ON SOME UPPER BOUNDS FOR NONCENTRAL CHI-SQUARE CDF

V.A. Voloshko¹, E.V. Vecherko²

^{1,2}Research Institute for Applied Problems of Mathematics and Informatics Minsk, BELARUS

e-mail: ¹valeravoloshko@yandex.ru, ²vecherko@bsu.by

Abstract

Some new upper bounds for noncentral chi-square cdf are derived from the basic symmetries of the multidimensional standard Gaussian distribution. The proposed new bounds have analytically simple form compared to analogues available in the literature, and may be useful both in theory and in applications: for proving inequalities related to noncentral chi-square cdf, and for bounding powers of Pearson's chi-squared tests.

Keywords: data science, noncentral chi-square distribution, upper bound

1 Introduction

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu = (\mu_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\lambda = \|\mu\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \mu_i^2$. Then the cumulative distribution function (cdf) of the noncentral chi-square distribution with d degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter λ is defined as follows:

$$f(x, d, \lambda) ::= \mathbf{P} \left\{ \|\xi - \mu\|^2 \le x \right\}, \ x \ge 0.$$
(1)

Here $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a standard normally distributed random *d*-vector. For the central chi-square cdf ($\lambda = 0$) we use brief notation f(x, d) ::= f(x, d, 0).

The function (1) plays an important role in mathematical statistics. In particular, consider the classical problem of statistical hypothesis testing of null-hypothesis H_0 : $\mathcal{L}\{y_t\} = p = (p_i)_{i=1}^K$ against point alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \mathcal{L}\{y_t\} = q = (q_i)_{i=1}^K$, where $\{y_t\}_{t=1}^T$ are T observed i.i.d. random variables. If the significance level $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is fixed, and H_1 is contiguous to H_0 , i.e. $T \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{p_i} \to \lambda > 0$ as $T \to \infty$, then the probability β of type II error of the standard Pearson's chi-squared test converges to the value (1) with d = K - 1 and $x = F_{\chi_d^2}^{-1}(1 - \alpha)$. Hence the upper bounds for (1) provide the lower bounds for asymptotic power of chi-squared test under contiguous alternatives.

The function (1) is well studied analytically, being closely related to the generalized Marcum functions [1, 2] and modified Bessel function of the first kind [3]. Various upper and lower bounds for (1) are also available in the literature [1, 4]. These bounds, however, are analytically as complex as (1) itself, being based on complex transcendental functions like modified Bessel function [1] or the moments of truncated normal distribution [4]. We present here some new upper bounds for (1). These bounds are of a relatively simple analytical form and may be useful both in theory (proving inequalities related to (1)) and in applications (bounding powers of chi-squared tests).

2 Upper bounds for noncentral chi-square cdf

Since the value (1) is a standard Gaussian measure of a ball $B_{\mu,\sqrt{x}}$ of radius \sqrt{x} with center μ , our idea is to construct upper bounds of the form

$$f(x, d, \lambda) \le \mathbf{P} \left\{ \xi \in A \right\}, \ B_{\mu, \sqrt{x}} \subset A \subset \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(2)

Let $\Pi_1, \Pi_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be orthogonally complemented subspaces. Minkowski sums $A_i = B_{\mu,\sqrt{x}} + \Pi_i$ are cylindric sets containing $B_{\mu,\sqrt{x}}$. Due to properties of standard normal distribution, the events $\xi \in A_i$ are independent and $\mathbf{P} \{\xi \in A_i\} = f(x, d_i, \lambda_i)$, where $d_i = \dim \Pi_i$ and λ_i is a squared norm of an orthogonal projection of μ onto Π_i . The set $A = A_1 \cap A_2$ in (2) leads to the following upper bound.

Lemma 1. Let $d = d_1 + d_2$, $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$, $\lambda_i \ge 0$, $d_i \in \mathbb{N}$, i = 1, 2. Then the following inequality holds:

$$f(x, d, \lambda) \le f(x, d_1, \lambda_1) f(x, d_2, \lambda_2).$$
(3)

Since $f(x, 1, \lambda) = \Phi \Big|_{\sqrt{\lambda} - \sqrt{x}}^{\sqrt{\lambda} + \sqrt{x}}$, where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the standard Gaussian cdf, we get from (3):

$$f(x,d,\lambda) \le f(x,d-1) \cdot \Phi \left| \begin{array}{c} \sqrt{\lambda} + \sqrt{x} \\ \sqrt{\lambda} - \sqrt{x} \end{array} \right|.$$
(4)

Repeated application of (3) also gives the following bounds:

$$f(x,d,\lambda) \le \left(\Phi \begin{vmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda/d} + \sqrt{x} \\ \sqrt{\lambda/d} - \sqrt{x} \end{vmatrix}\right)^d,\tag{5}$$

$$f(x,d,\lambda) \le \left(\Phi \left| \frac{\sqrt{x}}{-\sqrt{x}} \right)^{d-1} \Phi \left| \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}+\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{\lambda}-\sqrt{x}} \right| .$$
(6)

Another way to construct covering set A in (2) is based on unitary invariance of standard normal distribution. Namely, let us assume $d \ge 2$, $x \le \lambda$, and define $A_1 = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^d : |||w|| - \sqrt{\lambda}| \le \sqrt{x}\}$, $A_2 = \{c \cdot w : c \ge 0, w \in B_{\mu,\sqrt{x}}\}$. According to mentioned unitary invariance, the events $\xi \in A_i$ are independent as well. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{P} \{\xi \in A_1\} = f(\cdot, d) \Big|_{(\sqrt{\lambda} + \sqrt{x})^2}^{(\sqrt{\lambda} + \sqrt{x})^2}$, while A_2 is a cone and $\mathbf{P} \{\xi \in A_2\}$ equals normalized Lebesgue measure of a spherical ball $A_2 \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ of radius $\operatorname{arcsin}(\sqrt{x/\lambda})$ (in spherical metric). Hence we get:

Lemma 2. The following inequality holds for $d \ge 2$, $x \le \lambda$:

$$f(x,d,\lambda) \le f(\cdot,d) \left|_{(\sqrt{\lambda}-\sqrt{x})^2}^{(\sqrt{\lambda}+\sqrt{x})^2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\arccos(\sqrt{x}/\lambda)} (\sin\rho)^{d-2} d\rho.$$
(7)

Using the inequalities $\mathsf{P}\left\{\xi \in A_2\right\} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \ \Gamma(z+\frac{1}{2})/\Gamma(z) \leq \sqrt{z}, \ z > 0$, and

$$\int_0^{\rho_*} (\sin\rho)^{d-2} d\rho \le \int_0^{\rho_*} (\sin\rho)^{d-2} \frac{d\sin\rho}{\cos\rho_*} = \frac{(\sin\rho_*)^{d-1}}{(d-1)\cos\rho_*}$$

we obtain a weakened version of (7) having more explicit form:

$$f(x,d,\lambda) \le f(\cdot,d) \left|_{(\sqrt{\lambda} - \sqrt{x})^2}^{(\sqrt{\lambda} + \sqrt{x})^2} \cdot \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{(x/\lambda)^{d-1}}{2\pi(d-1)(1-x/\lambda)}}\right\}, \ d \ge 2, \ x \le \lambda.$$
(8)

For even d the bound (7) has completely explicit form since central chi-square pdf is integrable.

Corollary 1. The following inequalities hold for $x \leq \lambda$:

$$f(x,2,\lambda) \le \frac{2}{\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda+x)} \sinh\left(\sqrt{\lambda x}\right) \arcsin\left(\sqrt{x/\lambda}\right), \tag{9}$$
$$f(x,4,\lambda) \le \frac{2}{\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda+x)} \left(\left(1+\frac{\lambda+x}{2}\right) \sinh\left(\sqrt{\lambda x}\right) - \sqrt{\lambda x} \cosh\left(\sqrt{\lambda x}\right)\right)$$

$$\times \left(\arcsin\left(\sqrt{x/\lambda}\right) - \lambda^{-1}\sqrt{x(\lambda-x)} \right).$$
(10)

Combining (3) with (9), we get the following bounds for even d = 2k and $x \leq \lambda/k$:

$$f(x, 2k, \lambda) \le e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + kx)} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \sinh\left(\sqrt{\lambda x/k}\right) \arcsin\left(\sqrt{kx/\lambda}\right)\right)^k,$$
 (11)

$$f(x, 2k, \lambda) \le \frac{2}{\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + kx)} \sinh^{k-1}(x) \sinh\left(\sqrt{\lambda_* x}\right) \arcsin\left(\sqrt{x/\lambda_*}\right), \qquad (12)$$

where $\lambda_* = \lambda - (k-1)x$. The bounds similar to (11), (12) can be obtained from (10) for d = 4k.

3 Computer experiments

The four plots on the Figure 1 illustrate the upper bounds for (1) proposed in the paper. On the plots A, B and D we see that the corresponding upper bounds are strictly ordered for the chosen d and λ . This observation allows us to formulate the following.

Conjecture 1. The upper bounds for (1) are ordered as follows:

- 1. "(6) \leq (5)" for any $x \geq 0$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \geq 0$;
- 2. "(11) \leq (12)" for any $0 \leq x \leq \lambda/k$, d = 2k (even), $\lambda \geq 0$;
- 3. " $(10) \le (6) \le (11)$ " for any $0 \le x \le \lambda/2$, d = 4, $\lambda \ge 0$.

The inequality "(4) \leq (6)" is not included in Conjecture 1, because it obviously follows from (5). The plot C allows to conjecture that for $d \geq 2$ the upper bound (8) is better than (4) for small $x \leq x_*$ up to some $x_* \leq \lambda$, and vice versa for $x_* \leq x \leq \lambda$.



Figure 1: Noncentral chi-square cdf (1) (lower black lines) and its upper bounds (upper broken lines)

References

- Segura J. (2014). Monotonicity Properties and Bounds for the Chi-square and Gamma Distributions. Applied Mathematics and Computation. Vol. 246, pp. 399-415.
- [2] Andras Sz., Baricz A., Sun Y. (2011). The Generalized Marcum Q-function: an Orthogonal Polynomial Approach. Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Mathematica. Vol. 3, Num. 1, pp. 60-76.
- [3] Bateman H., Erdelyi A. (1953). Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. 2. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [4] Cook J.D. (2010). Upper Bounds on Non-central Chi-squared Tails and Truncated Normal Moments. UT MD Anderson Cancer Center Department of Biostatistics Working Paper Series. Working Paper 62, pp. 1-4.