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A krausz ( $k, m$ )-partition of a graph $G$ is the partition of $G$ into cliques, such that any vertex belongs to at most $k$ cliques and any two cliques have at most $m$ vertices in common. The $m$-krausz dimension $\operatorname{kdim}_{m}(G)$ of the graph $G$ is the minimum number $k$ such that $G$ has a krausz $(k, m)$-partition. 1-krausz dimension is known and studied krausz dimension of graph $\operatorname{kdim}(G)$. In this paper we prove, that the problem " $\operatorname{ddim}(G) \leq 3$ " is polynomially solvable for chordal graphs, thus partially solving the problem of P. Hlineny and J. Kratochvil. We show, that the problem of finding $m$ krausz dimension is NP-hard for every $m \geq 1$, even if restricted to (1,2)-colorable graphs, but the problem " $k \operatorname{dim}_{m}(G) \leq k$ " is polynomially solvable for $(\infty, 1)$-polar graphs for every fixed $k, m \geq 1$.
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## INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. The vertex and the edge sets of a graph (hypergraph) $G$ are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ respectively. Given a graph $G$, let $G(X)$ and $\bar{G}$ denote, respectively, the subgraph of $G$ induced by a set $X \subseteq V(G)$ and the complement of $G$.

A krausz partition of a graph $G$ is the partition of $G$ into cliques (called clusters of the partition), such that every edge of $G$ belongs to exactly one cluster. If every vertex of $G$ belongs to at most $k$ clusters then the partition is called krausz $k$-partition. The krausz dimen$\operatorname{sion} \operatorname{kdim}(G)$ of the graph $G$ is the minimum $k$ such that $G$ has krausz $k$-partition.

Krausz $k$-partitions are closely connected with the representation of a graph as the intersection graph of a hypergraph. The intersection graph $L(H)$ of a hypergraph $H=(V(H), E(H))$ is defined as follows:

1. the vertices of $L(H)$ are in a bijective correspondence with the edges of $H$;
2. two vertices are adjacent in $L(H)$ if and only if the corresponding edges have a nonempty intersection.
Hypergraph $H$ is called linear, if any two of its edges have at most one common vertex; $k$-uniform, if every edge contains $k$ vertices; Helly hypergraph, if for every family of
hyperedges $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{r} \in E(H)$ such that $E_{i} \bigcap E_{i} \neq \varnothing$ for every $i, j=1, \ldots, r$ we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} E_{i} \neq \varnothing$.

The multiplicity of the pair of vertices $u, v$ of the hypergraph $H$ is the number $m(u, v)=|\{E \in E(H): u, v \in E\}| ;$ the multiplicity $m(H)$ of the hypergraph $H$ is the maximum multiplicity of the pairs of its vertices. So, linear hypergraphs are the hypergraphs with the multiplicity 1.

Denote by $H^{*}$ the dual hypergraph of $H$ and by $H_{[2]}$ the 2-section of $H$ (i. e. the simple graph obtained by transformation each edge into a clique). It follows immediately from the definition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(H)=\left(H^{*}\right)_{[2]} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(first this relation was implicitly formulated by C. Berge in [2]). This relation implies that a graph $G$ has krausz $k$-partition if and only if it is intersection graph of linear $k$-uniform hypergraph.

A graph is called $(p, q)$-colorable, if its vertex set could be partitioned into $p$ cliques and $q$ stable sets. In this terms $(1,1)$-colorable graphs are well-known split graphs.

Another generalization of split graphs is the class of polar graphs. A graph $G$ is called polar if there exists a partition of its vertex set

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(G)=A \bigcup B, \quad A \cap B=\varnothing \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(bipartition $(A, B)$ ) such that all connected components of the graphs $\bar{G}(A)$ and $G(B)$ are complete graphs. If, in addition, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are fixed integers, and the orders of connected components of the graphs $\bar{G}(A)$ and $G(B)$ are at most $\alpha$ and $\beta$ respectively, then the polar graph $G$ with bipartition (2) is called ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-polar. Given a polar graph $G$ with bipartition (2), if the order of connected components of the graph $\bar{G}(A)$ (the graph $G(B)$ ) is not restricted above, then the parameter $\alpha$ (respectively $\beta$ ) is supposed to be equal $\infty$. Thus an arbitrary polar graph is $(\infty, \infty)$-polar, and a split graph is $(1,1)$-polar.

Denote by $\operatorname{KDIM}(k)$ the problem of determining whether $\operatorname{kdim}(G) \leq k$ and by $\operatorname{KDIM}$ the problem of finding the krausz dimension.

The class of line graphs (intersection graphs of linear 2-uniform hypergraphs, i. e. graphs with krausz dimension at most 2) have been studied for a long time. It is characterized by a finite list of forbidden induced subgraphs [1], efficient algorithms for recognizing it (i. e. solving the problem $\operatorname{KDIM}(2)$ ) and constructing the corresponding krausz 2-partition are also known (see for example [4], [7], [13], [14]).

The situation changes radically if one takes $k=3$ instead of $k=2$ : the problem $\operatorname{KDIM}(k)$ is NP-complete for every fixed $k \geq 3$ [5]. The case $k=3$ was studied in the different papers (see [6], [10], [11], [12], [15]), and several graph classes, where the problem $\operatorname{KDIM}(3)$ is polynomially solvable or remains NP-complete, were found.

In [5] P. Hlineny and J. Kratochvil studied the computational complexity of the krausz dimension in detail. In particular, they proved, that for chordal graphs the problem $\operatorname{KDIM}(k)$ is NP-complete for every $k \geq 6$.

So, P. Hlineny and J. Kratochvil posed the problem of deciding the complexity of $\operatorname{KDIM}(k)$ restricted to chordal graphs for $k=3,4,5$. In this paper we give a partial answer to this problem (namely, in the case $k=3$ ).

Further we consider the natural generalization of the krausz dimension. The krausz $(k, m)$-partition of a graph $G$ is the partition of $G$ into cliques (called clusters of the partition), such that any vertex belongs to at most $k$ clusters of the partition, and any two clusters have at most $m$ vertices in common. As above, the relation (1) implies, that graphs with krausz ( $k, m$ )-partitions are exactly the intersection graphs of $k$-uniform hypergraphs with the multiplicity at most $m$. The $m$-krausz dimension $\operatorname{kdim}_{m}(G)$ of the graph $G$ is the minimum $k$ such that $G$ has a krausz $(k, m)$-partition. The krausz dimension in these terms is the 1 -krausz dimension. In this paper we present some computational complexity results concerning the $m$-krausz dimension of graph.

## FORMULATION OF THE RESULTS

Denote by $l c(H)$ and $\Delta(H)$ the length of a longest induced cycle and the maximum vertex degree of a graph $H$, respectively.

Lemma 1. There exists a polynomial-time algorithm, which takes a chordal graph $G$ as an input and constructs the graph $H$ with $\Delta(H) \leq 18$ and $l c(H) \leq 6$ such that $\operatorname{kdim}(G) \leq 3$ if and only if $\operatorname{kdim}(H) \leq 3$.
P. Hlineny and J. Kratochvil proved in [5], that the problem KDIM is polynomially solvable for graphs with bounded treewidth. The following relation was proved in [3]: if $l c(H) \leq s+2$ and $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta$, then $\operatorname{treewidth}(H) \leq \Delta(\Delta-1)^{s-1}$. These two facts together with Lemma 1 imply the following statement.

Theorem 2. The problem $\operatorname{KDIM(3)}$ is polynomially solvable for chordal graph.
Denote by $K D I M_{m}$ the problem of determining the $m$-krausz dimension of graph, by $\operatorname{KDIM}_{m}(k)$ the problem of determining whether $\operatorname{kdim}_{m}(G) \leq k$ and by $L_{k}^{m}$ the class of graphs with a krausz $(k, m)$-partition. It was proved in [8] that the class $L_{3}^{m}$ could not be characterized by a finite set of forbidden induced subgraphs for every $m \geq 2$, but the complexity of the problem $K D I M_{m}$ for an arbitrary $m$ was not established yet. We proved the following:

Theorem 3. The problem $K D I M_{m}$ is $N P$-hard for every $m \geq 1$, even if restricted to the class of (1, 2)-colorable graphs.

The class $L_{k}^{m}$ is hereditary (i. e. closed with respect to deleting the vertices) and therefore can be characterized by the infinite list of forbidden induced subgraphs. We proved the following:

Theorem 4. There exists a finite set $F$ of forbidden induced subgraphs such that an $(\infty, 1)$-polar graph $G$ belongs to the class $L_{k}^{m}$ if and only if no induced subgraph of $G$ is isomorphic to an element of $F$.

Corollary 5. The problem $\operatorname{KDIM}_{m}(k)$ is polynomially solvable in the class of $(\infty, 1)$ polar graphs for every fixed $k, m \geq 1$.

In particular, Corollary 5 generalizes the result of [5] and [9], that for every fixed $k$ the problem $\operatorname{KDIM}(k)$ is polynomially solvable for split graphs.
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