

16. Hall E. The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday, 1959. 242 p.
17. Бауман З. Глобализация: Последствия для человека и общества. М.: Весь мир, 2004. 188 p.
18. Бек У. Что такое глобализация? М.: Прогресс-Традиция, 2001. 296 с.
19. Lyotard J.-Fr., Thebaud J.-L. Just Gaming. Minnesota: Minnesota Univ. Press, 1985. 136 p.
20. Lyotard J.-Fr. Differend: Phrases in Dispute. Manchester: Manchester Univer. Press, 1988. 226 p.
21. Бодрийяр Ж. В тени молчаливого большинства, или Конец социального // Призрак толпы. М.: Алгоритм, 2007. С. 186–227.

References

1. Vermeulen T., Akker R., van den. Notes on metamodernism. *Journal of Aesthetics and Culture*. 2010;2:1-14.
2. The Anti-aesthetic: Essays on postmodern culture. Port Townsend, Wash.: Bay Press; 1983. 159 p.
3. Western philosophy of the late 20th – early 21st century. Ideas. Problems. Trends. Russian academy of Sciences. Institute of Philosophy. Ed. by II. Blauberg. Moscow: IF RAN Publ.; 2012. 211 p. (In Russ.)
4. Lyotard J.-F. The state of the postmodern. Saint-Petersburg: Aleteiya Publ.; 1998. 160 p. (In Russ.)
5. Hart C. Postmodernism. Moscow: FAIR-PRESS; 2006. 272 p. (In Russ.)
6. Inozemtsev VL. Postmodern. Post-modernity. *New philosophical encyclopedia*. Moscow: Mysl Publ.; 2010. P. 187 [Internet], URL: <https://iphlib.ru/greenstone3/library/collection/newphilenc/page/about> (data obrashcheniya 10 March 2018). (In Russ.)
7. Mnatsakanyan MO. Postmodernism. Origin, nature and place in modern sociology. Moscow: YuNITI-DANA Publ.; 2015. 335 p. (In Russ.)
8. Pilyugina EV. Postmodern and postmodernism. The issue of explication and demarcation of concepts. *Concept: Scientific and methodical electronic journal* [Internet]. 2013;4:36-40. URL: <http://e-koncept.ru/2013/13072.htm> (data obrashcheniya 21 April 2018). (In Russ.)
9. Welsh W. Our postmodern modern. Kiev: Al'terpres Publ.; 2004. 328 p. (In Ukrainian)
10. Foucault M. Intellectuals and Power. Articles and interviews: 1970–1984. Moscow: Praksis Publ.; 2006. 320 p. (In Russ.)
11. Gubman B.L. Philosophical thought. Reception and interpretation. E. Levinas and J. Derrida. Philosophical criticism of the discourse of power. *Philosophical Sciences*. 2016;9:77-91. (In Russ.)
12. Hiller B. Style of the twentieth century. Moscow: Slovo Publ.; 2004. 240 p. (In Russ.)
13. Horkheimer M., Adorno T. Dialectics of Enlightenment. Moscow; Saint-Petersburg: Media-Yuventa Publ.; 1997. 312 p. (In Russ.)
14. Furs V. The polemics of Habermas and Foucault and the idea of a critical social theory. *Logos*. 2002;2:120-52. (In Russ.)