010.
15. Safonov VG. The property of being algebraic for the lattice of all τ -closed totally saturated formations. *Algebra and Logic*. 2006;45(5):353–356. DOI: 10.1007/s10469-006-0032-5.
16. Safonov VG. On a question of the theory of totally saturated formations of finite groups. *Algebra Colloquium*. 2008;15(1):119–128. DOI: 10.1142/S1005386708000126.
17. Safonov VG. On modularity of the lattice of totally saturated formations of finite groups. *Communications in Algebra*. 2007;35(11):3495–3502. DOI: 10.1080/00927870701509354.