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#### Abstract

The analysis of the forward rate curve for enough wide class of one factor affine models of the term structure that includes not only Vasiček's Gaussian model and the square root model CIR but also models with any levels of the lower boundary of the short term (riskfree) interest rates is resulted. The multifactor Gaussian model is discussed in details too. The special attention is given to the problem connected with the tendency for the term structure of long term forward rates to slope downwards.

For one-factor models with stochastic volatility the following results are derived: the probability that the forward rate curve slopes downwards for long term yield rates is found and is shown that this probability is influenced essentially not only by interest rate volatility but also by level of the lower boundary of short term rates and parameters of the risk premium; the expectations, variances and covariances for the forward rates and the yield process volatility are calculated; the correlation between the forward rates and the yield process volatility is always positive and does not depend on term to maturity; its lower boundary is found; the average slope of the forward rate curves is negative for all terms to maturity.

For one factor models with deterministic volatility (Gaussian models) the probability that the forward rate curve slopes downwards for long term yield rates is found; this probability always increases as the term to maturity increases but has the upper boundary that is dependent on the interest rate volatility; in the mean the slope of the forward rate curve is too negative independent on term to maturity.

For multifactor Gaussian models the representation of state variable process in the explicit form is derived and its covariance matrix is found; the probability that the forward rate curve slopes downwards is found also.
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## Introduction

One of classical problems of financial economics is the analysis of behaviour of the yield on default free bonds depending on their maturities. At the certain assumptions it is possible to use mathematical model of available yield curve to extrapolate it to obtain the future values of yield rates. The forward rates can be obtained on the basis of knowledge of time structure of discount bonds (see details in Hull (1993)).

The forward rate term structure was investigated in a number of references from which we shall mention only a few. D. Heath, R. Jarrow, and A. Morton (1992) have developed the methodology for obtaining of stochastic processes of subsequent movements of forward rate curve. Brown and Schaefer (1994) have derived a forward rate curve for affine models of term structure. Kortanek and Medvedev (2001) have offered a minimax way of modelling forward curve on the basis of the observation of yield. Medvedev (2003) has presented the comparative analysis of yield curves and forward rate curves.

At the same time the area of term structure for long-term forward rates was not subject to detailed research as for short-term interest rates. Brown and Schaefer (2000) have discovered that the new information about the yield term structure can be received from the analysis of the long-term end of the forward rate curve. They have noted that the empirical data show that the forward rate curve for long term maturity is usually sloped downwards. Discussion of two factor Gaussian affine model of term structure at the certain assumptions has allowed to draw an inference that the slope of the forward rate curve on the longterm end always should be negative and this effect is connected to properties volatility of long term zero coupon yield. Moreover that is possible to predict volatility of long-term yield by observation a slope of the forward rate curves. This problem was empirically investigated Christiansen (2001), which provides cautious support to results of Brown and Schaefer (2000).

In the present paper the analysis of the forward rate curve is made for wider class of affine one factor models of the term structure including not only Vasiček's Gaussian model (1977) and the square root model CIR (1985) but also the models generated by the short term (riskfree) interest rates with the various levels of the lower boundary [see Ilieva $(2000,2001)$ or Medvedev (2003)]. The multifactor Gaussian model is discussed in details too.

## Properties of forward rate curves for affine models of term structure

The affine models of term structure occur when the short term (riskless) interest rate $r(t)$ follows the stochastic process described by the stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d r(t)=k(\theta-r(t)) d t+\sqrt{2 k D \frac{r(t)-x}{\theta-x}} d W(t), \quad r(0)>x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $d W(t)$ are the increments of the standard Brownian motions and the parameters of equation (1) have the following concrete practical sense: $\theta$ is stationary expectation of the short term interest rate $r(t) ; D$ is its stationary variance; $x$ is the parameter that has sense of lower reflecting boundary of process $r(t): r(t) \geq x$ for every $t$ (according to Feller (1951) this boundary is unattainable if $\left.(\theta-x)^{2}>D\right) ; k>0$ is the parameter that determines a velocity of transition of process (1) into stationary mode; there is another interpretation of the parameter $k$ : it determines an autocorrelation coefficient of process (1) in a form

$$
\rho(\tau)=E[(r(t)-\theta)(r(t+s)-\theta)] / D=\exp \{-k|s|\} .
$$

Let in the market the non arbitrage conditions are satisfied at the short term interest rates described by the equation (1). Then a market price of risk $\lambda(r)$ is defined by expression [see Ilieva (2001)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(r)=-\lambda \sqrt{\frac{r-x}{\theta-x}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameter $\lambda$ determines a value of risk premium, $\lambda \geq 0$.
The parameters $k, x, \theta$ and $D$ of equation (1) are constant therefore the process $r(t)$ is homogenous in the time. At the current time $t$, when $r(t)=r$, the price $P(r, t, T)$ of zero coupon bond, on which at date maturity $T$ the one money unit is paid, is determined by relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(r, t, T)=\exp \{A(T-t)-r B(T-t)\} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further for brevity a term to maturity of the zero coupon bond we shall designate $\tau \equiv T-t$. Models of the interest rates that allow to express the price of bond $P(r, t, T)$ as (3) form a class of affine term structures of interest rates. Functions of term structures $A(\tau)$ and $B(\tau)$ satisfy to the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d B}{d \tau}=1-\left(k+\lambda \frac{\sqrt{2 k D}}{\theta-x}\right) B(\tau)-\frac{k D}{\theta-x}[B(\tau)]^{2}, \quad B(0)=0  \tag{4}\\
\frac{d A}{d \tau}=-\left(k \theta+\lambda x \frac{\sqrt{2 k D}}{\theta-x}\right) B(\tau)-\frac{k D x}{\theta-x}[B(\tau)]^{2}, \quad A(0)=0 . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Solutions of these equations are expressed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
B(\tau)=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{e^{\varepsilon \tau}-1}+V\right)^{-1},  \tag{6}\\
A(\tau)=x[B(\tau)-\tau]-\frac{(\theta-x)^{2}}{D}[v \tau-\ln (1+v B(\tau))], \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where it is designated for brevity

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon=\sqrt{\left(k+\lambda \frac{\sqrt{2 k D}}{\theta-x}\right)^{2}+\frac{4 k D}{\theta-x}} \geq k>0, \\
v=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon-k-\lambda \frac{\sqrt{2 k D}}{\theta-x}\right) \geq 0, \quad V=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon+k+\lambda \frac{\sqrt{2 k D}}{\theta-x}\right) \geq k>0 . \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that $v+V=\varepsilon, \nu V=k D /(\theta-x)$.
Properties of functions of affine term structure $A(\tau)$ and $B(\tau)$ that are determined by formulae (6) - (7) are in detail investigated in Ilieva (2000).

The forward rate $f(t, T, T)$ determines the bond yield between dates $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ such that $t<T<T^{\prime}$ on the base of information about yield that is available at time $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, T, T^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{T^{\prime}-T} \ln \left[\frac{P(t, r, T)}{P\left(t, r, T^{\prime}\right)}\right]=\frac{r\left[B\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)-B(\tau)\right]-A\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)+A(\tau)}{\tau^{\prime}-\tau}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau^{\prime}=T^{\prime}-t$. As $T^{\prime} \rightarrow T$, i.e. $\tau^{\prime} \rightarrow \tau$, the forward rate (9) turn into the socalled instantaneous forward rate

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, T)=f(\tau)=-\frac{\partial \ln P(t, r, T)}{\partial T}=r \frac{d B(\tau)}{d \tau}-\frac{d A(\tau)}{d \tau}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is used more often as it is connected by enough simple relations with bond yield to maturity $y(t, T)=y(\tau)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, T)=f(\tau)=\frac{\partial[(T-t) y(t, T)]}{\partial T}=y(\tau)+\tau \frac{d y(\tau)}{d \tau} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore more often a word-combination «the forward rate» means the instantaneous forward rate.

For the term structure models of the affine yield class Brown and Schaefer (1994) have offered to consider a forward rate curve of $f(\tau)$ as the complicated function that depends on term to maturity $\tau$ only through the function of affine structure $B(\tau)$, i.e. $f(\tau) \equiv F(B(\tau))$. First, it is convenient because an interval of possible values of function $B(\tau)$ is finite according to (6). In this connection the properties of functions $F(B)$ can be illustrated visually by plots on the whole of interval $(0, \infty)$ possible values of terms to maturity $\tau$. Second, as it was mentioned in CIR (1979) it is possible to consider the function $B(\tau)$ as a measure of a duration because by analogy with the standard duration of the bond price with respect to the interest rates (in this case with respect to short term rates) this function is determined by the formula $B(\tau)=-[\partial P / \partial r] / P$.

It is obtained from expression (4), (5) and (10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\tau) & \equiv F(B(\tau))=r+\left[k(\theta-r)-\lambda \sqrt{2 k D} \frac{r-x}{\theta-x}\right] B(\tau)-k D \frac{r-x}{\theta-x} B(\tau)^{2} \equiv \\
& \equiv r+[k(\theta-x)-(V-v)(r-x)] B(\tau)-v V(r-x) B(\tau)^{2} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The general properties of the forward rate curves $f(\tau)$ are presented in Medvedev (2003). Here we shall consider in more detail behavior of a forward curve for the long terms to maturity $\tau$.

From expression (10) it follows that

$$
\frac{d f(\tau)}{d \tau}=r \frac{d^{2} B(\tau)}{d \tau^{2}}-\frac{d A^{2}(\tau)}{d \tau^{2}}
$$

From the relations (4) - (7) it is possible to find that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d B(\tau)}{d \tau}=(1+v B(\tau))(1-V B(\tau)), \frac{d^{2} B(\tau)}{d \tau^{2}}=-(V-v+2 v V B(\tau)) \frac{d B(\tau)}{d \tau}, \\
\frac{d^{2} A(\tau)}{d \tau^{2}}=-k(\theta-x)(1+v B(\tau))(1-V B(\tau))+x \frac{d^{2} B(\tau)}{d \tau^{2}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d f(\tau)}{d \tau}=(r-x) \frac{d^{2} B(\tau)}{d \tau^{2}}+k(\theta-x)(1+v B(\tau))(1-V B(\tau))= \\
=[k(\theta-x)-[v+V-2 v(1-V B(\tau))](r-x)](1+v B(\tau))(1-V B(\tau)) . \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (6) it follows that as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ the function $B(\tau) \rightarrow V^{-1}$. Hence for $f(\tau)$ and $d f(\tau) / d \tau$ there are the limit relations as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\tau) \rightarrow f(\infty) \equiv \frac{k}{V} \theta+\left(1-\frac{k}{V}\right) x, \quad \frac{d f(\tau)}{d \tau} \rightarrow 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It means that if the terms to maturity increase then the forward rates tend to a constant, which takes values from an interval $[x, \theta]$ since by definition $0<k / V \leq 1$.

It is interesting to explain under what conditions the forward rate curve slopes downwards (i.e. has negative derivative) at long term to maturity. As it follows from representation (13) the derivative $d f(\tau) / d \tau$ is expressed in the form of product of three factors, two of which (the second and the third) are not negative by the definition. Therefore the forward rate curve slopes downwards if the following inequality is valid

$$
k(\theta-x)-[v+V-2 v(1-V B(\tau))](r-x)<0
$$

that it is more convenient to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r-x}{\theta-x}>\frac{k}{v+V-2 v(1-V B(\tau))} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the function $B(\tau)$ increases monotonously from the value $B(0)=0$ at $\tau=0$ up to the value $B(\infty)=V^{-1}$ at $\tau=\infty$, hence the right part of the inequality (15) is monotonously decreasing function $\tau$ from value $k /(V-v)$ at $\tau=0$ up to $k /(V+v)$ at $\tau=\infty$.

Thus the forward rate curve slopes downwards for any $\tau$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r-x}{\theta-x}>\frac{k}{V-v} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can have the negative slope for the some enough long maturities $\tau>\tau_{0}(r)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k}{V-v}>\frac{r-x}{\theta-x}>\frac{k}{V+v} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here it is designated by $\tau_{0}(r)$ such value $\tau$, at which the inequality (15) turns into equality.

Using formulae (8) it is possible to write inequalities $(15)-(17)$ in the explicit form through parameters of model (1).

Let's remind that by definition $r=r(t)$ is a value of process of the short term rate at time $t$. Hence this value can be considered as random variable. It is known also [Ilieva (2000)] that process $r(t)$ that is determined by the equation (1) under the conditions accepted above has the shifted gamma distribution with the following parameters: parameter of shift $x$, parameter of scale $D /(\theta-x)$ and parameter of the form $(\theta-x)^{2} / D$.

The probability density function of this random variable $r$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r)=\frac{\left(\frac{\theta-x}{D}\right)^{\frac{(\theta-x)^{2}}{D}}(r-x)^{\frac{(\theta-x)^{2}}{D}-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{(\theta-x)^{2}}{D}\right)} \exp \left(-\frac{(\theta-x)(r-x)}{D}\right), \quad r>x \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let's remind that the inequality $(\theta-x)^{2}>D$ takes place according to Feller's condition for unattainability of the lower boundary of the short term rate process $r(t)$ accepted above. Therefore the parameter of the form $(\theta-x)^{2} / D>1$.

It is more convenient to deal not with the random variable $r$ but with its affine transformation $z=(r-x) /(\theta-x)$. The random variable $z$ has the ordinary gamma distribution with parameter of the form $(\theta-x)^{2} / D$ and parameter of scale
$D /(\theta-x)^{2}$. Let's designate the gamma distribution function with parameter of the form $\alpha$ and parameter of scale $\beta$ through $G(r \mid \alpha, \beta)$. Then the probability PSD, that it is existing $\tau_{0}(r)<\infty$ such that for enough long terms to maturity $\tau>\tau_{0}(r)$ the forward interest rates will slope downwards, is equal to probability of fulfillment of inequalities (16) or (17), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{PSD}=1-G\left(\frac{k}{v+V} \left\lvert\, \frac{(\theta-x)^{2}}{D}\right., \frac{D}{(\theta-x)^{2}}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, PSD depends not only from parameters $k, x, \theta$ and $D$ of process (1) but also on a parameter of a market price of risk $\lambda$ through values $v$ and $V$ (see the formula (8)). Figure 1 presents PSD as function of arguments $x$ and $\lambda$ for values of parameters $k=0,2339, \theta=0,0808$ and $D=0,00126$ corresponding to the empirical estimations received in CKLS (1992) at analysis of the annualized one-month U.S. Treasury bill yield from June 1964 to December 1989 (306 observations). Figure 2 presents PSD as function arguments $x$ and $D$ for values of parameters $k=0,892, \theta=0,0905$ and $\lambda=0,0789$ according to the empirical estimations received in Ait-Sahalia (1996) at analysis the 7-day Eurodollar

timations received in Ait-Sahalia (1996) at analysis the 7-day Eurodollar deposit
Fig. 1. Probability PSD that for enough long maturities the forward rate curve will slope downwards as function of the lower boundary $x$ of process of the short term rate at various values of parameter $\lambda$ market price of risk.


Fig. 2. Probability PSD that for enough long terms to maturity the forward rate curve will slope downwards as function of the lower boundary $x$ of process of the short term rate at various values of stationary variance $D$ of the short term interest rate.
spot rate, daily from 1 Jun 1973 to 25 Feb 1995 ( 5505 observations). Note that according to the Feller's condition of unattainability of lower boundary for set of parameters given on figure 2 the variance $D$ cannot be more 0,008 .

From these figures it follows that probability PSD is more sensitive to parameter $\lambda$ market price of risk than to stationary variance $D$ of the short-term interest rate, i.e. to volatility of yield process that is directly proportional $\sqrt{D}$.

The probability PSD that the forward rate curve slopes downwards at some maturity $\tau$ is determined as the probability that the inequality (15) is held. Tables 1 and 2 present the estimates of parameters that influence on this probability for some real data. The parameter $v$ for these real data is very close to zero and this fact practically exclude a dependence on time to maturity $\tau$ for probability at these data. The values of probabilities PSD are shown in the Table 2. They are not exceeded 0,5 .

In conclusion of this section we note that from representation (6) it follows that for the long terms to maturities $V B(\tau) \approx 1-\varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon \tau} / V$. Therefore for the long maturities $\tau$ the formula (13) for a derivative of forward rate curve can be presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f(\tau \mid r)}{d \tau}=[k(\theta-x)-\varepsilon(r-x)]\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{V}\right)^{2} e^{-\varepsilon \tau}+o\left(e^{-\varepsilon \tau}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that on the long-term end of term structure the derivative $d f(\tau \mid r) / d \tau$ on absolute value exponentially decreases.

## Forward rates and yield volatility

The term structure of forward rates $f(\tau) \equiv f(\tau \mid r)$ is defined by equation (12). In this expression as already it has above been told the only variable can be considered as random. It is $r-$ a value of riskfree interest rate at present time $t$. According to (3) by the same reason the yield to maturity is also a random variable and its volatility $\sigma_{y}(\tau \mid r)$ differs from volatility of short term rates only by multiplier $B(\tau) / \tau$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{y}(\tau \mid r)=\frac{B(\tau)}{\tau} \sqrt{2 k D \frac{r-x}{\theta-x}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The random variable $r$ has the probability density function that is determined by expression (18). Therefore it is possible to calculate the moments $f(\tau \mid r)$ and $\sigma_{y}(\tau \mid r)$ that results in to formulae

$$
\begin{gather*}
E[f(\tau \mid r)]=\theta+[k-(V-v)-v V B(\tau)](\theta-x) B(\tau)= \\
=\theta-[\lambda \sqrt{2}+\sqrt{k D} B(\tau)] \sqrt{k D} B(\tau) .  \tag{22}\\
\operatorname{Var}[f(\tau \mid r)]=[(1-V B(\tau))(1+v B(\tau))]^{2} D .  \tag{23}\\
E\left[\sigma_{y}(\tau \mid r)\right]=\sqrt{2 k D} \frac{B(\tau)}{\tau} Q .  \tag{24}\\
\left.\operatorname{Var}_{y}(\tau \mid r)\right]=2 k D\left(\frac{B(\tau)}{\tau}\right)^{2}\left(1-Q^{2}\right) . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here for brevity the designation is used

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \equiv \Gamma\left(\frac{(\theta-x)^{2}}{D}+\frac{1}{2}\right) /\left[\frac{\theta-x}{\sqrt{D}} \Gamma\left(\frac{(\theta-x)^{2}}{D}\right)\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where by symbol $\Gamma$ (.) the gamma function is designated. It follows from the properties of gamma functions that the function $Q(u)=\Gamma(u+1 / 2) /\left[u^{1 / 2} \Gamma(u)\right]$, that is set by formula (26), is determined only for positive values of argument and monotonously increases. Furthermore $Q(u) \sqrt{1+1 / 4 u^{2}} \rightarrow 1$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Because it is assumed that the Feller's condition for unattainability of the lower boundary by the riskfree rate process $r(t)$ is fulfilled then this is equivalent to inequality $u=(\theta-x)^{2} / D>1$. The calculation show that for $u>1$ there is fulfilled $Q(u)>0,8$, i.e. $Q(u) / \sqrt{1-[Q(u)]^{2}}>4 / 3$.

Covariance of the forward rate $f(\tau \mid r)$ and volatility $\sigma_{y}(\tau \mid r)$ is expressed by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cov}\left[f(\tau \mid r), \sigma_{y}(\tau \mid r)\right]=[(1-V B(\tau))(1+v B(\tau))] \sqrt{2 k D} \frac{B(\tau)}{2 \tau} \frac{D Q}{\theta-x} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this by expressions (23) and (25) it is easy to calculate the correlation coefficient of forward rate $f(\tau \mid r)$ and volatility $\sigma_{y}(\tau \mid r)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{1}{2} \frac{D Q}{(\theta-x)^{2}} / \sqrt{1-Q^{2}}>\frac{2}{3} \frac{D}{(\theta-x)^{2}}>0 . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the correlation of the forward rate $f(\tau \mid r)$ and the volatility yield process $\sigma_{y}(\tau \mid r)$ is always positive and their correlation coefficient can not be less than $(2 / 3) D /(\theta-x)^{2}$.

In addition it is interesting to note that in spite of the fact that expectations and variances of the forward rate $f(\tau \mid r)$ and the volatility yield process $\sigma_{y}(\tau \mid r)$ depend on the term to maturity $\tau$ the correlation between these functions does not depend on $\tau$ and is the same for all maturities and monotonously decreases with growth of value $u=(\theta-x)^{2} / D$. For example, as $u$ increases from 1 up to 20 the correlation coefficient $\rho$ decreases from 0,9565 up to 0,2229 .

For affine Gaussian model of term structure, i.e. Vasiček's model, the lower boundary of the riskfree rates $x=-\infty$. Therefore for this model $u \rightarrow \infty$ and then $Q(u) \rightarrow 1$. Estimate the correlation coefficient for this case. At great values $u$ for asymptotic representations of gamma function it is possible to use the Stirling's formula

$$
\Gamma(u)=\sqrt{2 \pi} u^{u-1 / 2} e^{-u}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 u}+o\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)\right)
$$

Use of this extension in the formula (28) results in expression for great values of argument $u=(\theta-x)^{2} / D$ :

$$
\rho=\frac{\sqrt{D}}{\theta-x}\left(1-\frac{3}{32} \frac{D}{(\theta-x)^{2}}+o\left(\frac{D}{(\theta-x)^{2}}\right)\right) .
$$

Thus, as $x \rightarrow-\infty$ the correlation coefficient $\rho \rightarrow 0$. It is a natural result (in Vasiček's model the volatility is deterministic) as in Gaussian models of term structure the correlation of the forward rate $f(\tau \mid r)$ and the yield process volatility $\sigma_{y}(\tau \mid r)$ is absent.

For model of the term structure generated by riskfree rate process $r(t)$ «with a square root», i.e. the CIR models, the lower boundary of riskfree rates $x=0$. In table 1 the empirical data are given for the CIR model parameters that is estimated by different authors and corresponding values of the correlation coefficient $\rho$ are given too. In this table by the symbol $\sigma$ is designated the volatility parameter of the short term interest rate for standard representation of CIR model for process $r(t)$

$$
d r(t)=k(\theta-r(t)) d t+\sigma \sqrt{r(t)} d W(t)
$$

## Table 1

## Correlation between the forward rate and volatility for some empirical data fitted by model CIR

| Data source | $k$ | $\theta$ | $\sigma$ | $D$ | $u$ | $\rho$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CKLS (1992) | 0,2339 | 0,0808 | 0,0854 | 0,00126 | 5,1778 | 0,4345 |
| Sun (1992) | 1,1570 | 0,0520 | 0,1223 | 0,00034 | 8,0496 | 0,3498 |
| Gibbons \& Ramaswamy (1993), I | 1,0358 | 0,0154 | 0,4900 | 0,00015 | 1,5945 | 0,7673 |
| Gibbons \& Ramaswamy (1993), II | 1,2040 | 0,0264 | 0,5459 | 0,00027 | 2,5600 | 0,6118 |
| Pearson \& Sun (1994) | 0,8762 | 0,0311 | 0,1707 | 0,00052 | 1,8704 | 0,7111 |
| Ait-Sahalia (1996) | 0,8922 | 0,0905 | 0,1809 | 0,00166 | 4,9320 | 0,4450 |
| Duffie \& Singleton (1997), I | 0,5440 | 0,3740 | 0,0230 | 0,00018 | 769,21 | 0,0008 |
| Duffie \& Singleton (1997), II | 0,0030 | 0,2580 | 0,0190 | 0,01552 | 4,2881 | 0,4764 |
| Bali (1999) | 0,0317 | 0,0642 | 0,0265 | 0,00071 | 5,8147 | 0,4105 |

CKLS (1992): the annualized one-month U.S. Treasury bill yield from June 1964 to December 1989 (306 observations); Sun (1992): 182 monthly observations of U.S. Treasury prices from November 1971 to December 1986; Gibbons \& Ramaswamy (1993), I: monthly data from 1964 to 1989 on U.S. Treasury bill returns; Gibbons \& Ramaswamy (1993), II: monthly data from 1976 to 1989 on U.S. Treasury bill returns; Pearson and Sun (1994): 181 monthly prices of ten U.S. Treasury bills, notes and bonds from Dec 1971 to Dec 1986 (equally weighted bond portfolios); Ait-Sahalia (1996): the 7-day Eurodollar deposit spot rate, daily from 1 Jun 1973 to 25 Feb 1995 (5505 observations); Duffie \& Singleton (1997), I: weekly data from 4 January 1988 to 28 October 1994 U.S. Treasury bond (zero prices); Duffie \& Singleton (1997), II: weekly data from 4 January 1988 to 28 October 1994 U.S. Treasury bond (yields); Bali (1999): annualized one-month U.S. Treasury bill yield from June 1964 to December 1996 ( 390 observations).

In Brown and Schaefer (2000) it is offered to use a slope of forward rate curve to predict the volatility of long term yield rates. As a result of the analysis of forward rate spread there it is found that for real parameters of the interest rate model the forward rate spread is linearly connected with (local) variance of yield per time unit, i.e. the square of the yield process volatility. We shall consider this problem for examined model.

The slope of the forward rate curve is characterized by derivative (13) of forward curve with respect to term to maturity. Because in expression (13) the value $r=r(t)$ is a random variable then two first moments of a derivative of the forward curve will be calculated by formulae

$$
\begin{gather*}
\begin{array}{c}
E\left[\frac{d f(\tau \mid r)}{d \tau}\right]=(1+v B(\tau))(1-V B(\tau))[k-(V-v)-v V B(\tau)](\theta-x)= \\
=-\sqrt{2 k D}[\lambda+B \sqrt{2 k D}](1+v B(\tau))(1-V B(\tau))
\end{array} \\
\operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{d f(\tau \mid r)}{d \tau}\right]=(1+v B(\tau))^{2}(1-V B(\tau))^{2}[V-v+v V B(\tau)] D . \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Two first moments of $\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau \mid r)=\left(\frac{B(\tau)}{\tau}\right)^{2} 2 k D \frac{r-x}{\theta-x}$, i.e. the (local) variance of yield per the time unit, are expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau \mid r)\right]=\left(\frac{B(\tau)}{\tau}\right)^{2} 2 k D . \quad \operatorname{Var}\left[\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau \mid r)\right]=\left(\frac{B(\tau)}{\tau}\right)^{4}(2 k D)^{2} \frac{D}{(\theta-x)^{2}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariance of the forward curve derivative and the yield variance is determined by the formula

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left[\frac{d f}{d \tau}, \sigma_{y}^{2}\right]=-(1+v B(\tau))(1-V B(\tau))[V-v+v V B(\tau)]\left(\frac{B(\tau)}{\tau}\right)^{2} \frac{2 k D^{2}}{\theta-x}
$$

From here it follows that the correlation coefficient of the forward curve derivative and the yield variance for all maturities $\tau$ is equal to the minus unit:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left[d f / d \tau, \sigma_{y}^{2}\right]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[d f / d \tau] \operatorname{Var}\left[\sigma_{y}^{2}\right]}}=-1 . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

It means that these characteristics are linearly connected between themselves. Actually this fact is easy to set. If from formulae (13) and (21) to express value $(r-x)$ and to equate the obtained results then we obtain the following relation that is valid with probability 1 for any $\tau$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau \mid r)=\left(\frac{B(\tau)}{\tau}\right)^{2} \frac{[k(1+v B(\tau))(1-V B(\tau))(\theta-x)-(d f(\tau \mid r) / d \tau)] 2 k D}{(1+v B(\tau))(1-V B(\tau))[V-v+2 v V B(\tau)](\theta-x)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus on the basis of the above analysis it is possible to draw the following conclusions:

- the expectation of the derivative of forward rate curve with respect to term to maturity (29) is negative. It means, that in mean the slope of forward rate curve is negative for any terms to maturity $\tau$;
- the derivative of the forward rate curve with probability unit is connected with (local) variance of yield by affine relation (33) for any terms to maturity $\tau$;
- the correlation coefficient of the forward curve derivative and variance of yield (32) for all terms to maturity $\tau$ is equal to the minus unit at any values of the parameters having real economic sense.

Generally speaking, the formula (33) can be considered as a basis for determination of the local variance of yield $\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau \mid r)$ by the forward curve derivative $d f(\tau \mid r) / d \tau$ if this derivative can be estimated. Let's consider such opportunity. For convenience of reasoning it is convenient to present the formula (33) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau \mid r)=\xi(\tau)\left(k(\theta-x)-\zeta(\tau) \frac{d f(\tau \mid r)}{d \tau}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\xi(\tau) \equiv\left(\frac{B(\tau)}{\tau}\right)^{2} \frac{2 k D}{[V-v+2 v V B(\tau)](\theta-x)}, \quad \zeta(\tau) \equiv \frac{1}{(1+v B(\tau))(1-V B(\tau))}
$$

Note that the difference in the right part (34) is always positive as from representation (13) it follows that

$$
k(\theta-x)-\zeta(\tau) \frac{d f(\tau \mid r)}{d \tau}=\frac{[V-v+2 v V B(\tau)](r-x)}{(1+v B(\tau))(1-V B(\tau))}>0
$$

At small $\tau$ function $B(\tau)$ has representation $B(\tau)=\tau+O\left(\tau^{2}\right)$. Therefore for small $\tau$ the formula (33) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau \mid r) \approx \frac{2 k D}{k(\theta-x)+\lambda \sqrt{2 k D}}\left(k(\theta-x)-\frac{d f(\tau \mid r)}{d \tau}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

At long $\tau$ there is representation $V B(\tau) \approx 1-\varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon \tau} / V$ and the formula (34) can be approximately written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau \mid r) \approx \frac{2 v}{\varepsilon V \tau^{2}}\left(k(\theta-x)-\frac{V^{2} e^{\varepsilon \tau}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \frac{d f(\tau \mid r)}{d \tau}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus as it follows from representation (20) at long $\tau$ the derivative of forward rate curve exponentially decreases as $\tau$ increases, and the coefficient before a derivative in the formula (36) exponentially increases. It means that even small errors in estimation of the derivative of forward rate curve can be increased "exponentially" and the calculation of $\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau \mid r)$ by formula (34) can have the big errors.

For the real empirical data that presented in Table 1 the values of parameters are such that $V \approx \varepsilon$, and parameter $v$ is very small. In that case the formula (36) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau \mid r) \approx \frac{\varphi}{\tau^{2}}\left(\psi-e^{\varepsilon \tau} \frac{d f(\tau \mid r)}{d \tau}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi=2 v / \varepsilon^{2}$ and $\psi=k(\theta-x)$. In Table 2 values of these coefficients are presented. We shall remind that empirical data of Tables 1 concern to approximation of the real data in a case when the short term rates are generated by the CIR model, i.e. $x=0$.

## Table 2

Probability PSD and coefficients $\varphi$ and $\psi$ of formula (37)
for for some empirical data fitting by the CIR model

| Data source | $\varepsilon$ | $v$ | $V$ | PSD | $\varphi$ | $\psi$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CKLS (1992) | 0,23390 | $1,261 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0,23390 | 0,442 | $4,609 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0,0189 |
| Sun (1992) | 1,15700 | $3,363 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1,15700 | 0,453 | $5,024 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0,0602 |
| Gibbons \& Ramaswamy (1993), I | 1,03620 | $1,487 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1,03620 | 0,395 | $2,769 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0,0160 |
| Gibbons \& Ramaswamy (1993), II | 1,20451 | $2,721 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1,20451 | 0,417 | $3,751 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0,0318 |
| Pearson \& Sun (1994) | 0,87639 | $5,17 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0,87633 | 0,403 | $1,347 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0,0273 |
| Ait-Sahalia (1996) | 0,89219 | $1,660 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0,89219 | 0,440 | $4,172 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0,0807 |
| Duffie \& Singleton (1997), I | 0,54400 | $1,818 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0,54400 | 0,500 | $1,228 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0,2035 |
| Duffie \& Singleton (1997), II | 0,00303 | $1,544 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0,00302 | 0,445 | 3,36133 | 0,0008 |
| Bali (1999) | 0,03170 | $7,088 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0,03170 | 0,445 | $1,411 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0,0020 |

The analysis shows that if instead of derivative of the forward rate curve to use the forward rate spread then results will be very similar insignificantly differing in details. Really let $\tau_{2}=\tau+\delta, \tau_{1}=\tau-\delta$. We shall introduce designations $\Delta B(\tau, \delta) \equiv B\left(\tau_{2}\right)-B\left(\tau_{1}\right), \nabla B(\tau, \delta) \equiv B\left(\tau_{2}\right)+B\left(\tau_{1}\right)$. Then the forward rate spread with the help (12) it is possible to express as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta f(\tau, \delta) \equiv f\left(\tau_{2} \mid r\right)-f\left(\tau_{1} \mid r\right)= \\
=\left[k(\theta-r)-\lambda \sqrt{2 k D} \frac{r-x}{\theta-x}-k D \frac{r-x}{\theta-x} \nabla B(\tau, \delta)\right] \Delta B(\tau, \delta) . \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now using that $\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau \mid r)=\left(\frac{B(\tau)}{\tau}\right)^{2} 2 k D \frac{r-x}{\theta-x}$ it is possible to write the relation which with probability unit connects the spread $\Delta f(\tau, \delta)$ with the (local) variance of the yield per time unit $\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta f(\tau, \delta)=k(\theta-x) \Delta B(\tau, \delta)- \\
-[k(\theta-x)+\lambda \sqrt{2 k D}+k D \nabla B(\tau, \delta)]\left(\frac{\tau}{B(\tau)}\right)^{2} \frac{\Delta B(\tau, \delta)}{2 k D} \sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau) . \tag{39}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus between the spread $\Delta f(\tau, \delta)$ and the variance of the yield per time unit $\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau)$ there is nondegenerated linear connection and hence their correlation coefficient is equal to minus unit as well as in (32). Again as well as in (34) we express from the relation (39) the local variance of yield per time unit $\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau)$ through the spread $\Delta f(\tau, \delta)$ and estimate the coefficients in a formula obtained for the long terms to maturity.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau)=\frac{2 k D}{k(\theta-x)+\lambda \sqrt{2 k D}+k D \nabla B(\tau, \delta)}\left(\frac{B(\tau)}{\tau}\right)^{2}\left[k(\theta-x)-\frac{\Delta f(\tau, \delta)}{\Delta B(\tau, \delta)}\right] \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let's name the term to maturity $\tau$ as long if it is possible to neglect the terms of the order $O\left(e^{-\varepsilon \tau}\right)$ in comparison with unit. In this case as well as in expression (36) it is possible the representation $V B(\tau) \approx 1-\varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon \tau} / V$. Therefore it is possible to write that $\nabla B(\tau, \delta) \approx 2 / V$ and also $\Delta B(\tau, \delta) \approx \varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon \tau} d(\varepsilon, \delta) / V^{2}$ where $d(\varepsilon, \delta)=\left(e^{\varepsilon \delta}-e^{-\varepsilon \delta}\right)$. Then for representation $\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau)$ it is possible to use the formula similar (34) again.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau) \approx \frac{2 v}{\varepsilon V \tau^{2}}\left(k(\theta-x)-\frac{V^{2} e^{\varepsilon \tau}}{\varepsilon} \frac{\Delta f(\tau, \delta)}{d(\varepsilon, \delta)}\right) \equiv \xi(\tau)[k(\theta-x)-\zeta(\tau) \Delta f(\tau, \delta)] \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f(\tau, \delta) \approx \frac{\varepsilon d(\varepsilon, \delta)}{V} e^{-\varepsilon \tau}\left(\frac{k(\theta-x)}{V}-\frac{\varepsilon \tau^{2}}{2 v} \sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau)\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence at long terms to maturity the order of smallness of coefficients $\xi(\tau)$ and $\zeta(\tau)$ for any finite values $\tau_{2}-\tau_{1}=2 \delta$ remains the same, as well as in a case when instead of spread the derivative of the forward curve is used. Therefore all remarks concerning the error of calculations of the local variance of yield per time unit $\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau)$ through a derivative of forward rate curve (see formula (36)) are valid for spread $\Delta f(\tau, \delta)$ too. At last at small $\delta$ we have that $d(\varepsilon, \delta) \approx 2 \delta \varepsilon$. Therefore as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ formula (40) will turn to the formula (36).

In conclusion note that the problem of the prediction of the volatility of long term yield through the slope of the forward rate curve was investigated by Brown and Schaefer (2000) for two factor affine Gaussian models of term structure. In particular they deduced the relation $\Delta f\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \approx-0,5 \sigma_{y}^{2}\left(\tau_{2}^{2}-\tau_{1}^{2}\right)$. This relation has been obtained in the assumption that value $k(\theta-x)$ (in our designations) is enough small and the first term in brackets in formula (41) could be neglected. In our case not Gaussian models if to neglect this factor then the following formula is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \equiv \Delta f(\tau, \delta) \approx-\frac{d(\varepsilon, \delta)}{2 v V}(\varepsilon \tau)^{2} e^{-\varepsilon \tau} \sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Difference will be in that the coefficient of proportionality between the spread $\Delta f\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ and the local variance $\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau)$ is equal to not $\tau^{2}$ but $(\varepsilon \tau)^{2} e^{-\varepsilon \tau}$, i.e. appears still an exponential reduction of the coefficient of proportionality.

Consider an error of such approximation. The forward rate spread is determined by equation (39) as the sum of two terms first of which is deterministic and the second term is stochastic. The approximation (43) is reduced to that the
first term is rejected and as the forward rate spread is accepted the second term. Practically it means that approximation (43) simply changes the expectation of the forward rate spread by value of the first term in (39) at long rates. The expectation of the forward rate spread according to formulae (31) and (39) is

$$
\begin{gathered}
E[\Delta f(\tau, \delta)]=k(\theta-x) \Delta B(\tau, \delta)- \\
-\left[k(\theta-x)+\lambda \sqrt{2 k D}+k D\left(B\left(\tau_{1}\right)+B\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right)\right] \Delta B(\tau, \delta) .
\end{gathered}
$$

At approximation (43) this expectation is changed by value of the first term. For the zero risk premium $(\lambda=0)$ expression for the expectation can be written in the form

$$
E[\Delta f(\tau, \delta)]=k(\theta-x) \Delta B(\tau, \delta)-k(\theta-x)\left[1+v V\left(B\left(\tau_{1}\right)+B\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right) / k\right] \Delta B(\tau, \delta)
$$

Hence it follows that the relation of the first term to the second on absolute value is equal

$$
\chi=\frac{k(\theta-x) \Delta B(\tau, \delta)}{k(\theta-x)\left[1+v V\left(B\left(\tau_{1}\right)+B\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right) / k\right] \Delta B(\tau, \delta)}=\frac{1}{1+v V\left(B\left(\tau_{1}\right)+B\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right) / k}
$$

From properties of function $B(\tau)$ we have that it monotonously increases from 0 at $\tau=0$ up to $1 / V$ at $\tau=\infty$. Therefore the relation $\chi$ monotonously decreases from 1 at $\tau=0$ up to value $[1 /(1+2 v / k)]$ at $\tau=\infty$. By definition $v<k$, therefore for any terms to maturity $\tau$ the inequality is realized $\chi>1 / 3$. As it is seen from Table 2 for real cases the parameter $v$ is close to zero. It means that in real models $\chi \approx 1$. Therefore approximation (43) can be considered satisfactory only when the first term in (39) close to zero because in this case the change of $E[\Delta f(\tau, \delta)]$ is not essential.

## Gaussian model

It has been told above that Brown and Schaefer (2000) have considered a two-factor time homogeneous affine Gaussian model of the term structure. In Christiansen (2001) the problem of adequacy of the Gaussian model of term structure was examined in the area of long-term maturities. The Gaussian models are differed from considered above by assumption that the volatility of the riskfree interest rates is deterministic and the correlation relation (32) is not valid for Gaussian model. Therefore there is a sense to consider separately a problem of connection between properties of the forward rate curves and the yield volatilities in this case.

The affine Gaussian model is obtained when the riskfree interest rates are generated by the Vasiček model that is a special case of model (1) at $x \rightarrow-\infty$, i.e. the lower boundary of the interest rate tends to the minus infinity. So analytical results for Gaussian model can be received by corresponding limiting transition. In particular from formulae (6) - (8) it follows that as $x \rightarrow-\infty$ the key parameters take values: $v=0, V=\varepsilon=k$, and the function of term structure $B(\tau)=\left(1-e^{-k \tau}\right) / k$.

The local variance of yield process per time unit (the square of yield volatility) is deterministic and is expressed by the formula $\sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau)=(B(\tau) / \tau)^{2} D$.

The forward rates curve has form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\tau \mid r)=r+[k(\theta-r)-\lambda \sqrt{2 k D}] B(\tau)-k D B(\tau)^{2}= \\
& =r+k(\theta-r) B(\tau)-\lambda \tau \sigma_{y}(\tau)-\tau^{2} \sigma_{y}^{2}(\tau) / 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

its derivative with respect to $\tau$ that determines a slope is

$$
\frac{d f(\tau)}{d \tau}=\left[k(\theta-r)-(\lambda \sqrt{2 k D}+2 D)+2 D e^{-k \tau}\right] e^{-k \tau} .
$$

When $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ we have $B(\tau) \rightarrow 1 / k$ and

$$
f(\tau \mid r) \rightarrow f(\infty)=\theta-\frac{1}{k}(D+\lambda \sqrt{2 k D}), \quad \frac{d f(\tau)}{d \tau} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

The riskfree rate process $r(t)$

$$
d r(t)=k(\theta-r(t)) d t+\sqrt{2 k D} d W(t)
$$

is the Gaussian process and has a stationary normal distribution of probabilities with expectation $\theta$ and variance $D$.

Therefore probability $\operatorname{PSD}(\tau)$ of that the forward rate curve at maturity term $\tau$ slopes downwards is equal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{PSD}(\tau)=\operatorname{Pr}\{r>\theta-\lambda \sqrt{2 / k}-2 \sqrt{D} B(\tau)\}=\Phi(\lambda \sqrt{2 / k}+2 \sqrt{D} B(\tau)), \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi$ is the standard normal distribution function. Because $B(\tau)$ is monotonously increasing function then $\operatorname{PSD}(\tau)$ increases with $\tau$ too, $\operatorname{PSD}(\tau)$ takes the value $\Phi(\lambda \sqrt{2 / k})$ at $\tau=0$ and reaches the maximal value $\Phi(\lambda \sqrt{2 / k}+2 \sqrt{D} / k)$ at $\tau=\infty$. Note that this maximum depends essentially on parameter $k$ and increases as this parameter decreases.

In Table 3 the estimations of parameters of the Vasiček models are submitted that are obtained by different authors for the corresponding real data and the maximal values of probability PSD in the assumption that $\lambda=0$ (in this case minimal $\operatorname{PSD}=0,5$ at $\tau=0$ for all variants). In this table a symbol $\sigma$ is the volatility parameter of the short term interest rate in standard representation of Vasiček model for process $r(t)$, i.e. $\sigma=\sqrt{2 k D}$.

Table 3
Estimations of Vasiček model parameters

| Data source | $k$ | $\theta$ | $\sigma$ | $D$ | $\max$ PSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CKLS (1992) | 0,1779 | 0,0866 | 0,0200 | 0,001124 | 0,6469 |
| Ait-Sahalia (1996) | 0,8584 | 0,0891 | 0,0467 | 0,001270 | 0,5331 |
| Bali (1999) | 0,0436 | 0,0642 | 0,0077 | 0,000680 | 0,8842 |
| Ait-Sahalia (1999) | 0,2610 | 0,0717 | 0,0224 | 0,000961 | 0,5939 |

CKLS (1992): the annualized one-month U.S. Treasury bill yield from June 1964 to December 1989 (306 observations). Ait-Sahalia (1996): the 7-day Eurodollar deposit spot rate, daily from 1 Jun 1973 to 25 Feb 1995 ( 5505 observations). Bali (1999): annualized one-month U.S. Treasury bill yield from June 1964 to December 1996 (390 observations). Ait-Sahalia (1999): the Federal Reserve System funds data monthly from January 1963 to December 1998.

Figure 3 shows the plots of probability PSD as the function of maturity term $\tau$ that are calculated for data of Tables 3 in the assumption that $\lambda=0$.

The expectation of forward rates

$$
E[f(\tau \mid r)]=\theta-\lambda \sqrt{2 k D} B(\tau)-k D B(\tau)^{2}
$$

The variance of forward rates

$$
\operatorname{Var}[f(\tau \mid r)]=D e^{-2 k \tau}
$$



Fig. 3. Probability PSD that the forward rate curve slopes downwards as a function of maturity term $\tau$ for data of Table 3 .
As the variance of the yield to maturity for the affine term structure models is equal $D_{y}^{2}(\tau)=B(\tau)^{2} D / \tau^{2}$ then the relation between expectation of forward rates and the yield variance be presented as follows

$$
E[f(\tau \mid r)]=\theta-\lambda \sqrt{2 k} \tau D_{y}(\tau)-k \tau^{2} D_{y}^{2}(\tau)
$$

The average slope of the forward rate curves (expectation of a derivative) and variance of the derivative

$$
E\left[\frac{d f(\tau)}{d \tau}\right]=-[\lambda \sqrt{2 k D}+2 k D B(\tau)] e^{-k \tau}<0, \quad \operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{d f(\tau \mid r)}{d \tau}\right]=k^{2} D e^{-2 k \tau}
$$

The spread of forward rates for $\tau_{2}=\tau+\delta, \tau_{1}=\tau-\delta$ :

$$
\Delta f(\tau, \delta) \equiv f\left(\tau_{2} \mid r\right)-f\left(\tau_{1} \mid r\right)=[k(\theta-r)-\lambda \sqrt{2 k D}-k D \nabla B(\tau, \delta)] \Delta B(\tau, \delta) .
$$

Note that for Gaussian models $\Delta B(\tau, \delta)=e^{-k \tau}\left(e^{k \delta}-e^{-k \delta}\right) / k$.
Let now the Gaussian model be characterized by $n$ state variables which form a vector $Z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. For $n$-factor Gaussian model with constant coefficients the state variables follow the stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d Z=K(\theta-Z) d t+\sigma d W(t) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is $(n \times n)$-matrix of the mean reversion coefficients, $\sigma$ is $(n \times q)$-matrix of volatilities, $\theta$ is $n$-vector of stationary expectations of state variables $Z$ and $d W$ is $q$-vector of increments of standard Brownian motions. By classification Dai \& Singleton (2000) this model belongs to class $A_{0}(n)$ and for the specification in the maximal variant it demands to set $(n \times n)$-matrix, $(n \times q)$-matrix and $n$-vector, i.e. $n(1+q+n)$ parameters.

If the state of process $Z$ at some moment of time $s<t$ is known such model allows to express a vector of state variables $Z(t)$ in the explicit form as process

$$
Z(t)=U(t-s) Z(s)+(I-U(t-s)) \theta+\int_{s}^{t} U(t-u) \sigma d W(u),
$$

where $U(t)$ is a fundamental $(n \times n)$-matrix of solutions of ordinary differential equation $U^{\prime}(t)=-K U(t), U(0)=I, I$ is identity $(n \times n)$-matrix. The stationary regime of such process exists if all eigenvalues of matrix $K$ are negative (in this case at $t \rightarrow \infty$ matrix $U(t) \rightarrow 0)$. For a stationary regime $(s \rightarrow-\infty)$ the expression for process $Z(t)$ becomes more simple

$$
Z(t)=\theta+\int_{0}^{\infty} U(u) \sigma d W(t-u),
$$

whence follows that the unconditional expectation and the unconditional covariance matrix of process $Z(t)$ are calculated by formulae

$$
\begin{equation*}
E[Z(t)]=\theta, \quad \operatorname{Cov}[Z(t)]=\int_{0}^{\infty} U(u) \sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}}[U(u)]^{\mathrm{T}} d u, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the eigenvalues of matrix $K$ are designed as $\beta_{i}<0,1 \leq i \leq n$, and the diagonal matrix with elements $\exp \left(\beta_{i} t\right)$ on the main diagonal as $e^{\beta t}$ then the fundamental matrix of solutions $U(t)$ can be presented in the form $U(t)=M e^{\beta t} M^{-1}$ where $M$ is a matrix of the eigenvectors of the matrix $K$. Note that if matrix $K$ is diagonal with elements $k_{i}>0$ on the main diagonal then $M=I, \beta_{i}=-k_{i}$, and $U(t)$ is equal to $e^{-k t}$.

The multifactor model of state variables (45) generates an affine model of term structure, which can be written according to Duffie and Kan (1996) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(Z, t, \tau)=\exp \left[A(\tau)-Z^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau)\right], \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z=Z(t)$ and function $A(\tau)$ and vector $B(\tau)$ can be determined from the following differential equation for price $P(Z, t, \tau)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial P}{\partial Z} K(\theta-Z)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial Z^{2}} \sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}}\right)-r(Z)=\frac{\partial P}{\partial Z} \sigma \lambda \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{\partial P}{\partial Z}=-B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}, \frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial Z^{2}}=B(\tau) B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}$ are $n$-vector row and $(n \times n)$-matrix of derivatives of the price with respect to the state variables respectively, $r(Z)$ is the riskfree interest rate at the moment of time $t, \lambda-q$-vector of risk premium parameters, and $\operatorname{tr}(A)$ is a trace of matrix $A$. For affine model it is necessary that $r(Z)$ was affine function of state parameters, i.e. $r(Z)=\alpha+\phi^{\mathrm{T}} Z$.

Under these conditions the functions $A(\tau)$ and $B(\tau)$ satisfy the ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
A^{\prime}(\tau)=-\alpha-B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}[K \theta-\sigma \lambda]+B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau) / 2, \quad A(0)=0, \\
B^{\prime}(\tau)=-K^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau)+\phi, \quad B(0)=0 \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

Special interest is represented with functions $B(\tau)$ because through them the forward rates are expressed.

The forward rate curve becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\tau \mid Z)=r(Z)+B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}[K(\theta-Z)-\sigma \lambda]-\left[B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau)\right] / 2 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the spread of forward rates for $\tau_{2}=\tau+\delta, \tau_{1}=\tau-\delta$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta f(\tau, \delta) \equiv f\left(\tau_{2} \mid Z\right)-f\left(\tau_{1} \mid Z\right)= \\
=\Delta B(\tau, \delta)^{\mathrm{T}}[K(\theta-Z)-\sigma \lambda]-\left[\Delta B(\tau, \delta)^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla B(\tau, \delta)\right] / 2 \tag{51}
\end{gather*}
$$

As the multifactor model is derived by the vector of the Brownian motions the yield process volatility is determined by the vector-row $\sigma_{y}(\tau)=B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma / \tau$ that is not stochastic. For the forward rate it is possible to write the formula through the yield process volatility as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\tau \mid Z)=r(Z)+B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} K(\theta-Z)-\tau \sigma_{y}(\tau) \lambda-\tau^{2} \sigma_{y}(\tau) \sigma_{y}(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} / 2 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multifactor model of the riskfree interest rate process reflects the real dynamics more precisely however it demands to set the greater number of parameters and the explicit expression for the forward rate, volatility of yield process and unconditional variance of yield to maturity have rather bulky form. Therefore in order to obtain the foreseeable results we accept some simplifying assumptions.

Note first that as the state variables $Z$ it is necessary to choose only such variables, which influence on level of the riskfree interest rate. That is the vector $\phi$ should have only nonzero components. In this case without breaking a generality it is possible to represent the riskfree interest rate more simply by equivalent state variable: $r(Z)=\alpha+\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \widetilde{Z}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is a vector formed by units. Indeed
let $\widetilde{Z} \equiv \Phi Z$, where $\Phi$ is a diagonal matrix the components of main diagonal of which are components of vector $\phi$. Then for the vector of state variables $\widetilde{Z}$ the equation of model (45) is rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \widetilde{Z}=\widetilde{K}(\widetilde{\theta}-\widetilde{Z}) d t+\widetilde{\sigma} d W(t) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{K} \equiv \Phi K \Phi^{-1}, \widetilde{\theta} \equiv \Phi \theta, \widetilde{\sigma} \equiv \Phi \sigma$, and $W(t)$ is the same process as in model (45). The state variable $\widetilde{Z}$ have also the useful property that the eigenvalues of a matrix $\widetilde{K}$ are the same, as for $K$, and if a matrix $K$ is diagonal then $\widetilde{K}=K$. Other advantages of transition to the state variables $\widetilde{Z}$ we note later.

Consider a special case when the matrix $K$ is diagonal. In this case as it has above been told the elements of main diagonal are eigenvalues and the fundamental matrix of decisions $U(t)=e^{-k t}$ is too diagonal. Let a matrix $\sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}}$ has elements $\left[\sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}}\right]_{i j}$. Then according to representation (46) elements of the covariance matrix of the state variables $Z$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\operatorname{Cov}(Z)]_{i j}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}}\right]_{i j} e^{-\left(k_{i}+k_{j}\right) u} d u=\frac{\left[\sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}}\right]_{i j}}{k_{i}+k_{j}}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{i} \equiv(K)_{i i}>0$ is element of the main diagonal of a matrix $K$.
The zero coupon yield $y(\tau)$ according to representation (47) is linearly connected to state variables $Z$ by the relation $\tau y(\tau)=-A(\tau)+Z^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau)$. Whence it follows that the variance of yield $y(\tau)$ it is calculated by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}[y(\tau)]=\left[B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{Cov}(Z) B(\tau)\right] / \tau^{2} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (49) for vector function $B(\tau)$ for the examined case breaks up to the scalar equations the solutions of that are

$$
B_{i}(\tau)=\phi_{i}\left(1-e^{-k_{i} \tau}\right) / k_{i} \equiv \phi_{i} b_{i}(\tau), 1 \leq i \leq n .
$$

where $\phi_{i}$ is a component of vector $\phi$. Therefore $B(\tau) \equiv \Phi b(\tau)$ and the vector $b(\tau)$ meet the equation $b^{\prime}(\tau)=-K^{\mathrm{T}} b(\tau)+\mathbf{1}, b(0)=0$, and does not depend on $\phi$. So if to pass to the state variables $\widetilde{Z}$, then it is possible to rewrite the formulae (54) and (55) as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
y(\tau)=\left[-A(\tau)+Z^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau)\right] / \tau=\left[-A(\tau)+\widetilde{Z}^{\mathrm{T}} b(\tau)\right] / \tau . \\
\operatorname{Var}[y(\tau)]=\left[b(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} \Phi \operatorname{Cov}(Z) \Phi b(\tau)\right] / \tau^{2}=\left[b(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z}) b(\tau)\right] / \tau^{2} \\
{[\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})]_{i j}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\Phi \sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}} \Phi\right]_{i j} e^{-\left(k_{i}+k_{j}\right) u} d u=\frac{\left[\widetilde{\sigma}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]_{i j}}{k_{i}+k_{j}}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n} \tag{56}
\end{gather*}
$$

By similar way it is possible to rewrite the formulae (50) and (51) for the forward rates and the forward rate spread.

From equality (50) it is possible to see that the forward rate can be submitted as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\tau \mid Z) & =r(\theta)+\phi^{\mathrm{T}}(Z-\theta)-B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}[K(Z-\theta)+\sigma \lambda]-\left[B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau)\right] / 2= \\
& =r(\theta)-B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma \lambda-\left[B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau)\right] / 2+\left[\phi^{\mathrm{T}}-B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} K\right](Z-\theta),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last term is stochastic and has normal distribution, and the others terms are deterministic. Therefore it is possible to write that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}[f(\tau \mid Z)]=r(\theta)-B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma \lambda-\left[B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau)\right] / 2, \\
& \operatorname{Var}[f(\tau \mid Z)]=\left[\phi^{\mathrm{T}}-B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} K\right] \operatorname{Cov}(Z)\left[\phi-K^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Derivative of forward rate curve for multifactor model

$$
\frac{d f(\tau \mid Z)}{d \tau}=-\left[B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}\right]^{\prime}\left[\sigma \lambda+\sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau)+K(Z-\theta)\right],
$$

its expectation and variance

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[\frac{d f(\tau \mid Z)}{d \tau}\right] & =-\left[B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}\right]^{\prime}\left[\sigma \lambda+\sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau)\right]=-\left[b(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}\right]^{\prime}\left[\tilde{\sigma} \lambda+\widetilde{\sigma}^{\mathrm{T}} b(\tau)\right] \\
\operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{d f(\tau \mid Z)}{d \tau}\right] & =\left[B(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}\right]^{\prime} K \operatorname{Cov}(Z) K^{\mathrm{T}}[B(\tau)]^{\prime}=\left[b(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}\right]^{\prime} \widetilde{K} \operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z}) \widetilde{K}^{\mathrm{T}}[b(\tau)]^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From these formulae it is possible to see that the average slope of forward rate curve will be negative if functions $B(\tau)$ and their derivatives positive. Probability that for some term to maturity $\tau$ the slope of forward rate curve will be negative is

$$
\operatorname{PSD}(\tau) \equiv \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\frac{d f(\tau \mid Z)}{d \tau}<0\right\}=\Phi\left(\frac{\left[b(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}\right]^{\prime}\left[\widetilde{\sigma} \lambda+\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\sigma}^{\mathrm{T}} b(\tau)\right]}{\sqrt{\left[b(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}\right]^{\prime} \widetilde{K} \operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z}) \widetilde{K}^{\mathrm{T}}[b(\tau)]^{\prime}}}\right) .
$$

The general analysis of this probability is enough complicated as it depends on properties of matrixes and vectors, which determine it. Therefore we shall consider a special case when matrix $K=\left(K_{i j}\right)$ is diagonal also has positive elements on the main diagonal, i.e. $k_{i} \equiv K_{i i}>0$. We shall assume also that these elements various and are ordered as follows $0<k_{1}<k_{2}<\ldots<k_{n}$. In this case $b(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(e^{-k_{1} \tau}, e^{-k_{2} \tau}, \ldots, e^{-k_{n} \tau}\right)$, and matrixes $\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})$ and $\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\sigma}^{\mathrm{T}}$ have properties (56). Then $\left[\widetilde{\sigma} \widetilde{\sigma}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]_{i j}=\left(k_{i}+k_{j}\right)[\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})]_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Designate elements of matrixes $\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}$ respectively $D_{i j}$ и $\sigma_{i j}$. Then probability $\operatorname{PSD}(\tau)$ is presented as

$$
\operatorname{PSD}(\tau)=\Phi\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-k_{i} \tau} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{i j} \lambda_{j}+D_{i j}\left(1-e^{-k_{j} \tau}\right)\left(1+\frac{k_{i}}{k_{j}}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{i} k_{j} D_{i j} e^{-\left(k_{i}+k_{j}\right) \tau}}}\right) .
$$

The analysis of this expression too is complicated therefore we discuss only a limiting case $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, when probability $\operatorname{PSD}(\tau)$ takes the more simpler expression

$$
\operatorname{PSD}(\infty)=\Phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{1 j} \lambda_{j}+D_{1 j}\left(1+\frac{k_{1}}{k_{j}}\right)\right) / k_{1} \sqrt{D_{11}}\right)
$$

Note that the main role in specification of probability plays the least factor of matrix $K$. If $n=1$ then there is a unique state variable the riskfree rate and this formula turns to the formula (44).

At the analysis of the forward rate curves for long-term yields Brown and Schaefer (2000) have offered approximation of the forward rate spread in this area in the form of simple dependence on the zero coupon yield volatility. This approximation is obtained if in the formula (51) to neglect the first term.

In this formula there are two terms, the first from them is stochastic and the second is deterministic. So the offered approximation is equivalent to that as the forward rate spread its expectation is used.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta f(\tau, \delta) \equiv f\left(\tau_{2} \mid Z\right)-f\left(\tau_{1} \mid Z\right) \approx-\left[B\left(\tau_{2}\right)-B\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma \sigma^{\mathrm{T}}\left[B\left(\tau_{2}\right)+B\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right] / 2= \\
=-\left[b\left(\tau_{2}\right)-b\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \widetilde{\sigma}^{\mathrm{T}}\left[b\left(\tau_{2}\right)+b\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right] / 2 \tag{57}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the stochastic component $\varepsilon=\Delta B(\tau, \delta)^{\mathrm{T}}[K(\theta-Z)-\sigma \lambda]$ of the forward rate spread it is possible to consider as an error of approximation. It has normal distribution and the following properties. If the risk premium parameters to accept equal to zero $(\lambda=0)$ the expectation of $\varepsilon$ will be equal to zero and its variance is equal

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Var}[\varepsilon] & =\left[B\left(\tau_{2}\right)-B\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{T}}\left[K \operatorname{Cov}(Z) K^{\mathrm{T}}\right]\left[B\left(\tau_{2}\right)-B\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right]= \\
& =\left[b^{\prime}\left(\tau_{2}\right)-b^{\prime}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})\left[b^{\prime}\left(\tau_{2}\right)-b^{\prime}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right] \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the fact is used that according to the equation (49)

$$
K^{\mathrm{T}} B(\tau)=-B^{\prime}(\tau)+\phi=-\Phi\left[b^{\prime}(\tau)-\mathbf{1}\right] .
$$

Because distribution of $\varepsilon$ and its moments are known it is possible to construct confidential intervals and set the accuracy of approximation (57) at the set level of trust. Here only one shall note that approximation will be better if a standard deviation of $\varepsilon$ (i.e. $d_{\varepsilon} \equiv \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[\varepsilon]}$ ) will be smaller. In particular, if the order of smallness of $d_{\varepsilon}$ will be essentially higher than the order of the smallness of $\Delta f(\tau, \delta)$ then approximation can be considered as satisfactory.

Determine these orders of smallness with respect to $k \equiv \min \left\{k_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Let $\left[\widetilde{\sigma}^{\mathrm{\sigma}}\right]_{i j}=O(1), 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[\operatorname{Cov}(\tilde{Z})]_{i j}=O(1 / k), \quad\left[b\left(\tau_{2}\right)+b\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right]_{i}=O(1 / k),} \\
{\left[b\left(\tau_{2}\right)-b\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right]_{i}=O\left(e^{-k \tau} / k\right), \quad\left[b^{\prime}\left(\tau_{2}\right)-b^{\prime}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right]_{i}=O\left(e^{-k \tau}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore orders of smallness of forward rate spread $\Delta f(\tau, \delta)$ and errors of its approximation $d_{\varepsilon}$ have orders

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f(\tau, \delta)=O\left(e^{-k \tau} / k^{2}\right), \quad d_{\varepsilon}=O\left(e^{-k \tau} / \sqrt{k}\right) . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $d_{\varepsilon} / \Delta f(\tau, \delta)=O\left(k^{3 / 2}\right)$. For example, at $k \leq 0,2154$ the relative error will be less than $10 \%$.

## Gaussian model: the empirical analysis

Consider now a problem of determination of forward rates by experimental data. We accept as a basis the data resulted by Brown and Schaefer (2000) for two-factor Gaussian model. They contain there in Table 1 (Standard Deviation of weekly changes (\% p.a.) in zero coupon yields derived from US Treasury STRIP prices) and in Table A2 (Estimated Mean Reversion Coefficients and Correlation Coefficient) for three time periods: $88-94,88-91$ and $91-94$. For convenience the data on standard deviations of zero coupon yield from Brown and Schaefer (2000) are resulted here in Table 4 in columns with indexes YE1, YE2, YE3. Estimations of factors $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and correlation coefficient $\rho$ of the state variables from Brown and Schaefer (2000) are resulted here in Table 5.

## Table 4

Standard deviation of zero coupon yield
(empirical YE and determined from model YM)

| Period | $88-94$ | $88-91$ | $91-94$ | $88-94$ | $88-91$ | $91-94$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturity $\tau$ <br> (years) | YE1 | YE2 | YE3 | YM1 | YM2 | YM3 |
| 2 | 0,991 | 1,002 | 0,927 | 1,031 | 1,022 | 1,006 |
| 3 | 1,030 | 1,001 | 1,015 | 1,001 | 0,983 | 0,986 |
| 5 | 0,994 | 0,958 | 1,012 | 0,951 | 0,927 | 0,949 |
| 7 | 0,904 | 0,871 | 0,932 | 0,908 | 0,885 | 0,913 |
| 10 | 0,857 | 0,845 | 0,878 | 0,854 | 0,837 | 0,863 |
| 15 | 0,733 | 0,719 | 0,755 | 0,778 | 0,773 | 0,786 |
| 20 | 0,686 | 0,694 | 0,684 | 0,714 | 0,717 | 0,719 |
| 25 | 0,684 | 0,722 | 0,649 | 0,657 | 0,668 | 0,659 |

Table 5
Estimations of factors $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and correlation coefficient $\rho$ of state variables

| Period | $88-94$ | $88-91$ | $91-94$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{1}$ | 0,0393 | 0,0328 | 0,0417 |
| $k_{2}$ | 0,2060 | 0,3340 | 0,1260 |
| $\rho$ | $-0,2540$ | $-0,1630$ | $-0,5560$ |

In order to write the results in more convenient form present the covariance matrix $\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})$ and the vector $b(\tau)$ as

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{1}^{2} & D_{1} D_{2} \rho  \tag{60}\\
D_{1} D_{2} \rho & D_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad b(\tau)=\binom{\left(1-e^{-k_{1} \tau}\right) / k_{1}}{\left(1-e^{-k_{2} \tau}\right) / k_{2}} \equiv\binom{b_{1}(\tau)}{b_{2}(\tau)}
$$

Then the zero coupon yield variance according to the formula (56) can be presented as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Var}[y(\tau)]=\left[b(\tau)^{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z}) b(\tau)\right] / \tau^{2}= \\
=\left[b_{1}(\tau)^{2} D_{1}^{2}+b_{2}(\tau)^{2} D_{2}^{2}+2 b_{1}(\tau) b_{2}(\tau) D_{1} D_{2} \rho\right] / \tau^{2} . \tag{61}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $V_{E}(\tau)$ be a variance that is calculated as a square of a standard deviation from columns YE of Table 4. Form a square of a difference

$$
S(\tau)=\left(V_{E}(\tau)-\left[b_{1}(\tau)^{2} D_{1}^{2}+b_{2}(\tau)^{2} D_{2}^{2}+2 b_{1}(\tau) b_{2}(\tau) D_{1} D_{2} \rho\right] / \tau^{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

In this expression the functions $b_{1}(\tau)$ and $b_{2}(\tau)$ are calculated by the formula (60) for coefficients from Table 5, the correlation coefficient $\rho$ is used also from Table 5. For one of three periods we form the sum $Q$ of squares $S(\tau)$ and minimize it on values $D_{1}, D_{2}$, i.e.

$$
Q=\sum_{\tau=2}^{25} S(\tau) \xrightarrow[D_{1}, D_{2}]{ } \min
$$

Such procedure of the Least Squares Method (LSM) allow to determine $D_{1}$, $D_{2}$ and hence the covariance matrix $\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})$. It is known from the formula (56) that

$$
[\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})]_{i j}=\frac{\left[\widetilde{\sigma}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]_{i j}}{k_{i}+k_{j}}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n
$$

From here with the help of the data of Table 5 it is possible to determine elements of a matrix $\widetilde{\sigma}^{\mathrm{\sigma}}$ and by the formula (57) we can determine the approximation of forward rate spread.

Note that such procedure uses a simple LSM to determine the elements of the covariance matrix $\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})$. Probably the application of more exact methods (for example, the Generalized Method of the Moments) will allow to receive more exact results.

At last we note that the described procedure is based on state variables $\widetilde{Z}$ and does not demand an estimation of a vector $\phi$, through which the riskfree interest rate is determined. It is important advantage of such definition of state variables at practical calculations.

In the Table 6 the estimates of elements of the covariance matrix $\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})$ and size of the squares sum $Q$ corresponding to them are submitted.

Last three columns with indexes YM of Table 4 contain values of standard deviations of zero coupon yield that are calculated by the formula (61) with use of the data of Table 6. Figure 4 is an illustration of the data of Table 4.

Table 6
Elements of covariance matrixes (60)
of state variables

| Period | $88-94$ | $88-91$ | $91-94$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{1}$ | 1,0650 | 0,9912 | 1,0764 |
| $D_{2}$ | 0,6669 | 0,7430 | 0,0565 |
| $Q$ | 0,0246 | 0,0203 | 0,0486 |



Fig. 4. Standard deviations of zero coupon yields $\mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{Y})$ for US Treasury STRIP prices of Table 1 from Brown and Schaefer (2000) (YE) and calculated on the basis of their data by the formula (61) (YM).

Matrixes $\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}^{T}$ that are calculated according to formulae (56) and the data of Table 6 for the corresponding periods have the forms that are presented in Table 7.

Matrixes $\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}^{T}$, calculated on the basis of the data of table 6

| Period | Matrix $\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{Z})$ | Matrix $\tilde{\sigma}^{\mathrm{T}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $88-94$ | $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1,1342 & -0,1804 \\ -0,1804 & 0,4448\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0,0892 & -0,0443 \\ -0,0443 & 0,1832\end{array}\right)$ |
| $88-91$ | $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0,9826 & -0,1201 \\ -0,1201 & 0,5522\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0,0645 & -0,0440 \\ -0,0440 & 0,3689\end{array}\right)$ |
| $91-94$ | $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1,1588 & -0,0338 \\ -0,0338 & 0,00319\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0,096643 & -0,00567 \\ -0,00567 & 0,000804\end{array}\right)$ |

The Figure 5 shows the probabilities $\operatorname{PSD}(\tau)$ for two factor models with parameters from Tables 5 and 7 if the risk premium parameters $\lambda$ are zero.

The approximation of the forward rate spread calculated by the formula (57) for $\tau_{2}=25, \tau_{1}=15$ and its absolute (see the formula (58)) and relative errors are submitted in Table 8. From this table it follows, that the approximation offered by Brown and Schaefer (2000) for data of Tables 4 and 5 is valid with accuracy about $4 \%$.


Fig. 5. The probabilities $\operatorname{PSD}(\tau)$ for the two factor Gaussian models for data from Brown \& Schaefer (2000). The plots according to the periods $88-94(\mathrm{P} 1), 88-91(\mathrm{P} 2)$ and $91-94(\mathrm{P} 3)$.

Approximation of forward rate spread for $\tau_{2}=25, \tau_{1}=15$
and its absolute and relative errors

| Period | Approximation of spread <br> by formula (57) for <br> $\tau_{2}=25, \tau_{1}=15$ | Absolute error <br> of approximation | Relative error <br> of approximation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Delta f\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)$ | $d_{\varepsilon}$ | $\left[d_{\varepsilon} /\left\|\Delta f\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)\right\|\right] \times 100 \%$ |
| $88-94$ | $-4,66193$ | 0,18698 | $4,01 \%$ |
| $88-91$ | $-4,18032$ | 0,16876 | $4,04 \%$ |
| $91-94$ | $-5,40149$ | 0,19304 | $3,57 \%$ |

Thus the approximation (57) of forward rate spread for model with deterministic volatility of the riskfree interest rate can be satisfactory in contrast to the approximation (43) for model with stochastic volatility.

## Conclusions

In this paper the analysis of forward rate curves for enough wide class of the one factor affine time structure models that includes not only the Gaussian model Vasiček (1977) and the square root model CIR (1985) but also models with any levels of the lower boundary of the short term interest rates (see Ilieva (2000, 2001) or Medvedev (2003)) is resulted. This class of affine models is generated by process of the riskfree interest rate (1) with stochastic volatility. The detailed analysis of multifactor models with deterministic volatility (Gaussian models) is resulted for the state variables that are generated by the equation (45). The problem connected to a negative slope of forward rate curves for the long term zero coupon yield rates was especially closely studied.

For one-factor models with stochastic volatility the following results are derived:

- the probability that the forward rate curve slopes downwards for long term yield rates (19) is found and is shown that this probability is influenced essentially not only by interest rate volatility but also by level of the lower boundary of short term rates and parameters of the risk premium (see fig. 1 and 2);
- the expectations, variances and covariances for the forward rates and the yield process volatility are calculated (formulae (22) - (27));
- the correlation between the forward rates and the yield process volatility is always positive and does not depend on term to maturity; its lower boundary (28) is found;
- the average slope (expectation of a derivative) of the forward rate curves is negative for all terms to maturity (29);
- the derivative of the forward rate curve and the local variance per time unit of zero coupon yield process with probability 1 are linearly connected and have complete negative correlation $(\rho=-1)$ for all terms to maturity (the for-
mulae (32) and (33)); the same results are obtained for the forward rate spread and the local variance of yield process (the formulae (39) and (40));
- the approximation of the forward rate spread (43) with the help of a local variance is reduced to change of the spread expectation more than on $30 \%$ and at real cases cannot be recommended for models with stochastic volatility for any terms to maturity.

For one factor models with deterministic volatility (Gaussian models)

- the probability that the forward rate curve slopes downwards for long term yield rates (the formula (44)) is found;
- this probability always is more 0,5 , increases as the term to maturity increases but has the upper boundary that is dependent on the interest rate volatility (table 3, figure 3);
- in the mean the slope of the forward rate curve is negative independent on term to maturity.

For multifactor Gaussian models

- the representation of state variable process in the explicit form is derived and its covariance matrix is found (formula (46);
- the modification of state variables simplifying the analysis and convenient for practical application is offered (formulae (53) - (56));
- it is shown that the approximation offered by Brown and Schaefer (2000) is reduced to that as the forward rate spread it is accepted its expectation therefore the error of approximation is its stochastic component (formulae (51), (57));
- the estimation of accuracy of such approximation (59) is found;
- on the basis of the numerical data from Brown and Schaefer (2000) the analysis two factor Gaussian models (Table 4-7) is carried out and it is shown that for this case the relative error of approximation is about $4 \%$ (table 8 ).

The approximation of Brown and Schaefer for forward rate spread for model with deterministic volatility of the riskfree interest rate can be satisfactory used in contrast to that approximation for model with stochastic volatility.
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